

1. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space and $E \in \Sigma$. Show that

$$\mu_E(A) = \mu(A \cap E) \quad \text{for all } A \in \Sigma$$

defines a measure.

(10)

$$(i) \quad \mu_E(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

(ii) Let $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Sigma$ disjoint. Then

$$\mu_E\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) = \mu\left(E \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right)$$

$$= \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (E \cap A_j)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E \cap A_j) \quad \text{since } E \cap A_j \text{ disjoint}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_E(A_j)$$

2. Show that a monotonic function on \mathbb{R} is Borel-measurable.

(10)

The level sets of a monotonic function are of the form

$$(a, \infty); [a, \infty); (-\infty, a); (-\infty, a]; \mathbb{R}; \emptyset \quad (a \in \mathbb{R})$$

These are either open or have open complements.

3. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space and $f: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ a measurable function. Show that, for $0 < p < \infty$,

$$\int_{\Omega} f^p d\mu = p \int_0^{\infty} t^{p-1} \mu(\{x \in \Omega: f(x) > t\}) dt. \quad (10)$$

From the definition of the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f^p d\mu &= \int_0^{\infty} \mu(\{x \in \Omega: f(x) > t\}) dt & t = s^p \\ &\Rightarrow dt = p s^{p-1} ds \\ &= p \int_0^{\infty} s^{p-1} \mu(\{x \in \Omega: \underbrace{f(x) > s^p}_{\Leftrightarrow f(x) > s}\}) ds \end{aligned}$$

□

4. Theorem on differentiation under the integral.

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ open. Suppose that $f: \Omega \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

- (i) $f(\cdot, t)$ is measurable for every fixed $t \in I$;
- (ii) $f(x, \cdot)$ is differentiable for almost every fixed $x \in \Omega$;
- (iii) $|\partial f(x, t) / \partial t| \leq g(x)$ for some $g \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} f(x, t) d\mu(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial t} d\mu(x)$$

for every $t \in I$.

(a) Prove the theorem.

(b) Let

$$I(t) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} e^{-tx} dx.$$

Verify that you may differentiate under the integral, then compute $I'(t)$.

(c) Use the result from (b) to prove that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \arctan(\infty) = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

(10+10+10)

$$(a) \left| \frac{f(x, t+h) - f(x, t)}{h} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\partial f(x, t+\tau)}{\partial t} \right| \stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} g(x)$$

for some $\tau = \tau(x, t, h) \in [0, h]$ by the mean value theorem for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and h sufficiently small s.t. $t+h \in I$; the difference quotient is measurable by (i). Then, by dominated convergence,

$$\int_{\Omega} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, t+h) - f(x, t)}{h} d\mu = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[\int_{\Omega} f(x, t+h) d\mu - \int_{\Omega} f(x, t) d\mu \right]$$

$$(b) \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\sin x}{x} e^{-tx} \right) \right| = \left| -\sin x e^{-tx} \right| \leq e^{-tx}$$

For any $t_0 > 0$, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \subset (0, \infty)$

Then (iii) holds with $g(x) = e^{-(t_0 - \varepsilon)x}$.

(ii) is clear by the above.

(i) follows from continuity on $(0, \infty)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } I'(t_0) &= - \int_0^\infty \sin x e^{-t_0 x} dx = \underbrace{\cos x e^{-t_0 x}}_{= -1} \Big|_0^\infty + t_0 \int_0^\infty \cos x e^{-t_0 x} dx \\ &= -1 + t_0 \left[\underbrace{\sin x e^{-t_0 x}}_{= 0} \Big|_0^\infty + t_0 \int_0^\infty \sin x e^{-t_0 x} dx \right] \\ &= -I'(t_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow I'(t_0) = \frac{-1}{1+t_0^2} \quad \forall t_0 \in (0, \infty)$$

(c) Note that, again by dominated convergence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} e^{-tx} dx = 0$$

(take dominating function $g(x) = e^{-x}$).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } I(0) &= - \int_0^\infty I'(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+t^2} dt \\ &= \arctan t \Big|_0^\infty \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Note: The integral $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$ is understood as the improper integral $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$. Thus, to completely justify the computation, we have to show that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a f(x) dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty f(x) e^{-tx} dx$$

for f measurable with $|f|$ summable on any finite $[0, a]$, provided that the left hand limit exists.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the left hand limit exists, it is Cauchy (w.r.t. any sequence of real numbers $a_n \rightarrow \infty$) so that, in particular, $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\sup_{x \geq a} \left| \int_x^\infty f(y) dy \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx - \int_0^{\infty} f(x) e^{-tx} dx \right|$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\int_0^x |f(x)| |1 - e^{-tx}| dx}_{< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for } t \text{ small enough by dom. convergence on } [0, x]} + \underbrace{\left| \int_x^{\infty} f(x) e^{-tx} dx \right|}_{\text{integration by parts}}$$

integration by parts

$$= \underbrace{\left| \int_a^x f(y) dy e^{-tx} \right|}_=0 + t \int_a^{\infty} \int_a^x f(y) dy e^{-tx} dx$$

$$\leq t \int_a^{\infty} \left| \int_a^x f(y) dy \right| e^{-tx} dx$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \geq a} \left| \int_a^x f(y) dy \right| \underbrace{t \int_a^{\infty} e^{-tx} dx}_{< \frac{\epsilon}{2}} = -e^{-tx} \Big|_a^{\infty} = e^{-ta} \leq 1$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

□

5. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space.

Show that for $1 \leq p \leq r \leq \infty$, $L^p(\Omega) \supset L^r(\Omega)$.

(10)

Let $f \in L^r$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\mu \leq \|f\|_q^p \|f\|_{q'}^p, \quad \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$$

where we require that $q'p = r$

$$\Rightarrow q' = \frac{r}{p} \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow q \in [1, \infty]$$

Since $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, $\|1\|_q < \infty$

$$\text{and } \|f\|_{q'}^p = \|f\|_r^p < \infty,$$

the LHS of the inequality is finite, too.

6. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space, $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Let $f \in L^p(\Omega)^*$ be represented by a function $g \in L^q(\Omega)$ in the sense that

$$\ell(f) = \int_{\Omega} f g \, d\mu$$

for every $f \in L^p(\Omega)$. Show that

$$\|\ell\|_{L^p(\Omega)^*} = \|g\|_{L^q(\Omega)}. \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\ell\|_{L^p(\Omega)^*} &= \sup_{\|f\|_p=1} |\ell(f)| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_p=1} \left| \int_{\Omega} f g \, d\mu \right| \leq \sup_{\|f\|_p=1} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q = \|g\|_q \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality.

Vice versa,

$\|\ell\|_{L^p(\Omega)^*} \geq |\ell(f)|$ for every $f \in L^p$ with $\|f\|_p = 1$.

For $1 < p < \infty$, choose $f = \begin{cases} g |g|^{q-2} \|g\|_q^{q-1} & \text{if } g(x) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } g(x) = 0 \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \ell(f) = \int |g|^q \, d\mu = \|g\|_q^q$$

Note that WLOG we can assume $\ell \neq 0$ st. $\|g\|_q \neq 0$,

and, by direct calculation, that $f \in L^p$.

7. (a) Find a sequence of bounded, Lebesgue-measurable sets in \mathbb{R} whose characteristic functions converge weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to a function f with the property that $2f$ is a characteristic function of a set with positive measure.

Note: Full credit if you verify that your example has the requested properties on some (nontrivial) subspace of L^2 .

(b) How about the possibility that $f/2$ is a characteristic function?

(10+10)

(a) Let $X_i^c = h_i$ with $h_i = \frac{1}{2}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$

$I_i^c = [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$f_n = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^n \chi_{I_i^c}$$

Claim: $f_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \chi_{[0,1]}$ in L^2

for simplicity, consider only the subsequence with n even

$$\text{Let } g = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i \chi_{I_i^c} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\text{Then } \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n g \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i h_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{[0,1]} g$$

$\forall n > N$, n even

It is known (not to be proved here, the argument is essentially given by Lieb & Seiringer, 1.17) that such

functions are dense in L^2 , hence the general statement on weak- L^2 -convergence.

(b) Suppose $f = 2\chi_A$ for some A
(WLOG $\mu(A) < \infty$, if not, intersect everything with set of finite measure.)

Then, since $f_j \rightarrow f$,

$$\int f_j \chi_A \rightarrow \int f \chi_A = 2\mu(A)$$

On the other hand,

$$\int f_j \chi_A \leq \mu(A) \quad \text{since } f_j \leq 1 \text{ pointwise}$$

\Rightarrow contradiction!