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#### Abstract

We extend previous work by constructing a universal abelian tensor category $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ generated by two objects $X, Y$ equipped with finite filtrations $0 \subsetneq X_{0} \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq X_{t+1}=X$ and $0 \subsetneq Y_{0} \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq Y_{t+1}=Y$, and with a pairing $X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$, where $\mathbb{1}$ is the monoidal unit. This category is modeled as a category of representations of a Mackey Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ of cardinality $2^{\aleph_{t}}$, associated to a diagonalizable pairing between two vector spaces $V, V_{*}$ of dimension $\aleph_{t}$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0 . As a preliminary step, we study a tensor category $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ generated by the algebraic duals $V^{*}$ and $\left(V_{*}\right)^{*}$. The injective hull of the trivial module $\mathbb{K}$ in $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ is a commutative algebra $I$, and the category $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ consists of all free $I$-modules in $\mathbb{T}_{t}$. An essential novelty in our work is the explicit computation of Ext-spaces between simples in both categories $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{t}$, which had been an open problem already for $t=0$. This provides a direct link from the theory of universal tensor categories to Littlewood-Richardson-type combinatorics.
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## 1 Introduction

Fix an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0 . For us, a tensor category is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear, not necessarily rigid, symmetric monoidal abelian category. In this paper we construct a tensor category $\mathbf{T}_{t}$, generated by two objects $X$ and $Y$, equipped with finite filtrations $0 \subsetneq X_{0} \subsetneq$ $\ldots \subsetneq X_{t+1}=X$ and $0 \subsetneq Y_{0} \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq Y_{t+1}=Y$, and with a pairing $X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ where $\mathbb{1}$ is the monoidal unit, such that the category $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ is universal in the following sense: for every other tensor category equipped with objects $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$, a morphism $X^{\prime} \otimes Y^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}^{\prime}$, and finite filtrations $0 \subsetneq X_{0}^{\prime} \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq X_{t^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}=X^{\prime}$ and $0 \subsetneq Y_{0}^{\prime} \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq Y_{t^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}=Y^{\prime}$ with $t^{\prime} \leq t$, there is a left exact monoidal functor from the category $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ to this other category such that

$$
F(X)=X^{\prime}, F(Y)=Y^{\prime}, F\left(X_{\alpha}\right)=X_{s(\alpha)}^{\prime}, F\left(Y_{\alpha}\right)=Y_{s(\alpha)}^{\prime},
$$

for some order preserving surjection $s:\{0, \ldots, t+1\} \rightarrow\left\{0, \ldots, t^{\prime}+1\right\}$.
Our work extends several previous works [PS2014], [SS2015], [ChP2019], [ChP2017], [ChP2021]. The most recent of them is the paper [ChP2021] where the filtrations of $X$ and $Y$ are just of length two, i.e., amount to fixed subobjects $X_{0} \subset X$ and $Y_{0} \subset Y$. This case has many features of the general case, and we follow the main idea of [ChP2021]. Namely, we first construct a tensor category $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ which consists of tensor modules over the Mackey Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}=\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$
of a diagonalizable pairing $\mathbf{p}: V \otimes V_{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. Here $V$ is a vector space of dimension $\aleph_{t}$ over $\mathbb{K}$ and $V_{*}$ is the span within $V^{*}:=\operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathbb{K})$ of a system of vectors $\left\{x_{b}\right\}$ dual to a basis $\left\{v_{b}\right\}$ of $V$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$ consists of all linear operators $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$ such that $\varphi^{*}\left(V_{*}\right) \subset V_{*}$, where $\varphi^{*}$ stands for the dual operator. We recall that the $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-modules $V^{*}$ and $\bar{V}:=\left(V_{*}\right)^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{*}, \mathbb{K}\right)$ have finite filtrations $V_{*}=V_{0}^{*} \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq V_{t+1}^{*}=V^{*}$ and $V=\bar{V}_{0} \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq \bar{V}_{t+1}=\bar{V}$ with irreducible successive quotients. Using these filtrations we compute the socle and radical filtrations of the adjoint $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module, and also describe all ideals of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$. The latter result is not necessarily needed for our study of the category $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ and is of interest on its own.

The category $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ is defined as the full tensor subcategory of the category of $\mathfrak{g}^{M}$-modules, generated by the two modules $V^{*}$ and $\bar{V}$, and closed under arbitrary direct sums. This category is not yet our desired universal tensor category, but is a natural and interesting tensor category. We classify the simple objects in $\mathbb{T}_{t}$. It turns out that they are parametrized by pairs $\lambda_{\bullet}, \mu_{\bullet}$ where $\lambda_{\bullet}$ and $\mu_{\bullet}$ are finite sequences of length $t+2$ with elements arbitrary Young diagrams. We then describe the indecomposable injective objects in $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ (equivalently, the injective hulls of the simple objects) and compute explicitly the layers of their socle filtrations. The simple objects of $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ have infinite injective length and the injective hull $I$ of the trivial 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module $\mathbb{K}$ plays a special role. In particular, the $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module $I$ has also the structure of a commutative associative algebra.

An essential novelty going beyond the ideas of [ChP2021] is that we write down an explicit injective resolution of any simple object, and hence obtain explicit formulas for all Exts between simple modules in $\mathbb{T}_{t}$.

Finally, following again [ChP2021], we define the desired universal category $\mathbf{T}_{t}$. This is the category of $\left(\mathfrak{g l}^{M}, I\right)$-modules, whose objects are the objects of $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ which are free as $I$-modules (in particular, $I \in \mathbf{T}_{t}$ ) and whose morphisms are morphisms of $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-modules as well as of $I$ modules. The tensor product in $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ is $\otimes_{I}$ and the simple objects in the new category are nothing but simple objects of $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ tensored by $I$. These new simple objects have finite injective length in $\mathbf{T}_{t}$. Moreover, as an object of $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ the module $I$ is both simple and injective. We compute explicitly all Exts between simple objects in $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ by writing down canonical injective resolutions of simples. In the case of $\mathbf{T}_{0}$ studied in [ChP2021], this yields a new formula for the dimension of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{T}_{0}}^{q}\left(I \otimes L_{\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{0} ; \nu_{0}, \nu_{1}}, I \otimes L_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0} ; \mu_{0}, \mu_{1}}\right)$ as the multiplicity of $I \otimes L_{\kappa_{1}^{\perp}, \kappa_{0} ; \nu_{0}^{1}, \nu_{1}}$ in the $q$-th layer of the socle filtration of the injective hull of the module $I \otimes L_{\lambda_{1}^{\perp}, \lambda_{0} ; \mu_{0}^{\perp}, \mu_{1}}$, where $L_{\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{0} ; \nu_{0}, \nu_{1}}, L_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{0} ; \mu_{0}, \mu_{1}}$ are arbitrary simple objects in $\mathbb{T}_{0}$ and ${ }^{\perp}$ stands for conjugate Young diagram.

A brief outline of the contents is as follows. In $\S 2$ we define Mackey Lie algebras and determine their ideals. In $\S 3$ we introduce the module $I$. In $\S 4$ we collect necessary notions from category theory. In $\S 5$ and $\S 6$, which contain the technical bulk of the paper, we study the categories $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{t}$, respectively. We exhibit some unexpected combinatorial symmetries of these categories in $\S 7$. In $\S 8$ we prove the universality property of $\mathbf{T}_{t}$.
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## 2 Basic notions

The ground field for all vector spaces and tensor products is an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0 , unless stated otherwise. We set $\otimes:=\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$. If $V$ is a vector space, then $V^{*}:=\operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathbb{K})$ stands for the dual vector space and $\mathfrak{g l}(V)$ denotes the Lie algebra of all linear operators on $V$. By $\mathbb{N}$ we denote the natural numbers (including 0 ), and $|A|$ stands for the cardinality of a set $A$. We assume the Axiom of Choice, hence the class of cardinals is well ordered. By definition, $\aleph_{0}$ is the smallest infinite cardinal (the cardinal of a countable set), $\aleph_{1}$ is the successor of $\aleph_{0}$, and $\aleph_{t}$ is the successor of $\aleph_{t-1}$ for $t-1 \in \mathbb{N}$. We do not assume the Continuum Hypothesis (or the Generalized Continuum Hypothesis), which means that the equality $\aleph_{1}=2^{\aleph_{0}}$ does not necessarily hold.

Let $V$ be a vector space. For any subset $A \subseteq V$, we write $\operatorname{span} A \subseteq V$ for the set of all (finite) linear combinations of elements of $A$. A subset $\mathcal{B} \subseteq V$ is a basis of $V$, if $\operatorname{span} \mathcal{B}=V$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is minimal with this property. The Axiom of Choice implies that every vector space admits a basis. The dimension of a vector space is the cardinality of a basis.

The space of linear operators on a vector space $V$, considered as a Lie algebra, will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g l}(V)$.

If $M$ is a module over a Lie algebra, or an associative algebra, the socle of $M$, $\operatorname{soc} M$ is the semisimple submodule of $M$. The socle filtration of $M$ is defined inductively by setting $\operatorname{soc}^{1} M:=\operatorname{soc} M, \operatorname{soc}^{q} M:=\pi_{q-1}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{soc}\left(M / \operatorname{soc}^{q-1} M\right)\right)$, where $\pi_{q-1}: M \rightarrow M / \operatorname{soc}^{q-1} M$ is the canonical projection. The layers of the socle filtration are defined as $\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q} M:=\operatorname{soc}^{q} M / \operatorname{soc}^{q-1} M$. The socle filtration of a module $M$ is exhaustive if $M=\lim _{\rightarrow} \operatorname{soc}^{q} M$. The socle filtration of a module of finite length is always exhaustive.

The radical of a $M$ is the joint kernel of all homomorphisms from $M$ to simple quotients. Setting $\operatorname{rad}^{1} M:=\operatorname{rad} M$ and $\operatorname{rad}^{q} M:=\operatorname{rad}\left(\operatorname{rad}^{q-1} M\right)$ we obtain the radical filtration of $M$.

In the main body of the paper we quote extensively results from previous works in which the ground field is the field of complex numbers. We have ensured that all necessary results hold over a general field $\mathbb{K}$ as above, and we do not mention this explicitly below.

### 2.1 Mackey Lie algebra and its structure

Let $V, W$ be fixed vector spaces and

$$
\mathbf{p}: V \otimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{K}
$$

be a fixed nondegenerate pairing (nondegenerate bilinear form). This determines embeddings $W \subset V^{*}$ and $V \subset W^{*}$. The Mackey Lie algebra associated to the pairing $\mathbf{p}$ is

$$
\mathfrak{g l}^{M}(V, W):=\left\{\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}(V): \varphi^{*}(W) \subset W\right\},
$$

where $\varphi^{*}$ stands for the endomorphism of $V^{*}$ dual to $\varphi$. We consider $\mathfrak{g}^{M}(V, W)$ as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}(V)$, but it can also be considered as an associative subalgebra of End $V$.

We shall focus on the case where the vector spaces $V$ and $W$ are isomorphic and the pairing is diagonalizable. The latter means that there exist bases $\left\{v_{b}: b \in \mathcal{B}\right\}$ of $V$ and $\left\{w_{b}: b \in \mathcal{B}\right\}$, parametrized by the same set $\mathcal{B}$, so that, for $v=\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} v(b) v_{b} \in V$ and $w=\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} w(b) w_{b} \in W$, we have

$$
\mathbf{p}(v, w)=\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} v(b) w(b) .
$$

Recall that, by a classical theorem of G. Mackey [M1945], every nondegenerate pairing of countable-dimensional vector spaces is diagonalizable. This result does not generalize to higher dimensions, and we take the diagonalizability of $\mathbf{p}$ as an assumption. In this situation, $W$ is referred to as the restricted dual $V_{*}$ of $V$. Since $W=V_{*}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ are fixed, we shall use the short notation $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$. Also, we denote $\bar{V}:=\left(V_{*}\right)^{*}$ and assume that $V$ is embedded in $\bar{V}$ by use of the pairing $\mathbf{p}$.

From now on, we suppose that the dimension of $V$ is an infinite cardinal number of the form $\aleph_{t}$ with a fixed $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the index set for a fixed pair of dual bases of $V$ and $V_{*}$ as above. We have $|\mathcal{B}|=\aleph_{t}$. Since a pair of dual bases is fixed, both vector spaces $V^{*}$ and $\bar{V}$ can be identified with the space $\operatorname{Maps}(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{K})$. For $s \leq t+1$ we define $V_{s}^{*}$ and $\bar{V}_{s}$ to be the respective subspaces of $V^{*}$ and $\bar{V}$, identified with the subspace $\{x \in \operatorname{Maps}(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{K}):|\operatorname{supp}(x)|<$ $\left.\aleph_{s}\right\} \subset \operatorname{Maps}(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{K})$, where $\operatorname{supp}(x):=\{b \in \mathcal{B}: x(b) \neq 0\}$. Thus $V_{*}=V_{0}^{*}, V=\bar{V}_{0}, V^{*}=V_{t+1}^{*}$ and $\bar{V}=\bar{V}_{t+1}$.

Using [J1956, Theorem 4.1], the reader can check that the cardinalities and the dimensions of the vector spaces $V^{*}, \bar{V}, \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$, End $V$ are all equal to $|\mathbb{K}|^{\aleph_{t}}$. The dimensions of $V$ and $V_{*}$ equal $\aleph_{t}$ by definition, but the cardinalities $|V|$ and $\left|V_{*}\right|$ equal $\max \left\{\aleph_{t},|\mathbb{K}|\right\}$. In addition, when $|\mathbb{K}|=$ $|\mathbb{K}|^{\aleph_{t}}$ we have $|V|=\left|V_{*}\right|=\left|V^{*}\right|=|\bar{V}|=|\mathbb{K}|$, while $\operatorname{dim} V^{*}=\operatorname{dim} \bar{V}>\aleph_{t}=\operatorname{dim} V=\operatorname{dim} V_{*}$.

The notion of support is extended from vectors in $V^{*}$ to vectors in tensor powers $\left(V^{*}\right)^{\otimes q}$ as follows. Any $v \in\left(V^{*}\right)^{\otimes q}$ can be written as a finite $\operatorname{sum} v=\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{1}^{j} \otimes v_{2}^{j} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{q}^{j}$ with $v_{i}^{j} \in V^{*}$. We put

$$
\operatorname{supp}(v):=\bigcup_{i, j} \operatorname{supp}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right)
$$

Clearly $|\operatorname{supp}(v)|=\max _{i, j}\left\{\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right)\right|\right\}$. The notion of cardinality of support is well defined also for elements of the quotient spaces $V^{*} / V_{s}^{*}$ by use of representatives. Analogous definitions are valid for elements of $\bar{V}$ and $\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{s}$.

The Mackey Lie algebra can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g l}^{M} & =\left\{\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}(V): \forall b \in \mathcal{B},\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(\varphi^{*}\left(x_{b}\right)\right)\right|<\infty\right\} \\
& \cong\left\{\varphi \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}}: \forall b \in \mathcal{B},\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(\varphi_{b, .}\right)\right|<\infty,\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(\varphi_{., b}\right)\right|<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, as customary, $\varphi_{a, b}$ denotes the value of $\varphi$ at $(a, b) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$. After choosing a linear order on $\mathcal{B}$, the Mackey Lie algebra can be identified with the space of $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$-matrices with finitely many nonzero entries in each row and each column, with commutator the Lie bracket. The support of an element $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$, with respect to the fixed basis, is defined as

$$
\operatorname{supp}(\varphi):=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}: \varphi_{a, b} \neq 0\right\}
$$

The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right):=V \otimes V_{*} \subset \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ is an ideal and consists of all elements in $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ of finite rank. We put $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right):=$ kerp. This is also an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}^{M}$. The set of elementary matrices $\left\{e_{a, b}:=v_{a} \otimes x_{b}: a, b \in \mathcal{B}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$.
Proposition 2.1. ([ChP2017])
The filtration of length $t+2$

$$
V_{*}=V_{0}^{*} \subset V_{1}^{*} \subset V_{2}^{*} \subset \ldots \subset V_{t+1}^{*}=V^{*}
$$

is the socle filtration of the $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-modules $V^{*}$. The layers $V_{s+1}^{*} / V_{s}^{*}$ are irreducible. Analogous statements hold for the filtration

$$
V=\bar{V}_{0} \subset \bar{V}_{1} \subset \bar{V}_{2} \subset \ldots \subset \bar{V}_{t+1}=\bar{V}
$$

Consequently, the above filtrations of $V^{*}$ and $\bar{V}$ depend only on the pairing $\mathbf{p}$ and not on the chosen basis of $V$ used in their definition.

Our goal in the rest of this section is to determine all ideals of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{M}$. We also compute the socle and radical filtrations of the adjoint $\mathfrak{g}^{M}$-module. We start with

Lemma 2.2. (i) The center of the Mackey Lie algebra consists of the scalar transformations $\mathbb{K i d}_{V}$ of $V$.
(ii) For $0 \leq s \leq t+1$, there is an ideal $\mathfrak{g}_{s}^{M} \subset \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ given by

$$
\mathfrak{g l}_{s}^{M}:=\left\{\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}: \varphi^{*}\left(V^{*}\right) \subset V_{s}^{*}\right\} .
$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward.
Remark 2.1. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{M}=\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{g l}_{t+1}^{M}=\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$. Furthermore, if $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ is considered as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}\left(V_{*}\right)$ instead of $\mathfrak{g l}(V)$, then the ideal $\mathfrak{g l}_{s}^{M}$ is given by $\left\{\psi \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}: \psi^{*}(\bar{V}) \subset \bar{V}_{s}\right\}$.

For any subset $A \subset \mathcal{B}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{g}^{A}$ the subalgebra whose elements are supported on $A \times A$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}=\mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{B}}$. If $|A|=n$ is finite, then $\mathfrak{g}^{A}$ is a copy of $\mathfrak{g l}_{n}$. If $|A|$ is infinite, then $\mathfrak{g}^{A}$ is a Mackey Lie algebra for the obvious restriction of the pairing $\mathbf{p}$. If $A, B \subset \mathcal{B}$ are disjoint then $\mathfrak{g}^{A}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{B}$ commute, and we have a subalgebra of the form $\mathfrak{g}^{A} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{B} \subset \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$, which is block-diagonal if an order on $\mathcal{B}$ is chosen so that $A<B$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$. There exists a partition of $\mathcal{B}$ into a disjoint union of countable (possibly finite) sets $\mathcal{B}=\bigsqcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}} C_{b}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \in \mathfrak{l}_{\varphi}:=\bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}} \mathfrak{g}^{C_{b}} \text {, i.e., } \quad \operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subset \bigsqcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}} C_{b}^{\times 2}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ is an arbitrarily chosen set of representatives of the sets partitioning $\mathcal{B}$. Each set $C_{b}$ admits a partition into a disjoint union of finite sets $C_{b}=\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{b}^{n}$ (possibly with finitely many parts) so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subset \bigsqcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}}\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(C_{b}^{n} \cup C_{b}^{n+1}\right)^{\times 2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists a well-order on $\mathcal{B}$, with respect to which the matrix of $\varphi$ is block-diagonal with blocks of (possibly finite) countable dimensions. Within each block there is a block structure with finite blocks, such that all nonzero entries of the matrix of $\varphi$ lie within the main blockdiagonal and the two adjacent block-diagonals.

Proof. For $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we set $A_{\varphi}(b):=\left\{a \in \mathcal{B}: \varphi_{a, b} \neq 0\right.$ or $\left.\varphi_{b, a} \neq 0\right\}$, and note that $A_{\varphi}(b)$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{B}$ since $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$. We define an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{B}$ by declaring two elements $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ equivalent if either $a=b$ or there is a finite sequence $b=b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}=a$ such that $b_{j} \in A_{\varphi}\left(b_{j-1}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$. Each equivalence class is at most countable. Let $C_{b}$ denote the equivalence class of $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and let $C_{b}^{n}$ denote the (finite) set of elements $a$ for which a sequence $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n}$ as above exists, but a shorter sequence does not exist. Also let $C_{b}^{0}:=\{b\}$. We fix a
set of representatives $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ for the equivalence classes. Thus we obtain a decomposition of $\mathcal{B}$ into finite subsets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=\bigsqcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}}\left(\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{b}^{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now formula (2) follows by construction and implies formula (1). The asserted order is defined as follows. For $b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$, we define a well-order on $C_{b}$ by declaring $b$ to be the minimal element, ordering each $C_{b}^{n}$ well, and setting $C_{b}^{n}<C_{b}^{n+1}$. These orders are combined into a well-order of $\mathcal{B}$ through an arbitrarily well-order of $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$. Moreover, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}_{\varphi} \subset \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ containing $\varphi$ takes the form of a block-diagonal subalgebra with blocks of countable dimension, and the remaining statements concerning the countable blocks of $\varphi$ are easy to verify.

Remark 2.2. The block structure of the matrix of $\varphi$ constructed in Lemma 2.3 can be made transparent as follows. Let $D \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ be the diagonal element with $D_{a, a}=n+1$ if $a \in C_{b}^{n}$ where $b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ is the unique element such that $a \in C_{b}$. Then $\varphi$ can be decomposed as $\varphi=\varphi_{-1}+\varphi_{0}+\varphi_{1}$ with $\left[D, \varphi_{j}\right]=j \varphi_{j}$. Hence $\varphi_{-1}, \varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}$ belong to any ideal of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ containing $\varphi$.

The matrix of $\varphi_{0}$ is block-diagonal with respect to the decomposition (3), while the matrices of $\varphi_{-1}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ are supported respectively on the first block-diagonal below and above the main blockdiagonal. We observe that $\varphi=\varphi_{0}$ if and only if $\varphi$ is diagonal, i.e., if $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\mathcal{B}$. Furthermore, if $\varphi$ is not diagonal and a block of $\varphi_{ \pm 1}$ vanishes, then the transposed block of $\varphi_{\mp 1}$ is nonzero, i.e., for every $b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C_{b}^{n+1}$ is nonempty, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \cap\left(\left(C_{b}^{n} \times C_{b}^{n+1}\right) \cup\left(C_{b}^{n+1} \times C_{b}^{n}\right)\right)\right) \neq \emptyset \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let the matrix of $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ have an infinite support, i.e., $|\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)|=\aleph_{s}$ with $s \in\{0, \ldots, t\}$. In case $s=t$, suppose furthermore $\varphi \notin \mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{t}^{M}$. Then the ideal $\mathfrak{J}_{\varphi} \subset \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ generated by $\varphi$ is equal to $\mathfrak{g l}_{s+1}^{M}$.
Proof. For $\operatorname{dim} V=\aleph_{0}$ and $\varphi \notin \mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right) \oplus \mathbb{K i d}_{V}$, the statement is proven in [PS2014, Corollary 6.6] and the result is $\mathfrak{J}_{\varphi}=\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$. We shall use Lemma 2.3 to reduce the general case to the case $\operatorname{dim} V=\aleph_{0}$. In what follows, we identify the elements of $\mathfrak{g}{ }^{M}$ with their matrices.

The first step is to show that the ideal $\mathfrak{J}_{\varphi}$ contains a diagonal matrix whose support has the cardinality of the support of $\varphi$. Let $\mathfrak{l}_{\varphi} \subset \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ be the subalgebra containing $\varphi$ provided by Lemma 2.3 and let $\varphi=\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}} \varphi^{(b)}$ be resulting the decomposition, $\varphi^{(b)}$ being the projection of $\varphi$ to $\mathfrak{g}^{C_{b}}$. For each $b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ there are two possibilities: $C_{b}$ is either finite, or infinite countable. If $C_{b}$ is finite, then the ideal generated by $\varphi^{(b)}$ within $\mathfrak{g}^{C_{b}} \cong \mathfrak{g l}_{\left|C_{b}\right|}$ contains diagonal matrices by [PS2014, Lemma 6.5] (there exists $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}^{C_{b}}$ such that $\left[x,\left[y,\left[z, \varphi^{(b)}\right]\right]\right]$ is diagonal). If $C_{b}$ is infinite countable, we can apply the aforementioned statement [PS2014, Corollary 6.6] to $\varphi^{(b)} \in \mathfrak{g}^{C_{b}}$ because $\varphi^{(b)}$ is not equal to the sum of a scalar matrix and a finite matrix by (4). We deduce that the ideal of $\mathfrak{g}^{C_{b}}$ generated by $\varphi^{(b)}$ is the entire $\mathfrak{g}^{C_{b}}$ and contains, in particular, the diagonal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{C_{b}}$.

Now suppose that $\varphi$ is diagonal and either $s<t$ or $\varphi \notin \mathbb{K} \operatorname{id}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{t}^{M}$. For the next step we will need a certain family of diagonal matrices $\varphi^{(g)}$ belonging to $\mathfrak{J}_{\varphi}$. Consider a splitting of $\mathcal{B}$ in two parts, $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{1} \sqcup \mathcal{B}_{2}$, such that $\mathcal{B}_{1} \subset \operatorname{supp}(\varphi),\left|\mathcal{B}_{1}\right|=|\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)|$, and there is an injection $f: \mathcal{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{2}$ with $\varphi_{b, b} \neq \varphi_{f(b), f(b)}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$. Put

$$
x:=\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_{1}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{b, b}-\varphi_{f(b), f(b)}} e_{b, f(b)} \quad, \quad y:=\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_{1}} g(b) e_{f(b), b}
$$

where $g: \mathcal{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is arbitrary. Then

$$
\varphi^{(g)}:=[y,[x, \varphi]]=\sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_{1}} g(b) e_{b, b}-g(b) e_{f(b), f(b)}
$$

is a diagonal matrix with support contained in $\mathcal{B}_{1} \sqcup f\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$ and determined by the function $g$.
Next, using suitable matrices $\varphi^{(g)}$ we will show that any matrix in $\mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{l}_{s+1}^{M}$ with zeros on its diagonal actually belongs to $\mathfrak{J}_{\varphi}$. Let $\left(\mathfrak{g l}^{M}\right)_{\text {diag=0 }}$ be the set of matrices with zeros on the diagonal and $\psi \in\left(\mathfrak{g l}^{M}\right)_{\text {diag=0 }}$ be an arbitrary matrix with $|\operatorname{supp}(\psi)|=|\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)|$. Let $\mathcal{B}=\bigsqcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}} \tilde{C}_{b}$ be the partition of $\mathcal{B}$ defined by $\psi$ as in Lemma 2.3. Note that

$$
\operatorname{supp}(\psi) \subset \bigsqcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}:\left|C_{b}\right|>1} \tilde{C}_{b}^{\times 2}
$$

Hence the set $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left\{b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}:\left|C_{b}\right|>1\right\}$ has cardinality $|\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)|$. There is a surjective map

$$
\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}} \tilde{C}_{b}=: \mathcal{B}_{3}
$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_{1} \subset \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$ be any subset such that $\left|\mathcal{B}_{1}\right|=|\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)|$ and $\left|\mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{1}\right|=|\mathcal{B}|$. Put $\mathcal{B}_{2}:=\mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{1}$. Let $f: \mathcal{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{2}$ be an injection such that $\varphi_{b, b} \neq \varphi_{f(b), f(b)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{3} \subset \mathcal{B}_{1} \cup f\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$. Then $g: \mathcal{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ can be selected so that $\varphi_{a, a}^{(g)} \neq \varphi_{a^{\prime}, a^{\prime}}^{(g)}$ whenever $a, a^{\prime} \in \tilde{C}_{b}$ for some $b$ and $a \neq a^{\prime}$. The matrix $\varphi^{(g)}$ satisfies

$$
\left[\varphi^{(g)},\left(\mathfrak{g l}^{M}\right)_{\text {diag }=0} \cap \mathfrak{l}_{\psi}\right]=\left(\mathfrak{g}^{M}\right)_{\text {diag }=0} \cap \mathfrak{l}_{\psi} .
$$

In particular $\psi \in \mathfrak{J}_{\varphi}$. We conclude that $\left(\mathfrak{g l}^{M}\right)_{\text {diag=0 }} \cap \mathfrak{g l}_{s+1}^{M} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\varphi}$, which in turn implies $\mathfrak{g l}_{s+1}^{M} \subset \mathfrak{J}_{\varphi}$. Since $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}_{s+1}^{M}$, we get $\mathfrak{g l}_{s+1}^{M}=\mathfrak{J}_{\varphi}$.
Corollary 2.5. The nonzero ideals of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ contained in $\mathfrak{g l}_{t}^{M}$ are exactly $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{g l} s_{s}^{M}$ for $s \in\{0, \ldots, t\}$. There is a single proper ideal of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ strictly containing $\mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{l}_{t}^{M}$, and this is $\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{t}^{M}$.

Proof. Both statements follow immediately from Lemma 2.4.
We are now in a position to describe the socle filtration of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$.
Theorem 2.6. The adjoint $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module is indecomposable, has length $t+4$, and its socle filtration is given by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{soc}^{1} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}=\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right) & , & \\
\operatorname{soc}^{2} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}=\mathbb{K i d} \operatorname{Kid}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right) & , & \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{2} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}=\mathfrak{q} \cong \mathbb{K} \\
\operatorname{soc}^{s+3} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}=\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l} l_{s+1}^{M} & , & \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{s+3} \mathfrak{g}^{M}=\mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{g}_{s+1}^{M} / \mathfrak{g l}_{s}^{M}, \quad s=0, \ldots, t-1, \\
\operatorname{soc}^{t+3} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}=\mathfrak{g l}^{M} & , & \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{t+3} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}=\mathfrak{g l}^{M} /\left(\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{t}^{M}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, for $s \geq 1$ the layer $\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{s+1} \mathfrak{g}^{M}$ is a simple $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-module.
Proof. The submodules of the adjoint $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module are the ideals of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$. We begin with the chain of ideals obtained in Lemma 2.2, with added initial term $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right) \subset \mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)=\mathfrak{g l}_{0}^{M} \subset \mathfrak{g l}_{1}^{M} \subset \ldots \subset \mathfrak{g l}_{t}^{M} \subset \mathfrak{g l}_{t+1}^{M}=\mathfrak{g l}^{M} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ is simple, being a direct limit of simple Lie algebras, and there are no ideals of $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$ between $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ because the quotient is 1-dimensional. Moreover, Corollary 2.5 implies that all inclusions in (5) are essential, and that all quotients $\mathfrak{g l}_{s+1}^{M} / \mathfrak{g}_{s}^{M}$ for $s=0, \ldots, t-1$, as well as the quotient $\mathfrak{g l}^{M} /\left(\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{t}^{M}\right)$, are simple. Since $\mathbb{K} \operatorname{id}_{V} \subset \operatorname{soc}^{1} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$, the statement about the socle filtration follows.

The fact that all inclusions in (5) are essential implies that in order to establish the indecomposability of $\mathfrak{g}^{M}$ it suffices to show that the ideal $\mathbb{K}^{\operatorname{id}}{ }_{V}$ does not split off. This is a direct corollary of the famous assertion of Heisenberg that the equation $[x, y]=\mathrm{id}_{V}$ has a solution in infinite three-diagonal matrices. Classically this statement is known for $t=0$, but it holds for any $t$ since one easily constructs block-diagonal matrices $x, y$ in $\mathfrak{g l}^{M} \backslash \mathfrak{g l}_{t}^{M}$ such that $[x, y]=\operatorname{id}_{V}$. It is essential that each diagonal block, being a three-diagonal Heisenberg matrix of countable size, has finite rows and columns, which ensures that $x, y$ lie in $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$ and not just in $\mathfrak{g l}(V)$.

Corollary 2.7. The radical filtration of the adjoint $\mathfrak{g}^{M}$-module is the following modification of filtration (5):

$$
\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right) \subset \mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)=\mathfrak{g l}_{0}^{M} \subset \mathfrak{g l}_{1}^{M} \subset \ldots \subset \mathfrak{g l}_{t-1}^{M} \subset \mathbb{K} \operatorname{id}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{t}^{M} \subset \mathfrak{g l}_{t+1}^{M}=\mathfrak{g l}^{M}
$$

In other words,

$$
\operatorname{rad}^{1} \mathfrak{g}^{M}=\mathbb{K} \operatorname{id}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{t}^{M}, \operatorname{rad}^{s+1} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}=\mathfrak{g l}_{t-s}^{M} \text { for } s=1, \ldots, t, \operatorname{rad}^{t+2} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}=\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)
$$

Proof. The statement follows immediately from the properties of the chain (5), and from the fact that the direct sum $\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{t-1}^{M}$ is a direct sum of ideals.

Theorem 2.8. The following is a complete list of nonzero proper ideals in the Mackey Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$ :
(i) the center $\mathbb{K i d}_{V}$;
(ii) $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$;
(iii) $\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)=\operatorname{soc}^{1} \mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$;
(iv) $\mathbb{K}\left(z \operatorname{id}_{V}+e_{b, b}\right)+\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right) \subset \operatorname{soc}^{2} \mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$ for arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{K} \backslash\{0\}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$; this ideal depends only on $z$ and not on $b$;
(v) $\mathfrak{g l}_{s}^{M} \subset \operatorname{soc}^{s+2} \mathfrak{g l} l^{M}$ for $s=0, \ldots, t$ (recall that $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{M}=\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ );
(vi) $\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{s}^{M}=\operatorname{soc}^{s+2} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ for $s=0, \ldots, t$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ be a nonzero proper ideal of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ and let $r$ be the minimal integer such that $\mathfrak{J} \subset \operatorname{soc}^{r} \mathfrak{g}{ }^{M}$. Then $r \leq t+2$ since any $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ which lies in the preimage of a nonzero element of the simple quotient $\mathfrak{g l}^{M} / \operatorname{soc}^{t+2} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ generates $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$. If $r=1$ then $\mathfrak{J}$ is one of the ideals (i),(ii),(iii). Assume $2 \leq r \leq t+2$. The minimality of $r$ ensures that $\mathfrak{J}$ projects nontrivially to the layer $\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{r} \mathfrak{g}^{M}$, which is a simple module. We consider two cases, $r=2$ and $r>2$. If $r>2$, then the layer $\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{r} \mathfrak{g l}^{M}=\mathfrak{g l} l_{r-2}^{M} / \mathfrak{g l}_{r-3}^{M}$ is a nontrivial simple $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module. Hence, the projection of $\mathfrak{J}$ to $\mathfrak{g l}_{r-2}^{M}$ is the entire $\mathfrak{g l}_{r-2}^{M}$. Since $\mathbb{K}^{M} \operatorname{id}_{V}$ is central, we conclude that $\mathfrak{g}_{r-2}^{M} \subset \mathfrak{J}$. So the possibilities are $\mathfrak{J}=\mathfrak{g l} l_{r-2}^{M}$ or $\mathfrak{J}=\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}_{r-2}^{M}$, which account for items (v) and (vi) in our list with $r-2=s>0$. The case $r=2$ is covered by (v) and (vi) for $s=0$, along with the remaining item (iv). Indeed, if $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathbb{K i d} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ projects nontrivially to $\underline{s o c}^{2} \mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M} \cong \mathbb{K}$, we have either
$\operatorname{soc} \mathfrak{J}=\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ or $\operatorname{soc} \mathfrak{J}=\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$. In the former case, we get $\mathfrak{J}=\mathbb{K} \operatorname{id} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ covering item (vi), $s=0$. In the latter case, since $\operatorname{soc}^{2} \mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M} / \mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right) \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$, we conclude that $\operatorname{soc}^{2} \mathfrak{J} \cong \mathbb{K}$ and $\mathfrak{J}$ is generated by an element of the form $\varphi=z \operatorname{id}_{V}+e_{b, b}$ with a suitable $z \in \mathbb{K}$ and any $b \in \mathcal{B}$ (it is clear that all $b \in \mathcal{B}$ yield the same ideal for a fixed $z$ ). For $z=0$ we obtain $\mathfrak{J}=\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ covering item (v) with $s=0$. For $z \neq 0$ we obtain item (iv).

Corollary 2.9. The only ideal of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ which is not principal, i.e., is not generated by one element, is $\mathbb{K i d}_{V} \oplus \mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$.

Proof. The result follows from the above proof and Lemma 2.4.
Remark 2.3. The ideals of item (iv) in Theorem 2.8 are very similar to certain ideals of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}(V)$ found in [S1972], see also [BHO2023].

### 2.2 Tensor algebras and Schur functors

Let us recall some general results for decompositions of tensor powers.
We denote by $T(X)$ the tensor algebra generated by a vector space $X$. For any Young diagram $\lambda$ and any vector space $X$, we denote by $X_{\lambda}$ the image of $X$ under the Schur functor corresponding to $\lambda: X_{\lambda} \subset X^{\otimes|\lambda|}$. Here $|\lambda|$ denotes the number of boxes in $\lambda$. Also, we denote by $\Lambda$ the set of Young diagrams, by $\emptyset$ the empty diagram, and by $\lambda^{\perp}$ the transposed Young diagram (the corresponding partition is called conjugate). Standard Schur-Weyl duality yields the following decomposition in our context

$$
X^{\otimes m}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda|=m} \mathbb{K}^{\lambda} \otimes X_{\lambda} \quad, \quad T(X)=\bigoplus_{\lambda} \mathbb{K}^{\lambda} \otimes X_{\lambda}
$$

where $\mathbb{K}^{\lambda}$ is the irreducible module of the symmetric group on $|\lambda|$ letters determined by the partition $\lambda$. The $m$-the symmetric and skew-symmetric tensor powers $S^{m} X$ and $\Lambda^{m} X$ correspond to $\lambda=(m)$ and $\lambda=(1, \ldots, 1)$, respectively.

We denote by $c_{\lambda}: X^{\otimes|\lambda|} \rightarrow X_{\lambda}$ the projection associated to a standard Young tableau of shape $\lambda$, which we fix once and for all to be the tableau where the numbers $1, \ldots,|\lambda|$ fill the boxes of $\lambda$ in their initial order.

Proposition 2.10. ([ChP2021, Proposition 2.2]; [PS2014, § 4])
Let $X, Y$ be two objects in a tensor category. Then the following hold.

1. For $m, n \geq 0, X^{\otimes m} \otimes Y^{\otimes n}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda|=m,|\mu|=n} \mathbb{K}^{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{K}^{\mu} \otimes X_{\lambda} \otimes Y_{\mu}$.
2. For $m \geq 0, S^{m}(X \otimes Y)=\underset{|\lambda|=m}{\bigoplus} X_{\lambda} \otimes Y_{\lambda}$.
3. For $m \geq 0, \Lambda^{m}(X \otimes Y)=\underset{|\lambda|=m}{\bigoplus} X_{\lambda} \otimes Y_{\lambda^{\perp}}$.

### 2.3 Dense subalgebras

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a Lie algebra, $R$ be a $\mathfrak{G}$-module, and $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{G}$ a subalgebra. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}$ is said to act densely on $R$, if for any finite subset of vectors $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n} \in R$ and any $g \in \mathfrak{G}$, there exists $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that $g \cdot r_{j}=h \cdot r_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$.

Proposition 2.11. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a Lie algebra and $R$ be a $\mathfrak{G}$-module.
(a) If a subalgebra $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{G}$ acts densely on $R$, then $\mathfrak{H}$ acts densely on the tensor algebra $T(R)$ and on all its subquotients.
(b) If $\mathfrak{G}$ acts densely on $R$ as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}(R)$ ( $R$ considered as a vector space), then for any partition $\lambda$ the $\mathfrak{G}$-module $R_{\lambda}$ is simple with $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{G}} R_{\lambda} \cong \mathbb{K}$.
(c) If $\mathfrak{J}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{G}$ acting densely and irreducibly on $R$ with $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{J}} R=\mathbb{K}$, then the functor

$$
\bullet \otimes R: \mathfrak{G} / \mathfrak{J}-\bmod \longrightarrow \mathfrak{G}-\bmod
$$

is fully faithful; it sends simple modules to simple modules and essential inclusions to essential inclusions.

Proofs are given in [PS2014, Lemma 7.3] for part (a), [ChP2017, Proposition 4.5] for part (b), [ChP2017, Lemma 4.4] and [ChP2021, Lemma 3.3] for part (c).

## 3 The module $I$

Consider the canonical projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{p}}: \bar{V} \otimes V^{*} \longrightarrow \bar{V} \otimes V^{*} /\left(\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V^{*}\right)+\mathfrak{s l}\left(\bar{V}, V_{*}\right)\right)=: Q \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V^{*}\right):=\operatorname{ker}\left(V \otimes V^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{s l}\left(\bar{V}, V_{*}\right):=\operatorname{ker}\left(\bar{V} \otimes V_{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\right)$. Recall that $\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)=$ $V \otimes V_{*}$ and $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathbf{p}: V \otimes V_{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\right)$ are ideals of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$. Hence

$$
\mathfrak{q}:=\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\left(V \otimes V_{*}\right)=\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\left(V \otimes V^{*}\right)=\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\left(\bar{V} \otimes V_{*}\right) \subset Q
$$

is a 1 -dimensional trivial $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-module, generated by $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\left(v_{b} \otimes x_{b}\right)$ for an arbitrary $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Consequently, there is a short exact sequence of $\mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{g}^{M}$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} Q \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\iota(\mathbb{K})=\mathfrak{q}$ with $\iota(1)=\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\left(v_{b} \otimes x_{b}\right)$ for any $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $F:=\bar{V} / V \otimes V^{*} / V_{*}$.
We define a $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
I:=\lim _{\longrightarrow} S^{k} Q, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\iota_{k}: S^{k} Q \hookrightarrow S^{k+1} Q$ is the map generalizing $\iota_{0}=\iota$, given by

$$
S^{k} Q \cong S^{k} Q \otimes \mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \iota} S^{k} Q \otimes Q \xrightarrow{\text { multiply }} S^{k+1} Q .
$$

The exact sequence (7) generalizes, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow S^{k} Q \xrightarrow{\iota_{k}} S^{k+1} Q \xrightarrow{\pi_{k}} S^{k+1} F \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the successive quotients (or layers) of the defining filtration of $I$ are $S^{k} F$ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$.

## Proposition 3.1. ([ChP2021])

The module I carries a commutative algebra structure, made evident by the isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}{ }^{M}$-modules $I \cong S \bullet Q /\langle 1-\iota(1)\rangle$, where $\langle 1-\iota(1)\rangle$ denotes the ideal of $S^{\bullet} Q$ generated by $1-\iota(1)$.

We observe that for every pair of natural numbers $r, s \leq t+1$ there is a $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-submodule of $Q$ defined as

$$
Q^{r, s}:=\tilde{\mathbf{p}}\left(\bar{V}_{r} \otimes V_{s}^{*}\right) \subseteq Q .
$$

Since $\mathfrak{q} \subset Q^{r, s}$ the construction of $I$ can be repeated with $Q^{r, s}$ instead of $Q$, yielding a $\mathfrak{g l}^{M_{-}}$ module

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{r, s}:=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} S^{k} Q^{r, s} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an essential extension of the trivial module $\mathfrak{q} \cong \mathbb{K}$. Thus we obtain a family of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-submodules and commutative subalgebras of $I$ :

$$
\mathfrak{q} \subset I^{r, s} \subset I^{t+1, t+1}=I, r, s \leq t+1
$$

Note that $I^{r, s} \subset I^{r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}$ if and only if $r \leq r^{\prime}$ and $s \leq s^{\prime}$.
Our next aim is to define a morphism of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-modules $\psi: I \rightarrow F \otimes I$. Let $S^{\bullet} Q=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} S^{k} Q$ be the symmetric algebra over $Q$, and

$$
\Delta: S^{\bullet} Q \longrightarrow S^{\bullet} Q \otimes S^{\bullet} Q \quad, \quad \Delta(v)=v \otimes 1+1 \otimes v \text { for } v \in Q
$$

be the comultiplication which defines a Hopf algebra structure on $S^{\bullet} Q$. The comultiplication is a morphism of $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-modules. We denote by

$$
\Delta_{j}^{k}: S^{k} Q \rightarrow S^{j} Q \otimes S^{k-j} Q
$$

the composition of the restriction $\Delta: S^{k} Q \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} S^{j} Q \otimes S^{k-j} Q$ with the projection to the $j$-th summand.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a morphism $\psi^{k}=\left(\pi_{k} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \circ \Delta_{1}^{k}$ :

$$
\psi^{k}: S^{k} Q \xrightarrow{\Delta_{1}^{k}} Q \otimes S^{k-1} Q \xrightarrow{\pi_{k} \otimes \mathrm{id}} F \otimes S^{k-1} Q .
$$

This enables us to define the morphism $\psi$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi:=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } \psi^{k}: I \longrightarrow F \otimes I . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. We have $\psi^{k+1} \circ \iota_{k}=\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \iota_{k-1}\right) \circ \psi^{k}$.
Proof. The argument in [ChP2021, § 3.1] can be repeated in our context without alteration.
Lemma 3.3. The kernel of $\psi$ is 1-dimensional, given by $\operatorname{ker} \psi=\mathfrak{q} \cong \mathbb{K}$.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{k} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)=\left(\iota_{k} \circ \ldots \circ \iota_{1}\right)(\mathfrak{q}) \otimes S^{k-1} Q$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ker} \psi^{k} & =\left(\Delta_{1}^{k}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\iota_{k} \circ \ldots \circ \iota_{1}\right)(\mathfrak{q}) \otimes S^{k-1} Q\right) \\
& =\left(\iota_{k} \circ \ldots \circ \iota_{1}\right)(\mathfrak{q}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The constructions of this subsection can be carried out for $I^{r, s}$ (see formula (10)) instead of $I$. One only needs to replace $Q$ by $Q^{r, s}$ and $F$ by $F^{r, s}=\bar{V}_{r} / V \otimes V_{s}^{*} / V_{*}$. The restricted morphism $\psi_{\mid I^{r, s}}: I^{r, s} \rightarrow F^{r, s} \otimes I^{r, s}$ factors through $I^{r, s} \rightarrow I^{r, s} / \mathfrak{q}$.

## 4 Background from category theory

### 4.1 Ordered Grothendieck categories

Definition 4.1. ([ChP2021, Def. 2.3]) Let $(\mathcal{P}, \preceq)$ be a poset. An ordered Grothendieck category with underlying order $(\mathcal{P}, \preceq)$ is a Grothendieck category $\mathcal{C}$ with a given set of objects $X_{i}$, $i \in \mathcal{P}$ with the following properties.
(a) The objects $X_{i}$ have exhaustive socle filtrations.
(b) Every object in $\mathcal{C}$ is a subquotient of a direct sum of copies of various $X_{i}$.
(c) For every isomorphism type of simple objects in $\mathcal{C}$ there exists a unique $i \in \mathcal{P}$ such that this type occurs in

$$
\mathcal{S}_{i}:=\left\{\text { isomorphism types of simples in } \operatorname{soc} X_{i}\right\} .
$$

(d) Simple subquotients of $X_{i}$ outside $\operatorname{soc} X_{i}$ are in the socle of some $X_{j}$ with $j \prec i$.
(e) Each $X_{i}$ is a direct sum of objects with simple socle.
(f) For $j \prec i$, the maximal subobject $X_{i \succ j} \subset X_{i}$ whose simple constituents belong to various $\mathcal{S}_{k}$ for $i \succeq k \npreceq j$ is the common kernel of a family of morphisms $X_{i} \rightarrow X_{j}$.

We refer to $X_{i}, i \in \mathcal{P}$ as the order-defining objects of the ordered Grothendieck category $\mathcal{C}$.
Proposition 4.1. ([ChP2017, Proposition 2.5, Corollary 2.6])
Let $U$ be a simple subobject in $\operatorname{soc} X_{i}$ for some $i \in \mathcal{P}$ and let $\hat{U}$ be the direct summand of $X_{i}$ such that $U=\operatorname{soc} \hat{U}$. Then $\hat{U}$ is an injective hull of $U$.

The indecomposable injective objects in $\mathcal{C}$ are, up to isomorphism, precisely the indecomposable summands of the objects $X_{i}, i \in \mathcal{P}$.

### 4.2 Tensor categories

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category.
Remark 4.1. Let $0 \rightarrow x^{\prime} \rightarrow x \rightarrow x^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in a tensor category. Then the symmetric power $S^{k} x$ has a filtration $0=F_{-1} \subset F_{0} \subset \ldots \subset F_{n}=S^{k} x$ with $F / F_{j-1} \cong$ $S^{k-j} x^{\prime} \otimes S^{j} x^{\prime \prime}$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose that the tensor product of any two injective objects in $\mathcal{C}$ is again an injective object. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow U_{1} \rightarrow M^{0} \rightarrow M^{1} \rightarrow M^{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow M^{m} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow U_{2} \rightarrow N^{0} \rightarrow N^{1} \rightarrow N^{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow N^{n} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

be injective resolutions of two objects $U_{1}, U_{2}$. Then an injective resolution of $U_{1} \otimes U_{2}$ is given by

$$
0 \rightarrow U_{1} \otimes U_{2} \rightarrow R^{0} \rightarrow R^{1} \rightarrow R^{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow R^{m+n} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $R^{k}=\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} M^{k-j} \otimes N^{j}$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, m+n$, and the differential of this complex, restricted to $M^{k-j} \otimes N^{j}$, equals the tensor product of the respective differential of the initial two complexes.

Proof. The exactness of the resulting sequence follows from the Künneth formula. The modules $R^{j}$ are injective, by hypothesis, and hence we have and injective resolution.

Definition 4.2. A simple object in a tensor category is called pure, if it is not isomorphic to the tensor product of two nontrivial simple objects.

## 5 Categories of tensor modules for Mackey Lie algebras

We denote by $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ the smallest full tensor Grothendieck subcategory of $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-mod that contains $V^{*}$ and $\bar{V}$ and is closed under taking subquotients. For any set of objects $X, Y, \ldots$ in $\mathbb{T}_{t}$, we denote by $\mathbb{T}(X, Y, \ldots)$ the smallest full tensor Grothendieck subcategory of $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ containing these objects and closed under taking subquotients. In particular, $\mathbb{T}_{t}=\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}, \bar{V}\right)$. Since $t$ is fixed in the discussion, we abbreviate the notation to $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{T}_{t}$ most of the time.

### 5.1 The category $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$

Here we recollect some known results on the category $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$ that will serve as building blocks for some subsequent constructions. As before, $\Lambda$ stands for the set of Young diagrams and its elements are usually denoted by $\lambda, \mu$, etc.

For any pair of nonnegative integers $l, m$ we have a $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-module decomposition

$$
V_{*}^{\otimes l} \otimes V^{\otimes m}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda|=l,|\mu|=m} \mathbb{K}^{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{K}^{\mu} \otimes\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}
$$

For $(i, j) \in\{1, \ldots,|\mu|\} \times\{1, \ldots,|\nu|\}$ we denote by $\mathbf{p}_{i, j}: V_{*}^{\otimes l} \otimes V^{\otimes m} \rightarrow V_{*}^{\otimes(l-1)} \otimes V^{\otimes(m-1)}$ the contraction obtained by applying $\mathbf{p}: V_{*} \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ to the $i$-th tensorand of $V_{*}^{\otimes l}$ and the $j$-th tensorand of $V^{\otimes m}$. The submodule annihilated by all these contractions is

$$
V_{l ; m}:=\bigcap_{i, j} \operatorname{ker}\left(\mathbf{p}_{i, j}\right) \subset V_{*}^{\otimes l} \otimes V^{\otimes m}
$$

For any pair of Young diagrams $\lambda, \mu$ with $|\lambda|=l$ and $|\mu|=m$, and any fixed copy of $\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}$ inside $V_{*}^{\otimes l} \otimes V^{\otimes m}$, we denote

$$
V_{\lambda ; \mu}:=\bigcap_{i, j} \operatorname{ker}\left(\mathbf{p}_{i, j \mid\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}}\right) .
$$

More generally, for a pair of nonnegative integers $m, n$ and a pair of multiindices of the same size $\underline{i}=\left\{1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq m\right\}, \underline{j}=\left\{1 \leq j_{1}<\ldots<j_{k} \leq n\right\}$, we have a morphism of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-modules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{p}_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}}: V_{*}^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V_{*}^{\otimes(m-k)} \otimes V^{\otimes(n-k)} \\
& \quad\left(x_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{k}\right) \otimes\left(v_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{k}\right) \mapsto\left(\prod_{l=1}^{k} \mathbf{p}\left(x_{i_{l}} \otimes v_{j_{l}}\right)\right)\left(\otimes_{i \notin \underline{-}} x_{i}\right) \otimes\left(\otimes_{j \notin \underline{j}} v_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 5.1. For any pair of Young diagrams $\lambda$, $\mu$, the representation $V_{\lambda ; \mu}$ of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ is irreducible and the action of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right) \subset \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ on $V_{\lambda ; \mu}$ is dense in the sense of Definition 2.1.

Proof. In the case where $V$ has countable dimension (i.e., $t=0$ ) the result is proven in [PS2014] in two steps: first showing that $\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ acts densely on $V \oplus V_{*}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$, and second, using the fact that $V_{\lambda ; \mu}$ is a $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-submodule of the tensor algebra $T\left(V \oplus V_{*}\right)$ and applying Proposition 2.11,(a).

The general case can be reduced to the case $t=0$ by means of Lemma 2.3. Indeed, let $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n} \in V \oplus V_{*}$ and $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$. By Lemma 2.3 there is a well-order on $\mathcal{B}$ such that the matrix of $\varphi$ is block-diagonal with blocks of countable dimension. Let $C \subset \mathcal{B}$ be the union of the index sets of the blocks of $\varphi$ where the supports of $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ occur. Then $C$ is countable and we have $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}, \varphi r_{1}, \ldots, \varphi r_{n} \in U \oplus U_{*}$, where $U:=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{b}: b \in C\right\} \subset V$ and $\left.U_{*}:=\left\{x_{b}: b \in C\right\}\right) \subset V_{*}$. Now we can apply the argument of [PS2014] outlined above to infer the existence of $\psi \in \mathfrak{g l}\left(U, U_{*}\right) \subset \mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ such that $\varphi r_{j}=\psi r_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$.

Proposition 5.2. ([PS2014, Theorem 4.1])
Let $l, m$ be nonnegative integers. The socle filtration of the $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$-module $V_{*}^{\otimes l} \otimes V^{\otimes m}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{soc}^{k}\left(V_{*}^{\otimes l} \otimes V^{\otimes m}\right)=\bigcap_{\# \underline{i}=\# \underline{j}=k} \operatorname{ker}\left(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{i}, \underline{j}}\right) \quad, \quad k=1, \ldots, \min \{l, m\}
$$

In particular,

$$
\operatorname{soc}\left(V_{*}^{\otimes l} \otimes V^{\otimes m}\right)=V_{l ; m}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda|=l,|\mu|=m} \mathbb{K}^{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{K}^{\mu} \otimes V_{\lambda, \mu}
$$

Theorem 5.3. ([PSt2011, Th. 2.3], [PS2014, § 4])
Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ be Young diagrams. Then the layers of the socle filtration of the $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ module $\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}$ have the following isotypic decompositions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{k+1}\left(\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda:|\lambda|-|\xi|=k} h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} \cdot V_{\xi ; \eta} \quad, \quad \text { where } \quad h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}:=\sum_{\nu \in \Lambda} N_{\xi \nu}^{\lambda} N_{\nu \eta}^{\mu} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same applies for the Mackey Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$ instead of $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$.
It is an elementary but essential observation that $h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} \neq 0$ implies that there exists a unique $k=k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}:=|\lambda|-|\xi|=|\mu|-|\eta|$ such that $h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{\xi ; \eta}, \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{k+1}\left(\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}\right)\right) \neq 0$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\underline{\mathcal{P}}$ be the poset with underlying set $\mathbb{N}^{2}$ and the following relation:

$$
(l ; m) \leq\left(l^{\prime} ; m^{\prime}\right) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& l-m=l^{\prime}-m^{\prime} \\
& l \leq l^{\prime}, m \leq m^{\prime}
\end{aligned} .\right.
$$

Theorem 5.4. ([ChP2017, § 4.2])
The category $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$ is an ordered Grothendieck category with order-defining objects $\left(V_{*}\right)^{\otimes l} \otimes$


$$
\operatorname{soc}\left(\left(V_{*}\right)^{\otimes l} \otimes V^{\otimes m}\right)=V_{l ; m} .
$$

The simple objects and the indecomposable injectives of $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$ are, up to isomorphism, respectively, $V_{\lambda ; \mu}$ and $\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$.

The next theorem describes injective resolutions of the simple objects in $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$.

Theorem 5.5. ([PSt2011]; [PS2014]) For any pair of Young diagrams $\lambda$, $\mu$, the simple $\mathfrak{g}^{M}$ module $V_{\lambda ; \mu}$ admits the following injective resolution in $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$ of length $\left|\lambda \cap \mu^{\perp}\right|$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow V_{\lambda ; \mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{0}\left(V_{\lambda ; \mu}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{1}\left(V_{\lambda ; \mu}\right) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\left|\lambda \cap \mu^{\perp}\right|}\left(V_{\lambda ; \mu}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

with $\mathcal{I}^{k}\left(V_{\lambda ; \mu}\right)=\bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda:|\lambda|-|\xi|=k} m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} \cdot\left(V_{*}\right)_{\xi} \otimes V_{\eta}$ where $m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}:=\sum_{\nu \in \Lambda} N_{\xi \nu}^{\lambda} N_{\nu \perp \eta}^{\mu}$.
Consequently, for $k \geq 0$

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)}^{k}\left(V_{\xi ; \eta}, V_{\lambda ; \mu}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{\xi ; \eta^{\perp}},{\underline{\operatorname{soc}^{k+1}}}^{k+}\left(\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu^{\perp}}\right)\right),
$$

and $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)}^{k}\left(V_{\xi ; \eta}, V_{\lambda ; \mu}\right) \neq 0$ implies $k=k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=|\lambda|-|\xi|=|\mu|-|\eta|$.
In addition we observe that $m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=h_{\xi ; \eta^{\perp}}^{\lambda ; \mu^{\perp}}$.

### 5.2 Some families of tensor modules

In this section, generalizing constructions of [ChP2017],[ChP2021], we determine the simple $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-subquotients of the tensor algebra $T\left(V^{*} \oplus \bar{V}\right)$. We also define and study several families of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-modules relevant for the structure of $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ as an ordered Grothendieck category.

We let

$$
\Lambda:=\Lambda^{t+1} \times \Lambda \times \Lambda \times \Lambda^{t+1}
$$

be the set of $2(t+2)$-tuples of diagrams. We view its elements $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ as pairs of sequences of length $(t+2)$, notation-wise separated by semicolon, with indices increasing outwards, and unindexed initial entries, i.e.,

$$
\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right)=\left(\lambda_{t}, \ldots, \lambda_{0}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{0}, \ldots, \mu_{t}\right) .
$$

If the tail of a sequence $\nu_{\bullet}=\left(\nu_{0}, \ldots, \nu_{t}\right)$ consists of empty diagrams, we often omit these empty diagrams if the number $t$ is fixed in the context. The sequence of empty diagrams is denoted by $\emptyset$.

We define the following four families of modules indexed by the set $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} & :=\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda_{\alpha}}\right) \otimes V_{\lambda ; \mu} \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\beta=0}^{t}\left(\bar{V}_{\beta+1} / \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)_{\mu_{\beta}}\right), \\
J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} & :=\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda_{\alpha}}\right) \otimes V_{\lambda}^{*} \otimes \bar{V}_{\mu} \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\beta=0}^{t}\left(\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)_{\mu_{\beta}}\right),  \tag{13}\\
I_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} & :=I \otimes J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} \\
K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} & :=I \otimes L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ;, \mu_{\bullet}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Further, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}:=\mathbb{N}^{t+1} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{t+1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the set of $(2 t+4)$-tuple of nonnegative integers, which we convene notation-wise to split into two sequences of equal length and write as

$$
\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right)=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}, l ; m, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right),
$$

similarly to the elements of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. We define the following families of modules parametrized by $\mathcal{P}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}}:=\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{\alpha}}\right) \otimes V_{l ; m} \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\beta=0}^{t}\left(\bar{V}_{\beta+1} / \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)^{\otimes m_{\beta}}\right), \\
& J_{l \boldsymbol{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}}:=\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{\alpha}}\right) \otimes\left(V^{*}\right)^{\otimes l} \otimes(\bar{V})^{\otimes m} \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\beta=0}^{t}\left(\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)^{\otimes m_{\beta}}\right) \text {, }  \tag{15}\\
& I_{l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m \boldsymbol{\bullet}}:=I \otimes J_{l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}}, \\
& K_{l_{\mathbf{\bullet}}, l ; m, m_{\mathbf{\bullet}}}:=I \otimes L_{l \mathbf{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\mathbf{\bullet}}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 5.1. Notation-wise, it will sometimes be convenient to include $l$ and $m$ into the indexed sequences l. and m. as $l=l_{-1}$ and $m=m_{-1}$, respectively. In other words, $\mathcal{P}$ will be interpreted alternatively as $\mathbb{N}^{t+1} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{t+1}$ or as $\mathbb{N}^{t+2} \times \mathbb{N}^{t+2}$. Correspondingly, we set $V_{-1}^{*}:=0 \subset V^{*}$ and $\bar{V}_{-1}:=0 \subset \bar{V}$. The range of the index will be made clear in the context, with the initial convention as default. We do similarly for the elements of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$.

There is a natural map from $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ to $\mathcal{P}$ given by component-wise size:

$$
|\cdot|: \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{P},\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \mapsto\left(\left|\lambda_{\bullet}\right|,|\lambda| ;|\mu|,\left|\mu_{\bullet}\right|\right) .
$$

We use the same notation for the map

$$
|\cdot|: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N},\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right) \mapsto l+m+\sum_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(l_{\alpha}+m_{\alpha}\right)
$$

and we denote the composition of these two maps by

$$
\|\cdot\|: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N},\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \mapsto|\lambda|+|\mu|+\sum_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|+\left|\mu_{\alpha}\right| .
$$

We denote the symmetric group on $n$ letters by $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$, and for $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathbf{P}$ we let $\mathfrak{S}_{l}$ be the product of $2 t$ symmetric groups of sizes corresponding to the entries of $\boldsymbol{l}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{l}:=\mathfrak{S}_{l_{t}} \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{S}_{l_{0}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{l} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m} \times \mathfrak{S}_{l_{0}} \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{S}_{m_{t}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathfrak{S}_{l}$ acts naturally on each of the modules (15), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{l}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda|=l} \mathbb{K}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \quad J_{l}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda|=l} \mathbb{K}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, I_{l}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda|=l} \mathbb{K}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes I_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, K_{l}=\bigoplus_{|\lambda|=l} \mathbb{K}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that $\mathbb{K}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ denotes the irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{l}$ determined by $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ ).

### 5.2.1 Simple tensor modules

Theorem 5.6. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ and let $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ be as in (13). Then the $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is simple and $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=\operatorname{soc} J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. In particular, the inclusion $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \subset J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is essential.

The proof will be given after some technical preparation. Let us note that the case $\mu_{\bullet}=\emptyset_{\bullet}$ is settled in [ChP2017, Lemma 4.9]. We shall combine this fact with a suitable generalization of [ChP2019, Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.3] to obtain the complete result.

Lemma 5.7. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{p} \in \bar{V}$ with $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}$ linearly independent modulo $\bar{V}_{t}$, and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q} \in V^{*}$ with $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}$ linearly independent modulo $V_{t}^{*}$. Then there exists $\varphi \in$ $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ such that $\varphi\left(v_{i}\right)=w_{i}$ and $\varphi^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)=y_{j}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, p$ and $j=1, \ldots, q$.
Proof. The argument is analogous to the proof of [ChP2019, Lemma 4.2], but with transfinite recursion. Recall that $\mathcal{B}$ is the index set for a basis of $V$, and assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is ordered by the initial ordinal number with cardinality $|\mathcal{B}|=\aleph_{t}$. In particular $\mathcal{B}$ is well-ordered and, since the cardinals $\aleph_{t}$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}$ are regular, for every $b \in \mathcal{B}$ the set $\mathcal{B}_{>b}:=\{c \in \mathcal{B}: b<c\}$ has the same cardinality $\aleph_{t}$ as $\mathcal{B}$ while $\mathcal{B}_{\leq b}:=\{c \in \mathcal{B}: c \leq b\}$ has strictly smaller cardinality. Let $M$ be the matrix of size $\mathcal{B} \times p$ with columns $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}$ and $N$ be the matrix of size $q \times \mathcal{B}$ with rows $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}$. For $b \in \mathcal{B}$, let $M(b)$ and $N(b)$ denote the corresponding row of $M$ and, respectively, column of $N$. The set of indices of the rows appearing in a given $p \times p$-minor of $M$, respectively $q \times q$-minor of $N$, will be called the support of that minor. The set of nonsingular $p \times p$-minors of $M$ has cardinality $\aleph_{t}$. Furthermore, it contains a subset, say $\mathcal{M}$, such that $|\mathcal{M}|=\aleph_{t}$ and distinct elements of $\mathcal{M}$ are supported on disjoint sets of rows of $M$. Let $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, b \mapsto M_{b}$ be any injective map. Let $\tilde{M}_{b}$ be the $\aleph_{t} \times p$-matrix obtained from $M$ by replacing the minor $M_{b}$ by its inverse and setting all other rows equal to 0 . Similarly, there exists an injection $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, b \mapsto N_{b}$ defined using the matrix $N$ and its $q \times q$-minors. We also use the analogous notation $\tilde{N}_{b}$ for the resulting $q \times \aleph_{t}$ matrices. The assumption on the order of $\mathcal{B}$ guarantees that the assignments $b \mapsto M_{b}$ and $b \mapsto N_{b}$ can be made so that for every $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and every $c \in \operatorname{supp}\left(M_{b}\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(N_{b}\right)$ we have $b<c$.

Now we are ready to give a recursive definition of $\varphi$ as a matrix with respect to the chosen order of $\mathcal{B}$. Let $b_{0}$ be the minimal element of $\mathcal{B}$. Define the first row of $\varphi$ by setting $\varphi_{\left(b_{0}, a\right)}:=0$ for $a \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(M_{b_{0}}\right)$ and

$$
\left(\varphi_{\left(b_{0}, a_{1}\right)}, \ldots, \varphi_{\left(b_{0}, a_{p}\right)}\right):=\left(w_{1}\left(b_{0}\right), \ldots, w_{p}\left(b_{0}\right)\right) M_{b_{0}}^{-1}
$$

if $\operatorname{supp}\left(M_{b_{0}}\right)=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right\}$.
The first column has now its first entry $\varphi_{\left(b_{0}, b_{0}\right)}$ fixed. Put $\varphi_{\left(c, b_{0}\right)}:=0$ for $c \notin\left\{b_{0}\right\} \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(N_{b_{0}}\right)$ and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{\left(c_{1}, b_{0}\right)} \\
\vdots \\
\varphi_{\left(c_{q}, b_{0}\right)}
\end{array}\right):=-N_{b_{0}}^{-1}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}\left(b_{0}\right) \\
\vdots \\
y_{q}\left(b_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right)+\varphi_{\left(b_{0}, b_{0}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}\left(b_{0}\right) \\
\vdots \\
x_{q}\left(b_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

if $\operatorname{supp}\left(N_{b_{0}}\right)=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{q}\right\}$.
Let $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and assume that the rows and columns of $\varphi$ are given for indices strictly smaller than $b$. To define the $b$-th row $\varphi_{(b,)}$ we extend the given data by setting $\varphi_{(b, d)}:=0$ if $d \geq b$ and $d \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(M_{b}\right)$, and

$$
\left(\varphi_{\left(b, d_{1}\right)}, \ldots, \varphi_{\left(b, d_{p}\right)}\right):=\left(\left(w_{1}(b), \ldots, w_{p}(b)\right)-\sum_{a<b} \varphi_{(b, a)}\left(v_{1}(a), \ldots, v_{p}(a)\right)\right) M_{b}^{-1}
$$

if $\operatorname{supp}\left(M_{b}\right)=\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{p}\right\}$. Similarly, we extend the $b$-th column by 0 outside $\operatorname{supp}\left(N_{b}\right)$ and put

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{\left(e_{1}, b\right)} \\
\vdots \\
\varphi_{\left(e_{q}, b\right)}
\end{array}\right):=-N_{b}^{-1}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}(b) \\
\vdots \\
y_{q}(b)
\end{array}\right)+\sum_{a \leq b} \varphi_{(b, a)}\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}(a) \\
\vdots \\
x_{q}(a)
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

if $\operatorname{supp}\left(N_{b}\right)=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{q}\right\}$. The resulting matrix $\varphi$ determines an element of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ which satisfies the required properties by construction.

Lemma 5.8. Let $0 \leq \alpha_{1}<\ldots<\alpha_{n} \leq t$ be $n$ natural numbers. For $m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $v_{1}^{m}, \ldots, v_{p_{m}}^{m}, w_{1}^{m}, \ldots, w_{p_{m}}^{m} \in \bar{V}_{\alpha_{m+1}} / \bar{V}_{\alpha_{m}}$ and $x_{1}^{m}, \ldots, x_{q_{m}}^{m}, y_{1}^{m}, \ldots, y_{q_{m}}^{m} \in V_{\alpha_{m+1}}^{*} / V_{\alpha_{m}}^{*}$ be arbitrary pairs of tuples of vectors in the respective spaces.

If the tuples $\left\{v_{1}^{m}, \ldots, v_{p_{m}}^{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{1}^{m}, \ldots, x_{q_{m}}^{m}\right\}$ are linearly independent for every $m$, then there exists a transformation $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ such that

$$
\varphi\left(v_{j}^{m}\right)=w_{j}^{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi^{*}\left(x_{j}^{m}\right)=y_{j}^{m} \quad \forall j, \forall m .
$$

Proof. We shall reduce the statement to the case of Lemma 5.7. Let $\tilde{v}_{j}^{m}, \tilde{w}_{j}^{m} \in \bar{V}$ and $\tilde{x}_{j}^{m}, \tilde{y}_{j}^{m} \in$ $V^{*}$ be representatives of the respective elements. We define subsets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ by setting

$$
A_{m}:=\cup_{j}\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\tilde{v}_{j}^{m}\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(\tilde{w}_{j}^{m}\right)\right) \cup\left(\cup_{j}\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}^{m}\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(\tilde{y}_{j}^{m}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Note that $\left|A_{m}\right|=\aleph_{\alpha_{m}}$ and, by the hypothesis of linear independence, the above representatives can be chosen so that $A_{m} \cap A_{l}=\emptyset$ if $m \neq l$. As in the previous lemma, we fix a wellorder of $\mathcal{B}$ and work with $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$ as a matrix algebra. If necessary, we change the order so that $A_{1}<A_{2}<\ldots<A_{n}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{A_{m}} \subset \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ be the subalgebra consisting of elements with supports in $A_{m} \times A_{m}$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}^{A_{m}}$ is isomorphic to the Mackey Lie algebra of the restriction of the pairing p to $\mathbb{K}_{A_{m}} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{A_{m}}$, where $\mathbb{K}_{A_{m}}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{x_{a}: a \in A_{m}\right\} \subset \mathbb{K}_{\mathcal{B}}:=V_{*}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{A_{m}}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{a}: a \in\right.$ $\left.A_{m}\right\} \subset \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{B}}:=V$. Let $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{g}^{A_{1}} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{A_{n}}$ be the resulting block-diagonal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$, which is clearly contained in the ideal $\mathfrak{g l}_{\alpha_{n}+1}^{M}$. Thus it suffices to show that, for every $m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, there exists $\varphi_{m} \in \mathfrak{g}^{A_{m}}$ such that $\varphi\left(v_{j}^{m}\right)=w_{j}^{m}$ and $\varphi^{*}\left(x_{j}^{m}\right)=y_{j}^{m}$ for all $j$. Furthermore, the elements $v_{j}^{m}, w_{j}^{m}$ can be seen as elements of the quotient $\mathbb{V}^{A_{m}} / \mathbb{V}_{\alpha_{m}}^{A_{m}}$ and similarly $x_{j}^{m}, y_{j}^{m}$ in $\mathbb{K}^{A_{m}} / \mathbb{K}_{\alpha_{m}}^{A_{m}}$. Thus we have $n$ occurrences of the situation of Lemma 5.7 in distinct dimensions $\aleph_{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, \aleph_{\alpha_{n}}$, which is the claimed reduction.

Lemma 5.9. The $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}}$ is simple for $\lambda_{\bullet}, \mu_{\bullet} \in \Lambda^{t+1}$.
Proof. Let $L:=L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}}$. and note that $L \cong L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \eta ; \eta, \emptyset \emptyset \bullet} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet}, \eta ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}}$. We follow the idea of the proof of [ChP2019, Prop. 4.1] and use the simplicity of $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \emptyset \bullet}$ (and analogously $L_{\emptyset}, \emptyset ; \emptyset ; \mu_{\bullet}$ ) established in [ChP2017, Prop. 4.2]. We identify $L$ with the submodule of $L_{\left|\lambda_{\bullet}\right|, \nmid \emptyset ;\left|\mu_{\bullet}\right|}$ obtained as the image of the product of Young symmetrizers $c_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}} \otimes c_{\mu_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}}=\left(\otimes_{\alpha} c_{\lambda_{\alpha}}\right) \otimes\left(\otimes_{\alpha} c_{\mu_{\alpha}}\right)$, where we use the convention for $c_{\nu}, \nu \in \Lambda$, from Section 2.2.

Let $M_{w} \subset L$ be the $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-submodule generated by a fixed $w \in L \backslash\{0\}$. The decomposition $L \cong L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset} \otimes L_{\emptyset \bullet \bullet, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}}$ enables us to express $w$ as a finite sum of decomposable tensors, $w=\sum_{j} x^{j} \otimes v^{j}$. By the argument of [Ch2014, Prop. 1], we can assume (up to applying a suitable sequence of elements of $\left.\mathfrak{g}^{M}\right)$ that the sets $A_{1}=\cup_{j} \operatorname{supp}\left(x^{j}\right)$ and $A_{2}=\cup_{j} \operatorname{supp}\left(v^{j}\right)$ are two disjoint infinite subsets of $\mathcal{B}$, and there exist subsets $B_{1}, B_{2} \subset \mathcal{B}$ with $A_{j} \subset B_{j}, B_{1} \cap B_{2}=\emptyset$ and $\left|B_{1}\right|=\left|B_{2}\right|=|\mathcal{B}|=\aleph_{t}$. Let $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{g}^{B_{1}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{B_{2}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{B}}=\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ be the corresponding block-diagonal subalgebra; note that there are isomorphisms $\mathfrak{g}^{B_{1}} \cong \mathfrak{g}^{B_{2}} \cong \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ acts on the space $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \emptyset \bullet}^{B_{1}}$. of vectors in $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \emptyset \bullet}$ supported on $B_{1}$, as well as on the space $L_{\emptyset \bullet, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu \bullet}^{B_{2}}$ of vectors in $L_{\emptyset \bullet, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}}$ supported on $B_{2}$. Furthermore, $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \emptyset .}^{B_{1}}$ and $L_{\emptyset_{\bullet}}^{B_{2}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}}$. are irreducible $\mathfrak{l}$-modules since they are irreducible respectively over $\mathfrak{g}^{B_{1}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{B_{2}}$ by [ChP2017, Prop. 4.2]. Hence

$$
L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \emptyset, \bullet}^{B_{1}} \otimes L_{\emptyset \bullet}^{\emptyset_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu, \mu \bullet} \mid \subset M_{w}
$$

is an irreducible $\mathfrak{l}$-submodule of $M_{w}$. This $\mathfrak{l}$-submodule is spanned by the vectors of the form $c_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}} x \otimes c_{\mu_{\bullet}} v$, with $x \in L_{\mid \lambda_{\bullet}, 0 ; 0,0,0}^{B_{1}}$ and $v \in L_{0_{\bullet}, 0 ; 0,\left|\mu_{\bullet}\right|}^{B_{2}}$ supported respectively on $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$.

To show that $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \bullet}^{B_{1}} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu \bullet}^{B_{2}}$. generates the entire $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \eta, \mu,}$. over $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ we will apply Lemma 5.8. To connect to the setting of the lemma we note that: the $l$-th tensor power $U^{\otimes l}$ of a vector space $U$ is spanned by the set of decomposable tensors $u=u_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes u_{l}$ with linearly independent tensorands $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}$, the Schur projection $c_{\lambda}(u)$ of such $u$ is non-zero for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of size $l$, and $U_{\lambda}$ is spanned by the set of such $c_{\lambda}(u)$. Thus, our proof will be complete if we show that $\left(c_{\lambda_{\bullet}} \otimes c_{\mu_{\bullet}}\right)\left(w^{\prime}\right) \in M_{w}$ for any fixed $w^{\prime} \in L_{\left|\lambda_{\bullet}\right|, \emptyset ; \emptyset,\left|\mu_{\bullet}\right|}$ of the form

$$
w^{\prime}=\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(y_{1}^{\alpha} \otimes \ldots \otimes y_{\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|}^{\alpha}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(u_{1}^{\alpha} \otimes \ldots \otimes u_{\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|}^{\alpha}\right)\right)
$$

with $y_{1}^{\alpha}, \ldots, y_{\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|}^{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}$ linearly independent and $u_{1}^{\alpha}, \ldots, u_{\left|\mu_{\alpha}\right|}^{\alpha} \in \bar{V}_{\alpha+1} / \bar{V}_{\alpha}$ linearly independent. By the first part of the proof we may assume that $w=\left(c_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{0}}} \otimes c_{\mu_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}}\right)(\tilde{w})$ for

$$
\tilde{w}=\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(x_{1}^{\alpha} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|}^{\alpha}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(v_{1}^{\alpha} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|}^{\alpha}\right)\right) \in L_{|\lambda \bullet|,\left|; \emptyset ;\left|,\left|\mu_{\bullet}\right|\right.\right.}
$$

with $x_{1}^{\alpha}, \ldots, x_{\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|}^{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}$ supported on $B_{1}$ and linearly independent, and $v_{1}^{\alpha}, \ldots, v_{\left|\mu_{\alpha}\right|}^{\alpha} \in$ $\bar{V}_{\alpha+1} / \bar{V}_{\alpha}$ supported on $B_{2}$ and linearly independent. Then we apply Lemma 5.8, several times if necessary, to obtain a sequence of elements $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{r} \in \mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ such that

$$
\varphi_{r} \circ \ldots \circ \varphi_{1}(\tilde{w})=w^{\prime} .
$$

Hence $M_{w} \ni \varphi_{r} \circ \ldots \circ \varphi_{1}(w)=\left(c_{\lambda_{\bullet}} \otimes c_{\mu_{\bullet}}\right)\left(\varphi_{r} \circ \ldots \circ \varphi_{1}(\tilde{w})\right)=\left(c_{\lambda_{\bullet}} \otimes c_{\mu_{\bullet}}\right)\left(w^{\prime}\right)$, which implies $M_{w}=L$ as desired.

Proof of Theorem 5.6. To verify the simplicity of $L_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ we start with the decomposition $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} \cong L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\lambda ; \mu}$, where both tensorands are simple due to Lemma 5.9 and Theorem 5.4. Now, Proposition 5.1 allows us to invoke Proposition 2.11,(c) for $\mathfrak{G}=\mathfrak{g l}^{M}, \mathfrak{J}=\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$, $R=L_{\lambda ; \mu}=V_{\lambda ; \mu}$, and apply the functor $\bullet \otimes R$ to the simple module $L_{\lambda \bullet, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}}$. This confirms that $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda_{; \mu,} \mu_{\bullet}}$ is simple. Finally, the inclusion $L_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} \subset J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ is essential as a consequence of Lemma 5.8 and the fact that $V_{\lambda ; \mu}$ is essential in $V_{\lambda}^{*} \otimes \bar{V}_{\mu}$.
Theorem 5.10. The simple modules $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ are pairwise nonisomorphic and have scalar endomorphism algebras, End $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \cong \mathbb{K}$.

Proof. There are known cases of the theorem, as follows. The case $\mu_{\bullet}=\emptyset_{\bullet}$ (and by analogy the case $\lambda_{\bullet}=\emptyset_{\bullet}$ ) is proven in [ChP2017, Proposition 4.2]. The case $t=0$ is proven in [ChP2021, Theorem 3.6]. A combination of the two methods of proof yields the general result.

### 5.2.2 Tensor products of simple tensor modules

Here we study the socle filtration of a tensor product $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}}$ of two simple tensor modules for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{\bullet}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}, \mu_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. It turns out that the difficulty is concentrated in the tensor product $V_{\lambda ; \mu} \otimes V_{\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}}$ of simple modules in $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$. We handle this case in the following lemma.
Lemma 5.11. Let $\lambda, \mu, \lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime} \in \Lambda$ be four Young diagrams. The layers of the socle filtration of the tensor product of the simple modules $V_{\lambda ; \mu}$ and $V_{\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}}$ are

$$
\underline{\mathrm{soc}}^{q+1}\left(V_{\lambda ; \mu} \otimes V_{\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa, \nu \in \Lambda:|\lambda|+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|-|\kappa|=q} \underline{n}_{(\kappa ; \nu)}^{(\lambda ; \mu),\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}\right)} \cdot V_{\kappa ; \nu}
$$

where the multiplicities can be expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\underline{n}_{(\kappa ; \nu)}^{(\lambda ; \mu),\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}\right)}=\sum_{\zeta, \theta \in \Lambda} N_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}^{\zeta} N_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\theta} h_{\kappa ; \nu}^{\zeta ; \theta}- \\
-\sum_{0 \leq r<|\lambda|+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|-|\kappa|}(-1)^{r} \quad \sum_{\substack{r}}, \ldots, \xi_{r}, \\
\eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{r}, \\
\xi_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{r}^{\prime}, \\
\eta_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, \eta_{r}^{\prime}, \\
\zeta, \theta \in \Lambda \\
\left|\xi_{0}\right|+\left|\xi_{0}^{\prime}\right|<|\lambda|+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right| \\
\left|\xi_{j}\right|+\left|\xi_{j}^{\prime}\right|<\left|\xi_{j-1}\right|+\left|\xi_{j-1}^{\prime}\right| \\
0<j \leq r
\end{array}
$$

with the numbers $h_{* ; *}^{* * *}$ defined in (12). (Note that the sum over $r$ is empty if $|\lambda|+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|-|\kappa|=0$, and the product over $j$ is empty if $r=0$.)

Proof. First recall that the tensor products $\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ and $V_{\mu} \otimes V_{\mu^{\prime}}$ are semisimple, and that the socle filtration of $\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu^{\prime}}$, i.e., the case $\mu=\emptyset=\lambda^{\prime}$, is known from Theorem 5.3. Since $V_{\lambda ; \mu}=\operatorname{soc}\left(\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}\right)$ and $V_{\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}}=\operatorname{soc}\left(\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda^{\prime}} \otimes V_{\mu^{\prime}}\right)$ we have an inclusion of the module $W:=V_{\lambda ; \mu} \otimes V_{\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}}$ in the module $\widetilde{W}:=\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu} \otimes\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda^{\prime}} \otimes V_{\mu^{\prime}}$. The module $\widetilde{W}$ decomposes as

$$
\widetilde{W}=\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu} \otimes\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda^{\prime}} \otimes V_{\mu^{\prime}} \cong \bigoplus_{\zeta, \theta \in \Lambda} N_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}^{\zeta} N_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\theta} \cdot\left(V_{*}\right)_{\zeta} \otimes V_{\theta}
$$

and, by Theorem 5.3, the layers of its socle filtration are

$$
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1}(\widetilde{W}) \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa, \nu \in \Lambda:|\lambda|+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|-|\kappa|=q}\left(\sum_{\zeta, \theta \in \Lambda} N_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}^{\zeta} N_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\theta} h_{\kappa ; \nu}^{\zeta ; \theta}\right) \cdot V_{\kappa ; \nu}
$$

On the other hand, we can express the above layers as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\underline{\operatorname{soc}^{q+1}}}^{q+1}(\widetilde{W}) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j+p=q}{\underline{\operatorname{soc}^{p+1}}}^{\left.\left.\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{i+1}\left(\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}\right) \otimes{\underline{\operatorname{soc}^{j+1}}}^{j}\left(\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda^{\prime}} \otimes V_{\mu^{\prime}}\right)\right), ~()^{\prime}\right)} \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{i+j+p=q} \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{p+1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\bigoplus_{\substack{ \\
\\
\xi, \eta, \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime} \in \Lambda \\
|\lambda|-|\xi|=i \\
\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|-\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=j}} h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} h_{\xi^{\prime} ; \eta^{\prime}}^{\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}} \cdot V_{\xi ; \eta} \otimes V_{\xi^{\prime} ; \eta^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that

$$
\operatorname{soc}(W)=\operatorname{soc}(\widetilde{W}) \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa, \nu \in \Lambda} N_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}^{\kappa} N_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\nu} \cdot V_{\kappa ; \nu}
$$

and, more generally, $\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1}(W)$ occurs as the summand corresponding to $i=j=0, p=q$ in soc $^{q+1}(\widetilde{W})$. Hence

$$
\underline{\mathrm{soc}}^{q+1}(W) \cong \underline{\mathrm{soc}}^{q+1}(\widetilde{W}) /\left(\bigoplus_{0<i+j \leq q}{\underline{\mathrm{soc}^{q-i-j+1}}}^{\mathrm{soc}^{i+1}}\left(\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}\right) \otimes \underline{\mathrm{soc}}^{j+1}\left(\left(V_{*}\right)_{\lambda^{\prime}} \otimes V_{\mu^{\prime}}\right)\right)
$$

We derive the following recursive formula for the multiplicities $\underline{n}_{(\kappa ; \nu)}^{(\lambda ; \mu),\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}\right)}$ :

$$
\underline{n}_{(\kappa ; \nu)}^{(\lambda ; \mu),\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}\right)}=\sum_{\zeta, \theta \in \Lambda} N_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}^{\zeta} N_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\theta} h_{\kappa ; \nu}^{\zeta ; \theta}-\sum_{\xi, \eta, \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime} \in \Lambda:|\xi|+\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|<|\lambda|+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|} h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} h_{\xi^{\prime} ; \eta^{\prime}}^{\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}} \underline{n}_{(\kappa ; \nu)}^{(\xi ; \eta),\left(\xi^{\prime} ; \eta^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

Now, the formula for $\underline{n}_{(\kappa ; \nu)}^{(\lambda ; \mu),\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}\right)}$ claimed in the lemma follows by induction on $|\lambda|+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|$.
Proposition 5.12. Let $\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right),\left(\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}, \mu_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$.
(a) The simple module $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda_{;}, \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ is pure if and only if either just the two inner diagrams $\lambda, \mu$ are nonempty, or all diagrams except at most one are empty.
(b) For the layers of the socle filtration of the tensor product $L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}, \lambda_{;} ; \mu \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}, \lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}^{\prime}}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1}\left(L_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}} \otimes L_{\lambda_{\bullet}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}^{\prime}}\right) \cong L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu \boldsymbol{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}^{\prime}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}} \otimes \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1}\left(V_{\lambda ; \mu} \otimes V_{\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{\left(\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa, \nu, \nu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}:|\lambda|+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|-|\kappa|=q}\left(\mathbf{N}_{\lambda_{\bullet} \lambda_{\bullet}^{\prime}}^{\kappa_{\bullet}} \mathbf{N}_{\mu_{\bullet} \mu_{\bullet}^{\prime}}^{\nu_{\bullet}} \underline{n}_{(\kappa ; \nu)}^{(\lambda ; \mu),\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}\right)}\right) \cdot L_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu \boldsymbol{\bullet}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{N}_{\xi_{\bullet} \eta_{\bullet}}^{\zeta_{\bullet}}:=\prod_{\alpha=0}^{t} N_{\xi_{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}}^{\zeta_{\alpha}}$ for $\xi_{\bullet}, \eta_{\bullet}, \zeta_{\bullet} \in \Lambda^{t+1}$.
(c) The socle of $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda_{i} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\lambda_{\bullet}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}, \mu_{\bullet}^{\prime}}$ decomposes as

$$
\operatorname{soc}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\lambda_{\bullet}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\left(\kappa \bullet \bullet ; ;, \nu, \nu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \mathbf{N}_{\lambda_{\bullet} \lambda_{\bullet}^{\prime}}^{\kappa \cdot} N_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}^{\kappa} N_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\nu} \mathbf{N}_{\mu_{\bullet} \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}^{\prime}}^{\nu_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}} \cdot L_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{\bullet}}
$$

(d) The tensor product $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}}$ is a semisimple module if and only if at least one of the following four conditions holds:

$$
\lambda=\mu=\emptyset ; \lambda=\lambda^{\prime}=\emptyset ; \lambda^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime}=\emptyset ; \mu=\mu^{\prime}=\emptyset .
$$

(e) The tensor product $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset, \emptyset \bullet \bullet} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ has socle filtration of length $|\lambda \cap \mu|$ with layers

$$
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset, \emptyset \bullet} \otimes L_{\emptyset \bullet}, \emptyset ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa, \nu \in \Lambda:|\lambda|-|\kappa|=q} h_{\kappa ; \nu}^{\lambda ; \mu} \cdot L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \nu, \mu \bullet} .
$$

Proof. Part (a) follows immediately from the classification of simple modules. Part (b) implies parts (c),(d) and (e) as special cases. To prove part (b) we begin with the following decomposition:

Indeed, this decomposition holds over the Lie algebra

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha=0}^{t} \mathfrak{g l}\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\beta=0}^{t} \mathfrak{g l}\left(\bar{V}_{\beta+1} / \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)\right)
$$

and, by Theorem 5.6, remains unchanged after restriction to $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$. Hence the module $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes$ $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}^{\prime}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}^{\prime}}$ is semisimple. Furthermore, again by Theorem 5.6, the tensor product $L_{\kappa \bullet, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \nu} \otimes V_{\xi ; \eta}$ is isomorphic to $L_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta, \nu_{\bullet}}$ and remains simple.

Next, observe that essential extensions between submodules of $V_{*}^{\otimes l} \otimes V^{\otimes m}$ remain essential after tensoring by $L_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \nu_{\bullet}}$, because this holds for the restriction of these representations to $\mathfrak{g l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ which acts trivially on $L_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \eta, \nu_{\bullet}}$. From these observations we deduce that

$$
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}, \mu_{\mathbf{0}}^{\prime}}\right) \cong L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu \cdot} \otimes L_{\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}^{\prime}} \otimes \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1}\left(V_{\lambda_{; \mu}} \otimes V_{\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Now the full the statement of part (b) follows from Lemma 5.11.
The semisimplicity of the tensor products of "one-sided" simple modules, i.e., $L_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \emptyset} \otimes L_{\bullet \mu, \mu ; \emptyset}$ or $L_{\emptyset ; \lambda, \lambda_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\emptyset ; \mu_{\bullet}}$, as well as the obvious symmetry between the two cases, prompts us to introduce the following notation for any $\lambda_{\bullet}, \mu_{\bullet}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu_{\bullet}^{(m)} \in \Lambda^{t+1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{N}_{\mu_{0}^{(1)} \ldots \mu_{0}^{(m)}}^{\lambda_{0}}:=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{\lambda_{0}, \emptyset ; \emptyset,}, V_{\mu_{0}{ }^{(1)}, \eta ; \emptyset} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\mu_{0}(m), \emptyset ; \emptyset}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{\emptyset ; \emptyset, \lambda_{\bullet}}, V_{\emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}^{(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu} \mu_{\bullet}^{(m)}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& =\sum_{\sigma_{\bullet}^{(1)}, \ldots, \sigma_{\bullet}^{(m-2)} \in \Lambda^{t+1}} \prod_{\alpha} N_{\mu_{\alpha}^{(1)} \sigma_{\alpha}^{(1)}}^{\lambda_{\alpha}}\left(\prod_{r=2}^{m-2} N_{\mu_{\alpha}^{(r)} \sigma_{\alpha}^{(r)}}^{\sigma_{\alpha}^{(r-1)}}\right) N_{\mu_{\alpha}^{(m-1)} \mu_{\alpha}^{(m)}}^{\sigma_{\alpha}^{(m-2)}} \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.2.3 Two orders and a family of morphisms

Here we introduce two partial orders on the set $\mathcal{P}$ defined in (14). For $l_{\bullet}=\left(l_{0}, \ldots, l_{t}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{t+1}$, we denote $\left|l_{\bullet}\right|:=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{t} l_{\alpha}$ and $\left|l_{\bullet \geq \beta}\right|:=\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha \leq t} l_{\alpha}$.

Definition 5.2. Let $\preceq$ be the partial order on $\mathcal{P}$ defined by

$$
\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right) \preceq\left(l_{\bullet}^{\prime}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& l-m+\left|l_{\bullet}\right|-\left|m_{\bullet}\right|=l^{\prime}-m^{\prime}+\left|l_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right|-\left|m_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right| \\
& l \leq l^{\prime}, m \leq m^{\prime} \\
& \left|l_{\bullet} \geq\left|\geq\left|l_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right| \quad \text { for } \quad \beta \in\{0, \ldots, t\}\right.\right. \\
& \left|m_{\bullet \geq \beta}\right| \geq \mid m_{\bullet}^{\prime} \geq \beta
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

From now on, $(\mathcal{P}, \preceq)$ denotes the resulting poset.
Definition 5.3. We define a partial order $\stackrel{\mathbf{P}}{\preceq}$ on the set $\mathcal{P}$ by strengthening the relation $\preceq$ with the additional requirements $l+\left|l_{\bullet}\right| \leq l^{\prime}+\left|l_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right|, m+\left|m_{\bullet}\right| \leq m^{\prime}+\left|m_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right|$, and denote the resulting poset by $\mathbf{P}$.

Next, we define several attributes of a fixed element $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right)$ of the set $\mathcal{P}$. There are two parallel constructions corresponding to the partial orders $\preceq$ and $\stackrel{\mathbf{P}}{\preceq}$. We begin with the notation

$$
\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{l}):=\{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathcal{P}: \boldsymbol{k} \preceq \boldsymbol{l}\}, \quad \mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{l}):=\{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbf{P}: \boldsymbol{k} \preceq \boldsymbol{P}\} .
$$

Remark 5.2. 1. Both posets $\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{l})$ and $\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{l})$ have the following property: every strictly ascending sequence is finite.
2. The common underlying set $\mathbb{N}^{2(t+2)}$ of the posets $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ is a monoid under componentwise addition. If $\boldsymbol{l} \preceq \boldsymbol{k}$ and $\boldsymbol{l}^{\prime} \preceq \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}$ then $\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{l}^{\prime} \preceq \boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}$. The same property holds for $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} \underset{\text {. }}{ }$.

Lemma 5.13. For $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathcal{P}$ let $\mathcal{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{l})$ be the set of elements obtained from $\boldsymbol{l}$ by one of the following elementary alterations:
(i) if $l>0$ (resp., $l_{\alpha}>0$ ), subtract 1 from $l$ (resp., from $l_{\alpha}$ ) and add 1 to $l_{0}$ (resp., $l_{\alpha+1}$ );
(ii) if $m>0$ (resp., $m_{\alpha}>0$ ), subtract 1 from $m$ (resp., from $m_{\alpha}$ ) and add 1 to $m_{0}$ (resp., $m_{\alpha+1}$ );
(iii) if both $l$ and $m$ are positive, subtract 1 from each of them;
(iv) add 1 to both $l_{0}$ and $m_{0}$.

Then $\mathcal{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{l})$ is the set of maximal elements of the poset $\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{l}) \backslash\{\boldsymbol{l}\}$.
Let $\mathbf{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{l})$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{l})$ obtained using only (i), (ii) and (iii). Then $\mathbf{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{l})$ is the set of maximal elements of the poset $\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{l}) \backslash\{\boldsymbol{l}\}$.

Proof. For any $\boldsymbol{k} \prec \boldsymbol{l}$ it is straightforward to construct an element $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}$ obtained from $\boldsymbol{l}$ by using one of the alterations (i)-(iv) and satisfying $\boldsymbol{k} \preceq \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime} \prec \boldsymbol{l}$. This proves the statement for $\mathcal{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{l})$. The statement for $\mathbf{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{l})$ is proven analogously.
Definition 5.4. For $q \geq 1$ let $\mathcal{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{l})$ be the set of maximal elements of the set

$$
\{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathcal{P}: \boldsymbol{k} \prec \boldsymbol{l}\} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{j<q} \mathcal{P}^{j}(\boldsymbol{l})\right),
$$

with the convention $\mathcal{P}^{0}(\boldsymbol{l})=\{\boldsymbol{l}\}$. We define $\mathbf{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l})$ analogously.
To any element $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right)$ we associate the number

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{(l)}:=(l+m)(t+1)+\sum_{j=0}^{t}\left(l_{j}+m_{j}\right)(t-j) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $q^{(\boldsymbol{l})}=0$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{l}$ has the form $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{t}, 0, \ldots, 0 ; 0, \ldots 0, m_{t}\right)$. Lemma 5.13 and Remark 5.2 imply that $\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{l})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{l})$ split as disjoint unions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{l})=\bigsqcup_{0 \leq q \leq q^{(l)}} \mathbf{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l}), \quad \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{l})=\bigsqcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l}) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This structure behaves well with respect to addition in the underlying monoid, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l})+\mathbf{P}^{q^{\prime}}\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbf{P}^{q+q^{\prime}}\left(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{l}^{\prime}\right), \text { for } \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{l}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}, q, q^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l}) & =\bigcup_{i+j+k=q} \mathbf{P}^{i}\left(l_{\bullet}, 0 ; 0 ;\right)+\mathbf{P}^{j}(l ; m)+\mathbf{P}^{k}\left(0 \bullet ; 0, m_{\bullet}\right) \\
& =\bigcup_{\left|i_{\bullet}\right|+j+\left|k_{\bullet}\right|=q}\left(\mathbf{P}^{j}(l ; m)+\sum_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(\mathbf{P}^{i_{\alpha} l_{\alpha}}\left(1_{\alpha}, 0 ; 0_{\bullet}\right)+\mathbf{P}^{k_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}}\left(0 \bullet ; 0,1_{\alpha}\right)\right)\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(1_{\alpha}, 0 ; 0\right.$ ) denotes the element $\left(l_{\bullet}, 0 ; 0 \boldsymbol{\bullet}\right) \in \mathbf{P}$ with $l_{\bullet}$ having a single nonzero term equal to 1 at position $\alpha$, and $\left(0 ; 0,1_{\alpha}\right)$ is the obvious analogue.

Properties (21) and (22) hold as well for the poset $\mathcal{P}$ instead of $\mathbf{P}$.
We now move our attention to morphisms $f: I_{l} \rightarrow I_{k}$. The notation below refers to subtraction of elements in the monoid $\mathcal{P}$, and we automatically assume that the parameters satisfy the inequalities ensuring that the results are in $\mathcal{P}$.

Definition 5.5. For $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathcal{P}$ we let $\Xi^{1}\left(I_{l}\right)$ be the set of morphisms $I_{l} \rightarrow I_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ with $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathcal{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{l})$, associated with the four types of elements of $\mathcal{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{l})$ according to Lemma 5.13, as follows:
(i) $f_{j}^{\alpha}: I_{l} \rightarrow I_{l+\left(1_{\alpha},-1_{\alpha-1} ; 0\right)}$ is the projection $V^{*} / V_{\alpha-1}^{*} \rightarrow V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}$ applied to the $j$-th tensorand in $\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha-1}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l \alpha-1}$, extended by identity on all other tensorands in $I_{l}$, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq t$ and $0 \leq j \leq l_{\alpha-1} ;$
(ii) $\bar{f}_{j}^{\alpha}: I_{l} \rightarrow I_{l+\left(0 ;-1_{\alpha-1}, 1_{\alpha}\right)}$ is the projection $\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\alpha-1} \rightarrow \bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\alpha}$ applied to the $j$-th tensorand in $\left(\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\alpha-1}\right)^{\otimes m_{\alpha-1}}$, extended by identity on all other tensorands in $I_{l}$, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq t$ and $0 \leq j \leq m_{\alpha-1} ;$
(iii) $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{i, j}: I_{l} \rightarrow I_{l-(1 ; 1)}$ is the morphism $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}: V^{*} \otimes \bar{V} \rightarrow Q \subset I$ applied to the relevant pair of tensorands $V^{*}$ and $\bar{V}$ in $I_{l}$, extended by identity on all other tensorands, for $0 \leq i \leq l$ and $0 \leq j \leq m ;$
(iv) $\psi_{l}: I_{l} \rightarrow I_{l+(1,0 ; 0,1)}$ is the morphism $\psi: I \rightarrow\left(V^{*} / V_{*}\right) \otimes(\bar{V} / V) \otimes I=I_{1,0 ; 0,1}$ (see formula (11)) applied to the tensorand $I$, extended by the identity to all other tensorands in $I_{l}$.

We let $\Xi^{q}\left(I_{l}\right)$ be the set of morphisms $I_{l} \rightarrow I_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ with $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathcal{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l})$ obtained as compositions $f_{q} \circ \ldots \circ f_{1}$, where $f_{j} \in \Xi^{1}\left(I_{\boldsymbol{k}_{j}}\right)$ for some decreasing sequence $\boldsymbol{l}=\boldsymbol{k}_{0} \succ \boldsymbol{k}_{1} \succ \ldots \succ \boldsymbol{k}_{q}=\mathfrak{k}$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{k}_{j} \in \mathcal{P}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{j-1}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, q$.

We also introduce a family of morphisms with domain $J_{l}$. Since soc $I=\mathbb{K}$ there is a canonical embedding $J_{l} \subset I \otimes J_{l}=I_{l}$. Let $\Xi^{q}\left(J_{l}\right)$ be the set of restrictions to $J_{l}$ of morphisms from $\Xi^{q}\left(I_{l}\right)$ which are obtained as compositions of morphisms of type (i),(ii) and (iii). The codomains of these morphisms are of the form $I_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ with $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbf{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l})$.

### 5.2.4 The socle filtrations of the modules $J_{l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}}$ and $J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda_{; \mu}, \mu_{\bullet}}$

Here we study the families of modules $J_{l}$ and $J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ defined in (15). We begin with the former family, and the observation that there is an isomorphism

$$
J_{l} \otimes J_{l^{\prime}} \cong J_{l+l^{\prime}}
$$

for $\boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{l}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}$.
Example 5.1. Let us consider $J_{1 ; 1}=V^{*} \otimes \bar{V}$ and describe its socle filtration. From Theorem 5.6 we get

$$
\operatorname{soc}\left(V^{*} \otimes \bar{V}\right)=\operatorname{soc}\left(V_{*} \otimes V\right)=L_{1 ; 1}=\operatorname{ker} \mathbf{p}=\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)
$$

and observe that

$$
\operatorname{soc}\left(V^{*} \otimes \bar{V}\right)=\bigcap_{f \in \Xi^{1}\left(J_{1 ; 1}\right)} \operatorname{ker} f
$$

Further, we have $\operatorname{soc}^{j+1}\left(V^{*}\right)=V_{j}^{*}$ and $\operatorname{soc}^{j+1}(\bar{V})=\bar{V}_{j}$ for $j=0, \ldots, t+1$, and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{soc}^{q+1}\left(V^{*} \otimes \bar{V}\right) \subset \sum_{i+j=q} \operatorname{soc}^{i+1}\left(V^{*}\right) \otimes \operatorname{soc}^{j+1}(\bar{V})=\sum_{i+j=q} V_{i}^{*} \otimes \bar{V}_{j} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $q \geq 0$. For $q \geq 1$, the containment in (23) is an equality, as can be shown by induction. Thus the length of the socle filtration of $V^{*} \otimes \bar{V}$ is $2(t+1)+1$, and

$$
\operatorname{soc}^{q+1}\left(V^{*} \otimes \bar{V}\right)=\bigcap_{f \in \Xi^{q+1}\left(J_{1 ; 1}\right)} \operatorname{ker} f
$$

For the higher layers of the socle filtration we obtain

$$
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{2}\left(V^{*} \otimes \bar{V}\right)=\left(\left(V_{1}^{*} / V_{0}^{*}\right) \otimes \bar{V}_{0}\right) \oplus \mathbb{K} \oplus\left(V_{0}^{*} \otimes\left(\bar{V}_{1} / \bar{V}_{0}\right)\right) \cong L_{1,0 ; 1} \oplus L_{0 ; 0} \oplus L_{1 ; 0,1},
$$

and

$$
{\underline{\mathrm{Soc}^{q+1}}}^{q}\left(V^{*} \otimes \bar{V}\right)=\bigoplus_{i+j=q} \underline{\mathrm{soc}}^{i+1}\left(V^{*}\right) \otimes \underline{\mathrm{soc}}^{j+1}(\bar{V})=\bigoplus_{i+j=q} L_{1_{i-1 ; 1} ; 1_{j-1}}
$$

for $q \geq 2$.
Proposition 5.14. Let $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathbf{P}$ and $p=\min \{l, m\}$. The socle filtration of $J_{l}$ has length $1+q^{(l)}$, see (19). For $0 \leq q \leq q^{(l)}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{soc}^{q+1} J_{l} & =\bigcap_{f \in \Xi^{q+1}\left(J_{l}\right)} \operatorname{ker} f \\
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1} J_{l} & \cong \bigoplus_{i+j+k=q, k \leq p}^{l}\binom{l}{k}\binom{m}{k} \operatorname{soc}\left(\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{i+1} J_{l \mathbf{\bullet}, l-k ; 0} \otimes{\underline{\operatorname{soc}^{j+1}}}^{j+1} J_{0 ; m-k, m \bullet}\right) \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{i+j+|\dot{\bullet}|+|j \bullet|+k=q}\binom{l}{k}\binom{m}{k}\left(\operatorname{soc}\left(\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{i+1}\left(\left(V^{*}\right)^{\otimes(l-k)}\right) \otimes \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{j+1}\left(\bar{V}^{\otimes(m-k)}\right)\right) \otimes\right. \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{k \in p} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbf{P}^{q}(l)} \mathbf{b}_{k}^{l} L_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l})$ is as in Definition 5.4, and for $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbf{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{b}_{k}^{l}:= & \sum \quad \underset{ }{k+\sum_{\alpha=-1}^{t}\left(q_{\alpha}+\bar{q}_{\alpha}\right)=q}\binom{l}{k}\binom{m}{k} \frac{(l-k)!}{\prod_{-1 \leq \beta \leq t} r_{\beta}^{(-1)}!} \frac{(m-k)!}{\prod_{-1 \leq \beta \leq t} s_{\beta}^{(-1)}!} \prod_{0 \leq \alpha \leq t} \frac{l_{\alpha}!}{\prod_{0 \leq \beta \leq t} r_{\beta}^{(\alpha)}!} \frac{m_{\alpha}!}{\prod_{0 \leq \beta \leq t} s_{\beta}^{(\alpha)}!}, \\
& \sum_{-1 \leq \alpha \leq t}\left(r_{\bullet}^{(\alpha)} ; s_{\bullet}^{(\alpha)}\right)=\boldsymbol{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

the sum running over all sets of integers $k, q_{-1}, \ldots, q_{t}, \bar{q}_{-1}, \ldots, \bar{q}_{t} \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq p$, and all sets of elements $\left(r_{\bullet}^{(-1)} ; s_{\bullet}^{(-1)}\right), \ldots,\left(r_{\bullet}^{(t)} ; s_{\bullet}^{(t)}\right) \in \mathbf{P}$ satisfying, in addition to the above equalities, $\left(r_{\bullet}^{(-1)} ; 0_{\bullet}\right) \in$ $\mathbf{P}^{q_{-1}}\left(l-k ; 0_{\bullet}\right),\left(00_{\bullet} ; s_{\bullet}^{(-1)}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{\bar{q}_{-1}}\left(0_{\bullet} ; m-k\right)$ and $\left(r_{\bullet}^{(\alpha)} ; 0_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{q_{\alpha}}\left(l_{\alpha} ; 0_{\bullet}\right),\left(0_{\bullet} ; s_{\bullet}^{(\alpha)}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{\bar{q}_{\alpha}}\left(0_{\bullet} ; m_{\alpha}\right)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq t$.

Proof. From (17) and Theorem 5.6 we obtain

$$
\operatorname{soc} J_{l}=L_{l}=\bigcap_{f \in \Xi^{1}\left(J_{l}\right)} \operatorname{ker} f=\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{\alpha}}\right) \otimes V_{l ; m} \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t}\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha+1} / \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right)^{\otimes m_{\alpha}}\right)
$$

We have a corresponding decomposition of $J_{l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}}$, whose tensorands are essential extensions of the respective tensorands of $\operatorname{soc} J_{l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{l \bullet l}, m, m_{\bullet} \cong\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t} J_{l_{\alpha}, 0 ; 0}\right) \otimes J_{l ; m} \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t} J_{0 \bullet ; 0, m_{\alpha}}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can split the proof of the proposition into two steps: first, verify the statement for each of the above tensorands, and second, show that the tensor product of the resulting filtrations yields the claimed socle filtration of $J_{l}$. For both steps, we use Künneth-type products of the socle fitrations of the relevant tensorands. The key observation is that no simple constituent descends to a layer lower than expected. This follows from the density statement of Lemma 5.8 which allows us to apply Proposition 2.11,(c) to the ideals $\mathfrak{g l}_{\alpha}^{M}$ and to the relevant extensions.

The three types of elements in $\mathbf{P}^{1}(\boldsymbol{l})$, given as (i),(ii),(iii) in Lemma 5.13, correspond to the types (i),(ii),(iii) of morphisms in $\Xi^{1}\left(J_{l}\right)$ (see the discussion under Definition 5.5). The modules of type $J_{l_{\alpha}, 0 ; 0 \text { • }}$ can only be the domain of morphisms of type (i). By (22), the set $\mathbf{P}^{q}\left(l_{\alpha}, 0 ; 0\right.$ • $)$ consists of elements of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{l_{\alpha}}\left(1_{\alpha+q_{i}}, 0 ; 0\right.$ • ) with $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l_{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\sum_{j} q_{j}=q$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{soc}^{q+1} J_{l_{\alpha}, 0 ; 0} & =\bigoplus_{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{l_{\alpha}=q}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l_{\alpha}} \operatorname{soc}^{q_{i}+1}\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)=\bigoplus_{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{l_{\alpha}}=q} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l_{\alpha}} V_{\alpha+q_{i}+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*} \\
& =\bigcap_{f \in \Xi^{q+1}\left(J_{l_{\alpha}, 0 ; 0}\right)} \operatorname{ker} f, \\
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1} J_{l_{\alpha}, 0 ; 0} & \cong \bigoplus_{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{l_{\alpha}}=q} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l_{\alpha}} \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q_{i}+1}\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{l_{\alpha}}=q} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l_{\alpha}} V_{\alpha+q_{i}+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha+q_{i}}^{*} \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{\left(k_{\bullet}, 0 ; 0 ; \mathbf{\bullet}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{q}\left(l_{\alpha}, 0 ; 0 \cdot \mathbf{\bullet}\right)}\left(\frac{l_{\alpha}!}{\prod_{\alpha \leq \beta \leq t} k_{\beta}!}\right) \cdot L_{k, 0 ; 0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The situation with the modules $J_{0 \bullet ; 0, m_{\alpha}}$ is completely analogous, with $\Xi^{q}\left(J_{0 \bullet ; 0, m_{\alpha}}\right)$ consisting of superpositions of morphisms of type (ii). The tensorand $J_{l ; m}$ can be the domain of all three types (i),(ii),(iii) of morphisms in $\Xi^{1}\left(J_{l ; m}\right)$, as long as both $l, m$ are nonzero. We handle the morphisms of type (iii) involving $V^{*} \otimes \bar{V}$ using Example 5.1.

As indicated above, Proposition 2.11 implies that the socle filtration of $J_{l_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, l m, m_{\mathbf{0}}}$ is obtained as the Künneth product of the socle filtrations of the three modules $J_{\bullet \bullet 0 ; 0}, J_{l ; m}, J_{0 \bullet ; 0, m_{\bullet}}$. The formula for the multiplicities follows by a standard counting argument.

Next we turn our attention to the socle filtrations of the modules $J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \in$ $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ defined in (13). It was shown in Theorem 5.6 that $J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is an essential extension of the simple module $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. We observe that $J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ splits as a tensor product along the individual diagrams in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ :

$$
J_{\lambda}=J_{\lambda ; \emptyset} \otimes J_{\emptyset ; \mu} \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{t} J_{\lambda_{\alpha}, \emptyset ; \emptyset} \otimes J_{\emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\alpha}}\right) .
$$

With this in mind we shall successively compute the socle filtrations of $J_{\lambda_{\alpha}, \eta ; \emptyset}, J_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \emptyset}$ and $J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$.

Lemma 5.15. Let $\lambda_{\bullet}=\left(\lambda_{t}, \ldots, \lambda_{-1}\right) \in \Lambda^{t+2}$. Then the length of the socle filtration of $J_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \phi_{\bullet}}$ is $1+q^{\left(|\lambda \bullet| ; 0_{\bullet}\right)}$ and the layers are

$$
{\underline{\operatorname{Soc}^{q+1}}}^{q+1} J_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset_{\bullet}} \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa_{\bullet} \in \Lambda^{t+2}:\left(\left|\kappa_{\bullet}\right| ; 0_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{q}\left(\left|\lambda_{\bullet}\right| ; 0\right)} z_{\kappa_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\bullet}} \cdot L_{\kappa_{\bullet} ; \emptyset_{\bullet}}
$$

where

$$
z_{\kappa_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\bullet}}:=\sum_{\rho_{\bullet}^{-1}, \ldots, \rho_{\bullet}^{t} \in \Lambda^{t+2}:\left(\left|\rho_{\bullet}^{\beta}\right| ; 0_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{j_{\beta}}\left(\left|\lambda_{\beta}\right| ; 0_{\bullet}\right), \sum j_{\beta}=q}\left(\prod_{\beta=-1}^{t} N_{\rho_{\beta}^{\beta} \rho_{\beta+1}^{\beta} \ldots \rho_{t}^{\beta}}^{\lambda_{\beta}}\right) \mathbf{N}_{\rho_{\bullet} \ldots \ldots \rho_{\bullet}^{t}}^{\kappa_{\bullet}} .
$$

Proof. The lemma is a reformulation of [ChP2017, Proposition 4.30] in our notation. The proof is done in steps, first observing that for every $\beta \in\{-1, \ldots, t\}$

$$
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1} J_{\left.\lambda_{\beta} ;\right\rangle_{\bullet}} \cong \bigoplus_{\rho_{\bullet} \in \Lambda^{t+2}:\left(\mid \rho_{\bullet} ; ; 0_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{q}\left(\mid \lambda_{\beta} ; ;_{\bullet}\right)} N_{\rho_{\beta} \ldots \rho_{t}}^{\lambda_{\beta}} \cdot L_{\rho_{\bullet} ; \nmid \bullet},
$$

and then using the decomposition

$$
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1} J_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \boldsymbol{D}_{\bullet}} \cong \bigoplus_{j_{-1}+\ldots j_{t}=q} \bigotimes_{\beta=-1}^{t} \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{j_{\beta}+1} J_{\lambda_{\beta} ; \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\bullet}}
$$

Note that the sets $\mathbf{P}^{j_{\beta}}\left(\left|\lambda_{\beta}\right| ; 0_{\bullet}\right)$ and $\mathbf{P}^{q}\left(\left|\lambda_{\bullet}\right| ; 0_{\bullet}\right)$ are described in the proof of Proposition 5.14.

Working towards the socle filtration of $J_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$, the decomposition $J_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}=J_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda_{;} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}} \otimes$ $J_{\emptyset ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ leads us to consider, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the semisimple $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}^{k+1}:=\bigoplus_{i+j=k} \operatorname{soc}\left(\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{i+1} J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \eta} \otimes \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{j+1} J_{\emptyset ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whose decomposition is derived from Lemma 5.15 and Proposition 5.12.
Proposition 5.16. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\mathrm{soc}}^{q+1} J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} & \cong \bigoplus_{j+k=q} \bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{\xi ; \eta}, \underline{\mathrm{Soc}}^{j+1}\left(V_{* \lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}\right)\right) \otimes Z_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta, \mu \bullet}^{k+1} \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa=\left(\kappa \bullet, k ; \nu, \nu_{\bullet}\right) \in \Lambda:|\kappa| \in \mathbf{P}^{q}(|\lambda|)}\left(\sum_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda} z_{\kappa, \epsilon_{\bullet}}^{\xi, \lambda} h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} z_{\nu, \nu_{\bullet}}^{\eta, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) \cdot L_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{\bullet}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From Theorem 5.6 we know that $J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is indecomposable with simple socle $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. By (17), $J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ appears as a direct summand in the module $J_{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|}$. Furthermore, by Proposition 5.14, the layer $\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1} J_{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|}$ is a direct sum of modules of the form $L_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ with $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbf{P}^{q}(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|)$. In turn, each $L_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ decomposes as a direct sum of modules of the form $L_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}$ with $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda},|\boldsymbol{\kappa}|=\boldsymbol{k}$. Hence $\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1} J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ consists exactly of the simple subquotients of $J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ of isomorphic to some $L_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}$ with $|\boldsymbol{\kappa}| \in \mathbf{P}^{q}(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|)$. It remains to compute the multiplicity with which $L_{\kappa}$ occurs as a subquotient of $J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. To this end, we start with the decomposition $J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}=J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset_{\bullet}} \otimes J_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$. The socle filtrations of the two tensorands are obtained from Lemma 5.15. The tensor products of simple modules are described in Proposition 5.12, and the formula for the multiplicities follows immediately.

### 5.2.5 The socle filtrations of the modules $I_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ and $I_{l \boldsymbol{\bullet}}, l m, m_{\bullet}$

Proposition 5.17. The socle filtration of the module $I=\lim _{\rightarrow} S^{k} Q$ defined in (8) is infinite and exhaustive: for $q \in \mathbb{N}$ the $(q+1)$-st layer is given by

$$
\underline{\mathrm{soc}}^{q+1} I \cong \bigoplus_{j+|\zeta|=q} \underline{\mathrm{soc}}^{j+1} J_{\zeta, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \zeta} \cong \bigoplus_{j+|\zeta|=j} Z_{\zeta,, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \zeta}^{j+1}
$$

Proof. The socle filtration of a direct limit of modules of finite length is always exhaustive. The layers of the defining filtration of $I$ are (see formula (9))

$$
S^{k} Q / S^{k-1} Q \cong S^{k}\left(V^{*} / V_{*} \otimes \bar{V} / V\right)=S^{k} J_{1,0 ; 0,1} \cong \bigoplus_{|\zeta|=k} J_{\zeta, \emptyset ; ; \emptyset, \zeta}
$$

By Proposition 5.16 we have

$$
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{j+1}\left(S^{k} Q / S^{k-1} Q\right) \cong \bigoplus_{|\zeta|=k} Z_{\zeta, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \zeta}^{j+1}
$$

This yields a filtration of $I$ with layers as indicated in our statement. To show that this is the socle filtration, it remains to show that no simple constituent appears in a socle lower than expected. It suffices to prove the statement for the submodule $S^{k} Q \subset I$, and we will do this by induction on $k$. The case $k=0$ is trivial. By Theorem 5.6 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{soc}\left(S^{k} Q / S^{k-1} Q\right) \cong \operatorname{soc}\left(S^{k} J_{1,0 ; 0,1}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{|\zeta|=k} L_{\zeta, \emptyset ; ; 0, \zeta} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have the finite filtration $\mathbb{K}=I^{0,0} \subset I^{1,1} \subset \ldots \subset I^{t+1, t+1}=I$ following from the definition of $I^{r, s}$ in (10). The submodule $I^{1,1} \subset I$ has the module (26) as the $k+1$ st layer of its defining filtration $I^{1,1}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} S^{k} Q^{1,1}$. Note that for $I^{1,1}$ the defining filtration coincides with its socle filtration, i.e.,

$$
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{k+1}\left(I^{1,1}\right) \cong S^{k}\left(V_{1}^{*} / V_{*} \otimes \bar{V}_{1} / V\right) \cong \bigoplus_{|\zeta|=k} L_{\zeta, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \zeta}
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{soc}\left(S^{k} Q / S^{k-1} Q\right) \subset \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{k+1} I$ and, by induction on $j, \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{j+1}\left(S^{k} Q / S^{k-1} Q\right) \subset$ $\underline{\text { soc }}^{j+k+1} I$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 5.18. For $\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ the layers of the socle filtration of $I_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\operatorname{Soc}}^{q+1}\left(J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes I\right) \cong \bigoplus_{j+k=q} \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{j+1} J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{k+1} I \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j+k+|\zeta|=q \\
\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \Lambda}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{\left.\xi ; \eta, \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{i+1}\left(V_{* \lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}\right)\right) \otimes Z_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta, \mu \bullet}^{j+1} \otimes Z_{\zeta, \eta ; \emptyset, \zeta}^{k+|\zeta|+1}}^{\substack{ \\
\hline}}\right. \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{|\lambda|-|\xi|+j+k=q \\
\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \Lambda}} h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} \cdot Z_{\lambda \bullet, \xi ; \eta,, \mu \bullet}^{j+1} \otimes Z_{\zeta, \emptyset ;\rangle, \zeta}^{k+|\zeta|+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the numbers $h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}$ are defined in (12).

Proof. The socle filtrations of $J_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ and $I$ are described in Propositions 5.16 and 5.17, respectively. All simple subquotients of $I$ have the property that their tensor products with semisimple tensor modules are semisimple and their tensor products with essential extensions yield essential extensions. The implies the first line in the above formula. The rest follows from the expressions for $\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{j+1} J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda_{; ~}, \mu_{\bullet}}$ and $\underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{k+1} I$ given in Propositions 5.16 and 5.17.

Corollary 5.19. For $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathcal{P}$ the socle filtration of $I_{l}$, and its layers, are

$$
\operatorname{soc}^{q+1} I_{l}=\bigcap_{f \in \Xi^{q+1}\left(I_{l}\right)} \operatorname{ker} f, \quad{\underline{\mathrm{soc}^{q+1}}}_{l} \cong \bigoplus_{j+k=q}{\underline{\operatorname{soc}^{j+1}} J_{l} \otimes \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{k+1} I . . . . . .}
$$

Consequently, $\operatorname{Hom}\left(L_{\boldsymbol{k}}, \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{q+1} I_{\boldsymbol{l}}\right) \neq 0$ implies $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathcal{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l})$.
Proof. The statement on the layers follows from 5.18 and the decompositions of $I_{l}$ and $J_{l}$ given in (17). The last statement of the corollary follows immediately. The expression for $\operatorname{soc}^{q+1} I_{l}=\bigcap_{f \in \Xi^{q+1}\left(I_{l}\right)} \operatorname{ker} f$ is then deduced by induction using (22) (applied for the poset $\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{l})$ ), by an argument similar to the one applied for $\operatorname{soc}^{q+1} J_{l}$ in Proposition 5.14.

### 5.3 Order on the category $\mathbb{T}_{t}$

Theorem 5.20. The category $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ is an ordered Grothendieck category with order-defining objects

$$
I_{l}=I \otimes J_{l}, \quad l \in \mathcal{P},
$$

parametrized by the poset $\mathcal{P}$ of Definition 5.2, see (15). The socles of the order-defining objects are given by

$$
\operatorname{soc} I_{l}=\operatorname{soc} J_{l}=L_{l} \cong \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}_{l}} \mathbb{K}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}
$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{l}:=\{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}:|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|=\boldsymbol{l}\}$.
Proof. We need to check the axioms (a)-(f) of Definition 4.1. Let $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\bullet}, l ; m, m_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathcal{P}$. The socle filtration of $I_{l}$ is determined in Corollary 5.19. In particular, we obtain the claimed expressions for $\operatorname{soc} I_{l}$ (see also (17)). Therefore, axioms (a) and (e) are satisfied. Axiom (b) holds by the definition of $\mathbb{T}$. Axiom (c) holds with the above set $\mathcal{S}_{l}$, in view of Theorem 5.10. Axiom (d) holds because of Corollary 5.19. The family of morphisms required in axiom (f), for $\boldsymbol{k} \prec \boldsymbol{l}$, consists of $f: I_{l} \rightarrow I_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ such that $f \in \Xi^{q}\left(I_{l}\right)$, where $q$ is the unique integer such that $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathcal{P}^{q}(\boldsymbol{l})$.

Corollary 5.21. The map $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mapsto L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ induces a bijection of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ with the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects in the category $\mathbb{T}$. Furthermore, $I_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is an injective hull of $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, and the modules $I_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$, exhaust (up to isomorphism) the indecomposable injectives of $\mathbb{T}$.

Proof. The statement follows immediately from Theorem 5.20 and Proposition 4.1.
Our next goal is to determine injective resolutions of the simple objects $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=L_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda_{; ~}, \mu_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}}$ in the category $\mathbb{T}$. As an intermediate step we shall solve the same problem for $L_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \emptyset}$ in the category $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$, or, analogously, $L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ in $\mathbb{T}(\bar{V})$. The general solution will be constructed in $\S 5.5$ with these ingredients, along with the resolutions of $L_{\emptyset_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \emptyset_{\bullet}}=V_{\lambda, \mu}$ given in Theorem 5.5.

### 5.3.1 An involution on $\Lambda$

We introduce here some symmetries of the set $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ that will be useful in the descriptions of injective resolutions of simple objects. For any sequence $\lambda_{0}$ of Young diagrams, we denote by $\lambda_{\bullet}^{\perp}$ the sequence whose terms are the conjugates $\lambda_{\alpha}^{\perp}$ of the terms of $\lambda_{\bullet}$. We denote by $\lambda_{\bullet}^{e \perp}$ and $\lambda_{\bullet}^{\circ \perp}$ the sequences, where only the diagrams with even, respectively odd, index $\alpha$ are replaced by their conjugates, while the odd, respectively even, terms remain unchanged. For an element $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$, we set $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{e \perp o}:=\left(\lambda_{\bullet}^{e \perp}, \lambda ; \mu^{\perp}, \mu_{\bullet}^{\circ \perp}\right)$. Clearly this defines an involution on $\Lambda$.

### 5.4 The category $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$

Recall that $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$ is the smallest full tensor Grothendieck subcategory of $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ containing $V^{*}$ and closed under taking subquotients. In this section we use the notation $l_{\bullet}=\left(l_{-1}, \ldots, l_{t}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{t+2}$, as in Remark 5.1.

Definition 5.6. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\text {left }}$ be the poset with underlying set $\mathbb{N}^{t+2}$ and the following partial order:

$$
l_{\bullet} \preceq l_{\bullet}^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \left|l_{\bullet}\right|=\left|l_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \sum_{\alpha \geq \beta} l_{\alpha} \geq \sum_{\alpha \geq \beta} l_{\alpha}^{\prime} \quad \forall \beta
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Remark 5.3. The underlying set of $\mathcal{P}_{\text {left }}$ is included in the underlying set $\mathbb{N}^{2(t+2)}$ of both posets $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ as the set of elements $\left(l_{\bullet} ; 0_{\bullet}\right)$ with $l_{\bullet} \in \mathbb{N}^{t+2}$. The partial order on $\mathcal{P}_{\text {left }}$ coincides with the restrictions of both partial orders of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathbf{P}$. Analogously, we define a poset $\mathcal{P}_{\text {right }}$ (isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{\text {left }}$ ) as the set of elements of $\mathcal{P}$ of the form $\left(0_{\bullet} ; m_{\bullet}\right)$ with $m_{\bullet} \in \mathbb{N}^{t+2}$, with the restricted order from $\mathcal{P}$ or $\mathbf{P}$.

Theorem 5.22. ([ChP2017, §4.2])
The category $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$ is an ordered Grothendieck category with order-defining objects

$$
J_{\bullet \bullet ;}=\bigotimes_{\alpha=-1}^{t}\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{\alpha}}
$$

parametrized by the poset $\mathcal{P}_{\text {left }}$. Moreover,

$$
\operatorname{soc} J_{l \mathbf{0} ; \emptyset}=L_{l_{\bullet} ; \emptyset} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda_{\bullet} \in \Lambda_{\text {left }:\left|\lambda_{\bullet}\right|=l_{\bullet}}} \mathbb{K}^{\lambda_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}
$$

The simple objects and the indecomposable injectives of $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$ are, up to isomorphism, the modules $L_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}$ and $J_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}$ with $\lambda_{\bullet} \in \Lambda_{\text {left }}$, respectively.

Remark 5.4. The following properties hold in the category $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$ :

1. Any tensor product of semisimple modules is semisimple.
2. Any tensor product of injective modules is injective.
3. The pure simple modules are, up to isomorphism, exactly the modules of the form $\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\alpha \in\{-1,0, \ldots, t\}$.

### 5.4.1 Injective resolutions of simple objects in $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$

Proposition 5.23. For any Young diagram $\lambda$ and $\alpha \in\{-1,0, \ldots, t\}$, there is an injective resolution in $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$ of the simple $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module $\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda}$ of length 0 if $\alpha=t$, and length $|\lambda|$ if $\alpha<t$. In the latter case, this resolution is

$$
0 \rightarrow\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{0}\left(\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{1}\left(\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{|\lambda|}\left(\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}^{0}\left(\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda}\right)=\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda}, \\
& \mathcal{I}^{1}\left(\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda}\right)=\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha+1}^{*}\right) \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Lambda: N_{\sigma(1)}^{\lambda}=1}\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\sigma}\right), \\
& \mathcal{I}^{j}\left(\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda}\right)=\bigoplus_{\sigma, \tau \in \Lambda:|\tau|=j} N_{\sigma \tau^{\perp}}^{\lambda} \cdot\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha+1}^{*}\right)_{\tau} \otimes\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\sigma}, \\
& \mathcal{I}^{|\lambda|}\left(\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda}\right)=\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha+1}^{*}\right)_{\lambda^{\perp}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The result is proven in [ChP2019] under the assumption that $t=0$, but this assumption is inessential.

Theorem 5.24. Let $\lambda_{\bullet} \in \Lambda_{\text {left }}$. There exists an injective resolution of the simple module $L_{\lambda \cdot ; \theta}$ in $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$ of length equal to $\left\|\lambda_{\bullet<t}\right\|=\sum_{-1 \leq \alpha<t}\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|$. The decomposition of the $k$-th term of this resolution into indecomposable injective direct summands is

$$
\mathcal{I}^{k}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{k_{\bullet} \in \Lambda_{\text {left }}: k_{k}^{\lambda}:=k} p_{k_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\bullet}} \cdot J_{k_{\bullet} ; \emptyset},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\kappa_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}}^{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}}:=\sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq t}(\alpha+1)\left(\left|\kappa_{\alpha}\right|-\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|\right), \quad p_{\kappa_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}}:=\sum_{\sigma_{\bullet}, \tau_{\bullet} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\text {left }}: \sigma_{t}=\tau_{-1}=\emptyset} N_{\lambda_{t} \tau_{t}}^{\kappa_{t}} \prod_{-1 \leq \alpha<t} N_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha+1}^{\perp}}^{\lambda_{\alpha}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}}^{\kappa_{\alpha}} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last nonzero term of the resolution is

$$
\mathcal{I}^{\| \lambda_{\bullet}<t} \|\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \beta}\right) \cong\left(V^{*} / V_{t}^{*}\right)_{\lambda_{t}} \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{-1 \leq \alpha<t}\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha+1}^{*}\right)_{\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}}}\right)
$$

and this term is an indecomposable $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-module if and only if $\lambda_{t}=\emptyset$ or $\lambda_{t-1}=\emptyset$.
Proof. The category $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$ has the property that the tensor product of injective modules is injective and the tensor product of semisimple modules is semisimple. Thus we can apply Lemma 4.2, which yields the first line in the formula below; the second line follows from

Proposition 5.23, and the rest follows by standard rules for tensor products:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{k}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}\right) & \cong \bigoplus_{j_{-1}+j_{0}+\ldots+j_{t}=k-1 \leq \alpha \leq t} \bigotimes_{\mathcal{I}^{j_{\alpha}}\left(L_{\lambda_{\alpha} ; \emptyset}\right)} \\
& \cong\left(V^{*} / V_{t}^{*}\right)_{\lambda_{t}} \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{j_{-1}+\ldots+j_{t-1}=k-1 \leq \alpha \leq t-1} \bigotimes_{\sigma, \tau \in \Lambda:|\tau|=j_{\alpha}} N_{\sigma \tau^{\perp}}^{\lambda} \cdot\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha+1}^{*}\right)_{\tau} \otimes\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\sigma}\right) \\
& \cong\left(V^{*} / V_{t}^{*}\right)_{\lambda_{t}} \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma_{\bullet}, \tau_{\bullet} \in \Lambda_{\text {left }}: \sigma_{t}=\tau_{-1}=\emptyset,\left\|\tau_{\bullet}\right\|=k-1 \leq \alpha \leq t} N_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha+1}}^{\perp} \cdot\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\tau_{\alpha}} \otimes\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\sigma_{\alpha}}\right) \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa_{\bullet} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\text {left }}}\left(\sum_{\sigma_{\bullet \bullet}, \tau_{\bullet} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\text {left }}: \sigma_{t}=\tau_{-1}=\emptyset,\left\|\tau_{\bullet}\right\|=k} N_{\lambda_{t} \tau_{t}}^{\kappa_{t}} \prod_{\alpha=-1}^{t-1} N_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha+1}^{\perp}}^{\lambda_{\alpha}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}}^{\kappa_{\alpha}}\right) \cdot J_{k_{\bullet} ; \emptyset \emptyset} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain the explicit form of the coefficients $p_{\kappa_{0}}^{\lambda}$ : stated in (27), it remains to show that the condition $\left\|\tau_{\bullet}\right\|=k$ appearing above can be substituted by the condition $k=k_{\kappa_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\bullet}}$. We claim that $p_{k}^{\lambda}: \neq 0$ implies the following:

1. $\left|\lambda_{\bullet}\right| \succeq\left|\kappa_{\bullet}\right|$ in the poset $\mathcal{P}_{\text {left }}$;
2. $\operatorname{supp}\left(\kappa_{\bullet}\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}\left(\lambda_{\bullet}\right) \cup\left(1+\operatorname{supp}\left(\lambda_{\bullet}\right)\right) ;$
3. every nonvanishing summand in the defining formula for $p_{\kappa_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\bullet}}$ arises for $\sigma_{\bullet}, \tau_{\bullet}$ satisfying $\left\|\tau_{\bullet}\right\|=k_{\kappa_{\bullet}}^{\lambda}$.
Indeed, the nonvanishing of a summand of $p_{\kappa}^{\lambda}$ : implies $\left|\tau_{t}\right|=\left|\kappa_{t}\right|-\left|\lambda_{t}\right|$, since $N_{\lambda_{t} \tau_{t}}^{\kappa_{t}} \neq 0$, and $\left|\tau_{\alpha}\right|=\left|\kappa_{\alpha}\right|-\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|+\left|\tau_{\alpha+1}\right|$ for $-1 \leq \alpha<t$ since $N_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha+1}}^{\lambda_{\alpha}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}}^{\kappa_{\alpha}} \neq 0$. Now part 3 of the claim follows by induction on $t$. Parts 1 and 2 are trivial to verify. The statement on the injective dimension and the last nonzero term of the injective resolution follows immediately. This completes the proof.

The above theorem allows us to compute the dimensions of the Ext-spaces of pairs of simple objects in $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$.

Corollary 5.25. Let $\kappa_{\bullet}, \lambda_{\bullet} \in \Lambda_{\text {left }}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)}^{k}\left(L_{\kappa_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}, L_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}\right)= \begin{cases}p_{k}^{\lambda}: & \text { if } k=k_{\kappa}^{\lambda}: \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In the next corollary we encounter a new family of modules, whose socle filtrations relate to the injective resolutions of simple modules given in Theorem 5.24. For $\left(l_{\bullet} ; m_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\left(\lambda_{\bullet} ; \mu_{\bullet}\right) \in \Lambda$, we denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{l_{\bullet} ; m_{\mathbf{\bullet}}}:=\left(V^{*} / V_{t}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{t}} \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=-1}^{t-1}\left(V_{\alpha+2}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{\alpha}}\right) \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=-1}^{t-1}\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha+2} / \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right)^{\otimes m_{\alpha}}\right) \otimes\left(\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{t}\right)^{\otimes m_{t}}, \\
& M_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \mu_{\bullet}}:=\left(V^{*} / V_{t}^{*}\right)_{\lambda_{t}} \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=-1}^{t-1}\left(V_{\alpha+2}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda_{\alpha}}\right) \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{\alpha=-1}^{t-1}\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha+2} / \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right)_{\mu_{\alpha}}\right) \otimes\left(\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{t}\right)_{\mu_{t}} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 5.26. For $\kappa_{\bullet}, \lambda_{\bullet} \in \Lambda_{\text {left }}$ and $k \geq 0$,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)}^{k}\left(L_{\kappa_{\bullet} \perp \ddagger, \emptyset}, L_{\lambda_{\bullet}^{\bullet} ; \emptyset}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)}^{k}\left(L_{\kappa_{\bullet}^{\ell} ; \emptyset}, L_{\lambda_{\bullet}^{e} ; \emptyset}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(L_{\kappa_{\bullet}}, \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{k+1}\left(M_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}\right)\right),
$$ where $M_{\lambda \cdot ; \emptyset}$ is defined in (28).

Proof. First we compute the socle filtration of $M_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}$. Since $M_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}=\otimes_{\alpha} M_{\lambda_{\alpha} ; \emptyset}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{k+1}\left(M_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset}\right) \cong\left(V^{*} / V_{t}^{*}\right)_{\lambda_{t}} \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{j_{-1}+\ldots+j_{t-1}=k} \bigotimes_{-1 \leq \alpha<t} \underline{\operatorname{soc}}^{j_{\alpha}+1}\left(\left(V_{\alpha+2}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\lambda_{\alpha}}\right)\right) \\
& \cong L_{\lambda_{t} ; \boldsymbol{\emptyset}} \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{j_{-1}+\ldots+j_{t-1}=k} \bigotimes_{1 \leq \alpha<t}\left(\bigoplus_{\substack{, \tau \in \Lambda \\
|\tau|=j_{\alpha}}} N_{\sigma \tau}^{\lambda_{\alpha}} \cdot\left(V_{\alpha+2}^{*} / V_{\alpha+1}^{*}\right)_{\tau} \otimes\left(V_{\alpha+1}^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\sigma}\right)\right) \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{\kappa_{\bullet} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\text {left }}}\left(\sum_{\sigma_{\bullet}, \tau_{\bullet} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\text {left }}, \sigma_{t}=\tau_{-1}=\emptyset,\left\|\tau_{\bullet}\right\|=k} N_{\lambda_{t} \tau_{t}}^{\kappa_{t}} \prod_{-1 \leq \alpha<t} N_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha+1}}^{\lambda_{\alpha}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}}^{\kappa_{\alpha}}\right) \cdot L_{\kappa_{\bullet} ; \phi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

 the case of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)}^{k}\left(L_{\kappa_{\bullet}^{\perp \perp ; \emptyset}}, L_{\lambda_{\bullet}^{\rho \perp ; \emptyset}}\right)$ being analogous. We also assume $t$ to be even, the odd case being similar. In the calculation below, we begin by replacing the product over $\{-1,0, \ldots, t\}$ in the formula for $p_{\kappa \circ}^{\lambda_{\circ}^{\circ} \perp}$ by a product over the even indices of twofold products of the respective consecutive terms. The subsequent manipulations follow by standard properties of the Littlewood-Richardson numbers. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)}^{k}\left(L_{\kappa_{\bullet}^{\bullet} ; \emptyset}, L_{\lambda_{\bullet} \perp ; \emptyset}\right)=\sum_{\sigma_{\bullet}, \tau_{\bullet} \in \Lambda_{\text {left }}-1 \leq \alpha<t} \prod_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha+1}^{\perp}}^{\lambda_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}}^{\perp}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha+1} \tau_{\alpha+2}^{\perp}}^{\lambda_{\alpha+1}^{\perp}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha+1} \tau_{\alpha+1}}^{\kappa_{\alpha+1}} \\
& \sigma_{t}=\tau_{-1}=\emptyset,\left\|\tau_{\bullet}\right\|=k \quad \alpha \text { odd } \\
& =\sum_{\sigma_{\bullet}, \tau_{\bullet} \in \Lambda_{\text {left }}} \prod_{-1 \leq \alpha<t} N_{\sigma_{\alpha}^{\perp} \tau_{\alpha+1}}^{\lambda_{\alpha}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha}^{\perp} \tau_{\alpha}^{\perp}}^{\kappa_{\alpha}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha+1} \tau_{\alpha+2}^{\perp}}^{\lambda_{\alpha+1}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha+1} \tau_{\alpha+1}}^{\kappa_{\alpha+1}} \\
& \sigma_{t}=\tau_{-1}=\emptyset,\left\|\tau_{\bullet}\right\|=k \quad \alpha \text { odd } \\
& =\sum_{\sigma_{\bullet}, \tau_{\bullet} \in \Lambda_{\text {left }}-1 \leq \alpha<t} \prod_{\sigma_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha+1}}^{\lambda_{\alpha}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha}}^{\kappa_{\alpha}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha+1} \tau_{\alpha+2}}^{\lambda_{\alpha+1}} N_{\sigma_{\alpha+1} \tau_{\alpha+1}}^{\kappa_{\alpha+1}}} \\
& \sigma_{t}=\tau_{-1}=\emptyset,\left\|\tau_{\bullet}\right\|=k \quad \alpha \text { odd } \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(L_{\kappa \bullet}, \underline{\operatorname{Soc}}^{k+1}\left(M_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \boldsymbol{\phi}}\right)\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.5 Injective resolutions of simple objects in $\mathbb{T}_{t}$

Proposition 5.27. An injective resolution of the trivial module $\mathbb{K}=L_{\emptyset ; \emptyset}$ in the category $\mathbb{T}$ is given by

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{\psi_{0}} I \otimes F \xrightarrow{\psi_{1}} I \otimes \Lambda^{2} F \xrightarrow{\psi_{2}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\psi_{j-1}} I \otimes \Lambda^{j} F \xrightarrow{\psi_{j}} \ldots,
$$

where $F:=V^{*} / V_{*} \otimes \bar{V} / V=J_{1,0 ; 0,1}, \psi_{0}=\psi$, and the $j$-th map is defined as the direct limit $\psi_{j}:=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{j}^{k}$ of the morphisms
$\psi_{j}^{k}: S^{k} Q \otimes \Lambda^{j} F \xrightarrow{\Delta_{1}^{k} \otimes \mathrm{id}} S^{k-1} Q \otimes Q \otimes \Lambda^{j} F \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi \otimes \operatorname{id}} S^{k-1} Q \otimes F \otimes \Lambda^{j} F \xrightarrow{\text { id } \otimes \text { multiply }} \bullet_{F} S^{k-1} Q \otimes \Lambda^{j+1} F$.

The $j$-th term $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{j}(\mathbb{K}):=I \otimes \Lambda^{j} F$ of this resolution decomposes into a direct sum of indecomposable injectives as

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{j}(\mathbb{K}) \cong \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \Lambda:|\zeta|=j} I_{\zeta, \emptyset ; \emptyset ; \zeta^{\perp}}
$$

Proof. The proposition is proven in [ChP2021, § 3.5] for $t=0$, but this assumption is not necessary.

Corollary 5.28. For $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}}^{j}\left(L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \mathbb{K}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbb{K} & \text { if } \boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\zeta, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \zeta^{\perp}\right) \text { with }|\zeta|=j \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 5.29. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. There is an injective resolution of the simple module $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ in $\mathbb{T}$, with $k$-th term

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k}\left(L_{\left.\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right)}\right):=\bigoplus_{\substack{ \\
i+j+l+m=k \\
\xi, \eta \in \Lambda}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{i}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)}^{j}\left(V_{\xi, \eta}, V_{\lambda ; \mu}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)}^{l}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}(\bar{V})}^{m}\left(L_{\emptyset ; \eta, \mu \boldsymbol{\bullet}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu, \nu_{\bullet}}^{\lambda, \lambda ; \mu \cdot \mu_{\bullet}}:=|\lambda|-|\kappa|+\sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq t}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\left|\kappa_{\alpha}\right|-\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right|+\left|\nu_{\alpha}\right|-\left|\mu_{\alpha}\right|\right)$.
Proof. Let us first establish the relation between the two expressions for $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right)$. The building blocks of the first expression are computed, respectively, in Theorem 5.24 for the injective resolutions of the "one-sided" modules $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset_{\bullet}}$ and $L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$. in the respective categories $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$ and $\mathbb{T}(\bar{V})$, Proposition 5.27 for the resolution of $\mathbb{K}$ in $\mathbb{T}$, and Theorem 5.5 for the resolution of $V_{\lambda ; \mu}$ in $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$. Compiling the coefficients from these building blocks we obtain
 $p_{\kappa, \rho, \kappa_{\bullet}>0}^{\xi, \lambda} N_{\rho \zeta}^{\kappa_{0}} m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} N_{\theta \zeta^{\perp}}^{\nu_{\nu}}{ }_{\nu, \theta, \nu_{\bullet}>0}^{\eta, \mu_{\bullet}} \neq 0$. This establishes the equivalence of our two expressions.

The modules $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k}\left(L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$ are injective since, by Corollary 5.21 , the modules $I_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}$ for $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ are indecomposable injectives in $\mathbb{T}$. To show that we have the desired resolution, it remains to construct an exact sequence of morphisms $y_{k, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}: \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{T}}^{k}\left(L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{T}}^{k+1}\left(L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)$, with $\operatorname{ker} y_{0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}=L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

We consider the triple Künneth product with $k$-th term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \lambda_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right):=\bigoplus_{i+j_{1}+j_{2}=k} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{i}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)}^{j_{1}}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset_{\bullet}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}(\bar{V})}^{j_{2}}\left(L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right), \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we let $g_{k, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}: \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k+1}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right)$ be its $k$-th map. We have $\operatorname{ker} g_{0, \lambda}=L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$, and hence (29) is an injective resolution of $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ in $\mathbb{T}$.

We shall modify this resolution into a resolution of the simple module $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ using the fact that, by Proposition 5.12,

$$
L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}=\operatorname{soc}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset \bullet} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) \cong L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes \operatorname{soc}\left(L_{\lambda ; \emptyset} \otimes L_{\emptyset ; \mu}\right) .
$$

We note that for every $\xi, \eta \in \Lambda$ such that $m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} \neq 0$ and $k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=1$ we have $m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=1$. Thus

$$
\bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda: k k_{; ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=1} m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{0}\left(L_{\lambda \boldsymbol{\bullet}, \xi ; \emptyset \bullet} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda: m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=1} I_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}}
$$

Let

$$
w_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}:=\left(\bigoplus_{f \in \Xi^{1}\left(I_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \text { of type (iii) }} f\right): I_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda: m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=1} I_{\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}, \xi ; \eta, \mu \boldsymbol{\bullet}} .
$$

We obtain a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}=g_{0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \oplus w_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}: \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{0}\left(L_{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{1}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the properties $\operatorname{ker} y_{0, \lambda} \cong L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ and $\operatorname{im} y_{0, \lambda} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}}^{0}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta_{\bullet}} \otimes L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) \cong L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}}$. We proceed to define

$$
y_{1, \lambda}:=g_{1, \lambda} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda: m_{; ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=1} y_{0,\left(\lambda, \xi ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}\right)}\right)
$$

and, more generally,

$$
y_{k, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}:=\left(\bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda: 0 \leq k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} \leq k}\left(g_{k-k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu},\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}\right)}\right)^{\oplus m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda: k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=k}\left(w_{\left(\lambda \cdot \xi ; \xi ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}\right)}\right)^{\oplus m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}}\right) .
$$

It follows by induction on $\left|\lambda \cap \mu^{\perp}\right|$ (which is the injective length of $V_{\lambda ; \mu}$ in $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$ ), using the Koszulity of the category $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$, that the morphisms $y_{k, \lambda}$ form an exact sequence.
Corollary 5.30. Let $\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right),\left(\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. Then, for $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k}\left(L_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{\bullet}}, L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right)=
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k}\left(L_{\kappa_{\bullet}, k ; \nu, \nu_{\bullet}}, L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) \neq 0$ then $k=k_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \lambda_{\bullet} ; \nu, \nu_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\bullet}, ~}$.

## 6 The category $\mathbf{T}_{t}$

Recall Proposition 3.1 which states that the $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module $I$ is endowed with a structure of a commutative algebra via the isomorphism $I \cong S^{\bullet} Q /(1-\iota(1))$. Generalizing a concept introduced in [ChP2021], we define a category $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ as follows. An object of $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ is any object of $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ isomorphic as a $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-module to a tensor product $I \otimes M$ for some $M$ in $\mathbb{T}_{t}$. In addition to their $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-module structure, the objects of $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ are free $I$-modules with respect to left multiplication by elements of $I$. The morphisms in $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ are, by definition, morphisms of $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-modules which are also morphisms of $I$-modules, i.e., commute with the action of $I$. The category $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ is a tensor category with respect to $\otimes_{I}$. Since $t$ is fixed, we put $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{T}_{t}$. Note that the functor $I \otimes \bullet: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ is left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. The simple objects in $\mathbf{T}$ are related to those in $\mathbb{T}$ as follows.

Theorem 6.1. ([ChP2021, Theorem 3.24]) The simple objects in $\mathbf{T}$ are exactly the modules of the form $I \otimes L$ with $L$ - a simple object in $\mathbb{T}$. Furthermore, each simple object in $\mathbf{T}$ has endomorphism algebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}$.

Consequently, the isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathbf{T}$ are parametrized by the set $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\Lambda^{2(t+2)}$ of $2(t+2)$-tuples of Young diagrams, with representatives (see (13))

$$
K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=I \otimes L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}
$$

The proof given in [ChP2021] is independent of the assumption $t=0$ made in that article.
Proposition 6.2. There is a surjective morphism of $\mathfrak{g}^{M}{ }^{M}$-modules

$$
{ }_{I} \mathbf{p}:\left(I \otimes V^{*}\right) \otimes_{I}(I \otimes \bar{V}) \rightarrow I .
$$

Proof. The claimed morphism is the following composition

$$
\left(I \otimes V^{*}\right) \otimes_{I}(I \otimes \bar{V}) \cong I \otimes\left(V^{*} \otimes \bar{V}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i} d \otimes \tilde{\pi}} I \otimes Q^{\text {multiply }} I .
$$

It is surjective, since $\mathbb{K} \cong \mathfrak{q} \subset Q$.
Proposition 6.3. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. The injective object $I_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ has finite length in $\mathbf{T}$. The socle filtration of $I_{\lambda}$ in $\mathbf{T}$ has length $1+q^{(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|)}$ and its layers are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q+1} I_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}} & =I \otimes \underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbb{T}}^{q+1} J_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu \bullet} \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{j+k=q} \bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{\xi, \eta}, \underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbb{T}}^{j+1}\left(V_{\lambda ; \eta} \otimes V_{\emptyset ; \mu}\right)\right) \otimes I \otimes Z_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta, \mu \bullet}^{k+1} \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{j+k=q} \bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda:|\lambda|-|\xi|=j} h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} \cdot I \otimes Z_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}}^{k+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Z_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}}^{k+1}$ are the $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-modules defined in (25) and $h_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}$ are the numbers defined in (12).
Proof. Note that the finiteness of the length of $I_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ follows from the proposed description of the socle filtration, because the multiplicities of simple objects in the (finitely many) socle layers are finite. Next, recall that the socle filtration of $I_{\lambda}$ as a $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-module is known from Proposition 5.18. Theorem 6.1 allows us to determine the simple subquotients of $I_{\lambda}$ in $\mathbf{T}$ and observe that they correspond to the simple subquotients of $J_{\lambda}$ in $\mathbb{T}$. To prove the first line of the formula claimed in the theorem, it remains to show that the number of the layer in which a given simple subquotient of $J_{\lambda}$ appears remains the same for the respective simple subquotient of $I_{\lambda}$ in $\mathbf{T}$. This holds, since $\operatorname{soc}_{\mathbb{T}} I_{\kappa}=L_{\kappa}$ for every $\kappa \in \Lambda$, and the $\mathbb{T}$-socle filtration of $I_{\lambda}$ is subordinate to the $\mathbf{T}$-socle filtration. This implies the first line, and the rest follows from Proposition 5.16 describing $\underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbb{T}}^{q+1} J_{\lambda}$.

We are now ready to prove the following generalization of [ChP2021, Proposition 3.25] where the result is obtained for $t=0$.

Theorem 6.4. The category $\mathbf{T}$ is an ordered Grothendieck category with order-defining objects $I_{l}, \boldsymbol{l} \in \mathbf{P}$, parametrized by the poset $\mathbf{P}$ of Definition 5.3. The isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathbf{T}$ are parametrized by the set $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$, with representatives $K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. The indecomposable injectives are, up to isomorphism, $I_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ for $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. The socles of the order-defining objects are

$$
\operatorname{soc}_{\mathbf{T}} I_{l}=K_{l}=\bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}_{l}} \mathbb{K}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}
$$

with $\mathcal{S}_{\boldsymbol{l}}=\{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}:|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|=\boldsymbol{l}\}$ as in Theorem 5.20.

Proof. From Proposition 6.3 we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbf{T}}^{k+1} I_{l}=I \otimes \underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k+1} J_{l} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the theorem follows by arguments analogous to these in the proof of Theorem 6.4, using the socle filtration of $J_{l}$ determined in Proposition 5.14 where the layers correspond to indices $\boldsymbol{k} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{P}} \boldsymbol{l}$.

### 6.1 Tensor products of simple objects and a subcategory $\mathbf{T}$ of $\mathbf{T}$

We make here some technical observations which will be used further on for the construction of injective resolutions of simple objects in $\mathbf{T}$.

Proposition 6.5. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}\right), \lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. Then, for $q \geq 0$, we have $\operatorname{soc}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q+1}\left(K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes_{I} K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}}\right) \cong I \otimes \operatorname{soc}_{\mathbb{T}}^{q+1}\left(L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{T}^{q+1}\left(K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes_{I} K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}}\right) \cong I \otimes \underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbb{T}}^{q+1}\left(L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \cong K_{\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu \cdot} \otimes_{I} K_{\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}^{\prime}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}} \otimes_{I} \underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q+1}\left(K_{\lambda ; \mu} \otimes_{I} K_{\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \cong K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu \bullet} \otimes_{I} K_{\lambda_{\bullet}^{\prime}, \emptyset ; \emptyset, \mu_{\bullet}} \otimes_{I}\left(\bigoplus_{\kappa, \nu \in \Lambda:|\lambda|-|\kappa|=q} \underline{n}_{(\kappa ; \nu)}^{(\lambda ; \mu),\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}\right)} \cdot K_{\kappa ; \nu}\right) \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{(\kappa \bullet), \kappa, \nu, \nu) \in \boldsymbol{\bullet}}\left(\mathbf{N}_{\lambda_{\bullet} \lambda_{\bullet}^{\prime} \underline{n}_{(\kappa ; \nu)}^{\kappa_{\boldsymbol{0}}}}^{(\lambda ; \mu),\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}\right)} \mathbf{N}_{\mu_{\bullet} \mu_{\bullet}^{\prime}}^{\nu_{\bullet}}\right) \cdot K_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{\bullet}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the numbers $\underline{n}_{(\kappa ; \nu)}^{(\lambda ; \mu),\left(\lambda^{\prime} ; \mu^{\prime}\right)}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{\lambda_{\bullet}}^{\kappa \cdot \lambda_{0}^{\prime}}$, are given respectively in Lemma 5.11 and Proposition 5.12.

In other words, the socle filtration of $K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes_{I} K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}}$ in $\mathbf{T}$ is determined by the socle fitration of $L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}}$ in $\mathbb{T}$. In particular, the analogues of parts (a),(c),(d),(e) of Proposition 5.12 hold for $K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes_{I} K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}}$.

Proof. The socle filtrations of the $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$ modules $V_{* \lambda} \otimes V_{\mu}$ remain unaltered after restriction to the ideal $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right) \subset \mathfrak{g l}(V)$, by Theorem 5.3. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{s l}\left(V, V_{*}\right)$ acts trivially on $I$. It follows from Proposition 2.11 that the claim holds for the socle filtration of a tensor product of the form $K_{\lambda ; \emptyset} \otimes_{I} K_{\emptyset ; \mu^{\prime}}$. Now the general statement follows from Proposition 5.12 in a straightforward manner.

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the smallest full tensor Grothendieck subcategory of $\mathbf{T}$ containing the objects $K_{1 ; 0}=I \otimes V_{*}$ and $K_{0 ; 1}=I \otimes V$ and closed under taking subquotients.

Theorem 6.6. The categories $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$ and $\underline{\mathbf{T}}$ are equivalent under the functor $I \otimes \bullet$. This functor is also determined by the universality property of $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$ and the assignment $V_{*} \mapsto$ $K_{1 ; 0}, V \mapsto K_{0 ; 1}, \mathbf{p} \mapsto\left(K_{1 ; 0} \otimes_{I} K_{0 ; 1} \cong I \otimes V_{*} \otimes V \xrightarrow{\text { id } \otimes \mathbf{p}} I\right)$. In particular, $\underline{\mathbf{T}}$ has the structure of an ordered Grothendieck category, with order-defining objects $I_{l ; m}$ for $(l ; m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, parametrized by the poset $\mathcal{P}$ from Definition 5.1. Representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple objects and indecomposable injective objects of $\underline{\mathbf{T}}$ are given respectively by $K_{\lambda ; \mu}$ and $I_{\lambda ; \emptyset} \otimes_{I} I_{\emptyset ; \mu}$ for $(\lambda ; \mu) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda$.

Proof. The existence of the claimed functor is due to the universality property of $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)$, cf. [PS2014],[ChP2019]. The fact that $I \otimes \bullet$ fits exactly with the required assignment for the universal functor is obvious. The verification that this functor defines an equivalence is straightforward in view of Proposition 6.5.

Theorem 6.6 allows us to translate the results from Section 5.1 into results about the category T. In particular, Theorem 5.5 yields the following.

Corollary 6.7. For $\lambda, \mu, \xi, \eta \in \Lambda$ and $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}^{k}\left(K_{\xi ; \eta}, K_{\lambda, \mu}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V_{*}, V\right)}^{k}\left(V_{\xi ; \eta}, V_{\lambda, \mu}\right)=m_{\xi, \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}
$$

If this dimension is nonzero then $k=k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=|\lambda|-|\xi|=|\mu|-|\eta|$.

### 6.2 Injective resolutions of simple objects in $\mathbf{T}_{t}$

Theorem 6.8. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{\mathbf{0}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. There is an injective resolution of the simple object $K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ in $\mathbf{T}$, of length $k^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}:=\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|-\left(\left|\lambda_{t}\right|+\left|\mu_{t}\right|\right)$ and with $k$-th term

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{T}}^{k}\left(K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j_{1}+j_{2}=k} \bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda: k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}=i} m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} \cdot\left(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{T}}^{j_{1}}\left(K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \boldsymbol{0}_{\bullet}}\right) \otimes_{I} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{T}}^{j_{2}}\left(K_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}}\right)\right) \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{i+j_{1}+j_{2}=k} \bigoplus_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda: k k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda_{j}, \mu}=i} m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} \cdot I \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)}^{j_{1}}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \emptyset_{\bullet}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}(\bar{V})}^{j_{2}}\left(L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{\kappa_{\bullet}, k ; \nu, \nu, \nu_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu}$ is as in Theorem 5.29.
Proof. The strategy relays on the fact that the tensor product of injective objects in $\mathbf{T}$ is injective, which allows us to apply Lemma 4.2.

We begin with the one-sided case, and the observation that the injective resolution of $L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\bullet}}$ in $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$ (see Theorem 5.24) is transformed under the functor $I \otimes \bullet$ into an exact sequence with $j$-th term $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{T}}^{j}\left(K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\bullet}}\right):=I \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)}^{j}\left(L_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\bullet}}\right)$. The indecomposable injectives of $\mathbb{T}\left(V^{*}\right)$ are of the form $J_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \emptyset_{\bullet}}$, and hence $I \otimes \bullet$ transforms them into indecomposable injectives of $\mathbf{T}$, by Theorem 6.4. In particular, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{T}}^{j}\left(K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\bullet}}\right)$ is injective in $\mathbf{T}$ for all $j$, and the above exact sequence is an injective resolution of $K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \eta_{\bullet}}$ in $\mathbf{T}$. The case of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{T}}^{j}\left(K_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right):=I \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{T}(\bar{V})}^{j}\left(L_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right)$ is analogous.

By Lemma 4.2, the Künneth product of the resolutions of $K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset_{\bullet}}$ and $K_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ is a resolution of $K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset_{\bullet}} \otimes_{I} K_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ with $k$-th term

$$
\bigoplus_{j_{1}+j_{2}=k} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{T}}^{j_{1}}\left(K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \emptyset_{\bullet}}\right) \otimes_{I} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{T}}^{j_{2}}\left(K_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) .
$$

We have an analogous resolution of $K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \xi ; \emptyset_{\bullet}} \otimes_{I} K_{\emptyset_{\bullet} ; \eta, \mu_{\bullet}}$ for $\xi, \eta \in \Lambda$ such that $m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}$, and we can combine these resolutions, using Corollary 6.7, in a manner similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 5.29, to obtain the claimed resolution of $K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$.

Corollary 6.9. For $\left(\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}\right),\left(\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\mathbf{\bullet}}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ and $q \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q}\left(K_{\kappa \bullet, k ; \nu, \boldsymbol{\bullet}_{\bullet}}, K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right)=\sum_{\xi, \eta \in \Lambda: q=k_{k, \boldsymbol{*}}^{\xi}, \boldsymbol{+}, k_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu}+k_{p, \nu}^{n, \mu}} p_{\kappa, \kappa_{\bullet}}^{\xi, \lambda} m_{\xi ; \eta}^{\lambda ; \mu} p_{\nu, \nu_{\bullet}}^{\eta, \mu \boldsymbol{\bullet}} . \\
& \text { If } \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q}\left(K_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{\bullet}}, K_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}\right) \neq 0 \text { then } q=k_{\kappa_{\bullet}, \lambda_{i} ; \nu, \nu_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\bullet}, ~} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 6.10. For $\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ and $q \geq 0$,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{T}}^{k}\left(K_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{e \perp o}}, K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\text {®oo }}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{T}}^{k}\left(K_{\kappa^{\circ \perp e}}, K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\circ \perp e}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}\left(K_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}, \underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbf{T}}^{k+1}\left(I \otimes M_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\right)\right),
$$

where $M_{\lambda_{\bullet}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{\bullet}}$ is the module defined in (28) and ${ }^{e \perp o}$ is the involution defined in §5.3.1.
Proof. The corollary follows from Corollary 6.9, Corollary 5.26, Theorem 5.5.
As a special case we obtain the following.
Corollary 6.11. Assume $t=0$, meaning that $V$ is of countable dimension. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{T}_{0}}^{k}\left(K_{\kappa_{0}^{\perp}, \kappa ; \nu^{\perp}, \nu_{0}}, K_{\lambda^{\perp}, \lambda ; \mu^{\perp}, \mu_{0}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}_{0}}\left(K_{\kappa_{0}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{0}}, \underline{\operatorname{SoC}}_{\mathbf{T}_{0}}^{k+1} I_{\lambda_{0}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{0}}\right)
$$

holds for any $\left(\kappa_{0}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{0}\right),\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{0}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ and $k \geq 0$.
Proof. Under the assumption $t=0$ we have $I_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=I \otimes M_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ and $M_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=J_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ for all $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. Therefore the statement follows from Corollary 6.10.

## 7 Symmetries

In the preceding sections we have shown that the categories $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{t}$ have finite-dimensional Ext-spaces between simple objects, as well as finite-dimensional Hom-spaces from simple objects to socle layers of indecomposable injective objects. The explicit combinatorial formulas for these dimensions facilitate the study of various relations between Ext- and Hom-spaces. Some such phenomena correspond to symmetries of the set $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ parametrizing the isomorphism classes of simple objects in both $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{t}$. We have already encountered the involution $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{o \perp e}$ of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ (see §5.3.1) in relation to several equalities between dimensions of Ext- and Hom-spaces given in Theorem 5.5 and Corollaries 5.26, 6.10 and 6.11. In the next proposition we derive equalities related to another involution of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$.

If $\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}=\left(\lambda_{-1}, \lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}\right)$ is a finite sequence of Young diagrams, we denote the reversed sequence by rev $\lambda_{\mathbf{\bullet}}:=\left(\lambda_{t}, \ldots \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{-1}\right)$.

Proposition 7.1. Let $\left(\lambda_{\bullet} ; \mu_{\bullet}\right),\left(\kappa_{\bullet} ; \nu_{\bullet}\right) \in \Lambda$ and $q \geq 0$. Then
 if this number is nonzero then $k_{\kappa_{\bullet}}^{\lambda}:=k_{\mathrm{rev} \lambda_{\bullet}}^{\mathrm{rev} k_{\bullet}}$;

3. $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}}^{q}\left(L_{\kappa_{\bullet} ; \emptyset_{\bullet}}, L_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \eta_{\bullet}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}}^{q}\left(L_{\mathrm{rev} \lambda_{\bullet} ; \eta_{\bullet}}, L_{\mathrm{rev} \kappa_{\bullet} ; \eta_{\bullet}}\right)$;
4. $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}\left(K_{\kappa_{\bullet} ; \eta_{\bullet}}, \underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q+1} I_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \bullet_{\bullet}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}\left(K_{\mathrm{rev} \lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset_{\bullet}}, \underline{\operatorname{soc}}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q+1} I_{\mathrm{rev} \kappa_{\bullet} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\bullet}}\right)$;
5. $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q}\left(K_{\kappa_{\bullet} ; \boldsymbol{D}_{\bullet}}, K_{\lambda_{\bullet} ; \eta_{\bullet}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q}\left(K_{\mathrm{rev} \lambda_{\bullet} ; \emptyset_{\bullet}}, K_{\mathrm{rev} \kappa_{\bullet} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\bullet}}\right) ;$
6. we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}}^{q}\left(L_{\kappa 0, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{0}}, L_{\lambda_{0}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{0}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{T}}^{q}\left(L_{\lambda, \lambda_{0} ; \mu_{0}, \mu}, L_{\kappa, \kappa_{0} ; \nu_{0}, \nu}\right) \\
\quad=\sum_{\delta, \tau, \theta, \varphi, \psi, \xi, \eta, \zeta \zeta \Lambda} N_{\zeta \varphi_{0}}^{\kappa_{0}} N_{\lambda_{0} \tau}^{\varphi} N_{\tau^{\perp}}^{\xi} N_{\xi \delta}^{\lambda} N_{\delta^{\perp} \eta}^{\mu} N_{\nu \theta^{\perp}}^{\eta} N_{\theta \mu_{0}}^{\psi} N_{\psi \zeta^{\perp}}^{\nu_{0}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and if this number is nonzero then $q$ is unique and equals

$$
q=\left|\kappa_{0}\right|-\left|\lambda_{0}\right|+|\mu|-|\nu|=|\lambda|-|\kappa|+\left|\nu_{0}\right|-\left|\mu_{0}\right| ;
$$

7. if $\left|\lambda_{0}\right|+|\lambda|=\left|\kappa_{0}\right|+|\kappa|$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q}\left(K_{\kappa_{0}, \kappa ; \nu, \nu_{0}}, K_{\lambda_{0}, \lambda ; \mu, \mu_{0}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbf{T}}^{q}\left(K_{\lambda, \lambda_{0} ; \mu_{0}, \mu}, K_{\kappa, \kappa_{0} ; \nu_{0}, \nu}\right) \\
=\sum_{\tau, \theta \in \Lambda} N_{\lambda_{0} \tau}^{\kappa_{0}} N_{\tau^{\perp} \kappa}^{\lambda} N_{\nu \theta^{\perp}}^{\mu} N_{\theta \mu_{0}}^{\nu_{0}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and if this number is nonzero then $q$ is unique and equals

$$
q=|\lambda|-|\kappa|+|\mu|-|\nu|=\left|\kappa_{0}\right|-\left|\lambda_{0}\right|+|\mu|-|\nu|=|\lambda|-|\kappa|+\left|\nu_{0}\right|-\left|\mu_{0}\right| .
$$

Proof. The first statement follows by standard properties of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients from the defining formulas of $p_{\mu_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\bullet}}$ and $k_{\mu_{\bullet}}^{\lambda_{\bullet}}$ in Theorem 5.24. The rest of the statements follow from the first and the explicit formulas for the dimensions of the involved Ext- and Hom-spaces, obtained in Proposition 5.16, Corollary 5.30 and Corollary 6.9.

## 8 Universality

Before addressing the topic of universality we should point out that a seed of the following discussion can be traced to the work [SS2015]. Here we follow [ChP2021].

Let $\mathbf{T}_{\text {fin }}$ denote the full tensor subcategory of $\mathbf{T}$ containing $I_{l}$ for $\boldsymbol{l} \in \mathbf{P}$ and closed under taking subquotients. The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(\mathcal{D}, \otimes, \mathbf{1})$ be a ( $\mathbb{K}$-linear abelian) tensor category with a given pair of objects $X, Y$, a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{q}: X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and filtrations $0=X_{-1} \subset X_{0} \subset X_{1} \subset \ldots \subset X_{t+1}=X$ and $0=Y_{-1} \subset Y_{0} \subset Y_{1} \subset \ldots \subset Y_{t+1}=Y$. Then the following hold.
(i) There is a unique, up to a monoidal isomorphism, left-exact symmetric monoidal functor $\Phi: \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ sending the pairing ${ }_{I} \mathbf{p}:\left(I \otimes V^{*}\right) \otimes_{I}(I \otimes \bar{V}) \rightarrow I$ to the pairing $\mathbf{q}$, and for $-1 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq t$ the morphisms $I \otimes\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right) \rightarrow I \otimes\left(V^{*} / V_{\beta}^{*}\right)$ and $I \otimes\left(\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow I \otimes\left(\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\beta}\right)$ respectively to the morphisms $X / X_{\alpha} \rightarrow X / X_{\beta}$ and $Y / Y_{\alpha} \rightarrow Y / Y_{\beta}$.
(ii) If $\mathcal{D}$ is additionally a Grothendieck category then $\Phi$ extends to a functor $\mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

The proof will be given after some preparation. In the next proposition we relate the endomorphism algebras of the objects $I_{l}$ to the groups $\mathfrak{S}_{l}$ defined in (16).

Proposition 8.2. For $\boldsymbol{l} \in \mathbf{P}$, the endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{T}} I_{l}$ is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{l}\right]$ via the $\mathfrak{S}_{l}$-action on $I_{l}$, where the $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{\alpha}}$-factor of $\mathfrak{S}_{l}$ permutes the tensorands in the tensorand $\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{\alpha}}$ of $I_{l}$ and the $\mathfrak{S}_{m_{\alpha}}$-factor permutes the tensorands in the tensorand $\left(\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\alpha}\right)^{\otimes m_{\alpha}}$ of $I_{l}$.

Proof. We follow the idea of [ChP2021, Lemma 3.34] and only outline the main steps as the details are analogous. By Theorems 6.1 and 6.4, the endomorphism algebra of every indecomposable injective is trivial: $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{T}} I_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \cong \mathbb{K}$ for all $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. The $\mathfrak{S}_{l}$-action defined in the proposition extends to an injective homomorphism $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{l}\right] \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{T}} I_{l}$. The surjectivity follows from a dimension argument.

Let $R$ be the tensor algebra in $\mathbf{T}$ of the object $R_{1}:=\underset{l \in \mathbf{P}:|l|=1}{\bigoplus} I_{l}$ and let $R_{d}:=R_{1}^{\otimes_{I} d}$ be the degree $d$ component of $R$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}:=\bigoplus_{k, l \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}\left(R_{l}, R_{k}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{k, l \in \mathbf{P}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}\left(I_{l}, I_{k}\right) ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

this is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded algebra with degree components

$$
\mathcal{A}_{d}:=\bigoplus_{k, l \in \mathbf{P}: k \in \mathbf{P}^{d}(l)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}\left(I_{l}, I_{k}\right)
$$

Theorem 8.3. The category $\mathbf{T}$ is Koszul, in the sense of [ChP2017], namely, for every pair of simple objects $K, L$ and every $q \geq 2$, the canonical Yoneda map

$$
\bigoplus_{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{q-1} \text { simple }} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(K, M_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \otimes \ldots \otimes \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(M_{q-1}, L\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{q}(K, L)
$$

is surjective. Consequently, the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is Koszul and, in particular, quadratic.
Proof. The surjectivity of the Yoneda maps in $\mathbf{T}$ follows from Corollary 6.9. It is shown in [ChP2021] that the Koszulity of the category $\mathbf{T}$ implies that $\mathcal{A}$ is a Koszul algebra, and is hence quadratic.

In the proposition below, we study certain $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{l}, \mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$-bimodules of homomorphisms $I_{l} \rightarrow I_{\boldsymbol{k}}$. These bimodule structures of the models provided for these bimodules are obtained as follows. If $l, l^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $l \leq l^{\prime}$ we consider $\mathfrak{S}_{l}$ as the subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{l^{\prime}}$ fixing $l+1, \ldots, l^{\prime}$. If all coordinates of $\boldsymbol{l} \in \mathbf{P}$ are smaller or equal to the respective coordinates of $\boldsymbol{l}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}$, then we consider $\mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{l}}$ as the subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{l^{\prime}}$ given by the component-wise embeddings $\mathfrak{S}_{l_{\alpha}} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{l_{\alpha}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{m_{\alpha}} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{m_{\alpha}^{\prime}}$ fixed above. Now, if $\mathfrak{S}_{l} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{l^{\prime}}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{k} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{k^{\prime}}$ are two such inclusions, every $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{l^{\prime}}, \mathfrak{S}_{k^{\prime}}\right)$-bimodule is a $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{l}, \mathfrak{S}_{k}\right)$-bimodule by restriction. All models for homomorphism spaces used in the proposition below are bimodules of this form. For instance, for $\boldsymbol{l}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}$ the group algebra $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{l}^{\prime}}\right]$ is a ( $\left.\mathfrak{S}_{l}, \mathfrak{S}_{k}\right)$-bimodule.

Proposition 8.4. The space of quadratic relations between degree 1 elements of $\mathcal{A}$ decomposes as a sum of monogenerated $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{l}, \mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$-bimodules, along the pairs $\boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{k}$ at distance 2 in the poset $\mathbf{P}$, as follows:

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)=\bigoplus_{k, l \in \mathbf{P}: k \in \mathbf{P}^{2}(l)} \operatorname{ker} g_{l, k}, \quad \operatorname{ker} g_{l, k} \cong\left(\mathbb{K}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{k}\right] \otimes \mathbb{K}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{l}\right]\right) \cdot f_{l, k}
$$

Here

$$
g_{l, k}: \quad \bigoplus_{\mathrm{P}} \quad \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{k^{\prime}}, I_{k}\right) \otimes_{\operatorname{End} I_{k^{\prime}}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{l}, I_{k^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{l}, I_{k}\right)
$$

is the morphism induced by composition, it is surjective, and a generator $f_{l, k} \in \operatorname{ker} g_{l, k}$ is specified below in the various relevant cases. For $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{\mathbf{\bullet}}, l ; m, n_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathbf{P}$, we let $\mathbf{p}_{l}: I_{l} \rightarrow I_{l-(1 ; 1)}$ stand for the morphism defined by the identity on all tensorands in $I_{l}=I_{\bullet \bullet 0 ; 0, n} . \otimes_{I} I_{1 ; 0}^{\otimes_{I} l} \otimes I_{0 ; 1}^{\otimes_{I} m}$, except on the last tensorand of $I_{1 ; 0}^{\otimes_{I} l}$ and the last tensorand of $I_{0 ; 1}^{\otimes_{I} m}$ on which ${ }_{I} \mathbf{p}: I_{1 ; 0} \otimes I_{0 ; 1} \rightarrow I_{0 ; 0}$ is applied. Similarly, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq t, f_{l}^{\alpha}: I_{l} \rightarrow I_{l+\left(1_{\alpha},-1_{\alpha-1} ; 0\right)}$ is the projection $V^{*} / V_{\alpha-1}^{*} \rightarrow V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*}$ applied to the last tensorand in $I_{1_{\alpha-1 ; 0}}^{\otimes_{1} l_{\alpha}}$, extended by identity on all other tensorands in $I_{l}$, and $\bar{f}_{l}^{\alpha}: I_{l} \rightarrow I_{l+\left(0 ;-1_{\alpha-1}, 1_{\alpha}\right)}$ is the analogous morphism obtained from $\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\alpha-1} \rightarrow \bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\alpha}$.

1. For $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}, l ; m, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}, l-2 ; m-2, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)=\boldsymbol{l}-(2 ; 2)$, we have a single intermediate element $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}, l-1 ; m-1, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)=\boldsymbol{l}-(1 ; 1)$; the domain of $g_{l, k}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{k^{\prime}}, I_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right) \otimes_{\operatorname{End} I_{k^{\prime}}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{l}, I_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cong \mathbb{K}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{l}\right]
$$

as an $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{l}, \mathfrak{S}_{k}\right)$-bimodule, and the kernel of $g_{l, k}$ is generated by

$$
f_{l, k}=\mathbf{p}_{k^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbf{p}_{l}-\mathbf{p}_{k^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbf{p}_{l} \circ s
$$

where $s$ is the product of the two simple transpositions in $\mathfrak{S}_{l} \times \mathfrak{S}_{m}$ exchanging respectively the last two tensorands in $\left(V^{*}\right)^{\otimes l}$ and the last two tensorands in $\bar{V}^{\otimes m}$.
2. For $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}, l ; m, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}+1, l-2 ; m-1, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$, we have two intermediate elements $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}, l-1 ; m-1, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right), \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime \prime}=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}+1, l-\right.$ $\left.1 ; m, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$; the domain of $g_{l, k}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{k^{\prime}}, I_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right) & \otimes_{\operatorname{End} I_{k^{\prime}}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{l}, I_{k^{\prime}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{k^{\prime \prime}}, I_{k}\right) \otimes_{\operatorname{End} I_{k^{\prime \prime}}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{l}, I_{k^{\prime \prime}}\right) \\
& \cong \mathbb{K}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}+1, l ; ; m, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}}\right]^{\oplus 2} \cong\left(\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{l_{0}}} \mathbb{\mathfrak { S } _ { l _ { 0 } + 1 }}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{l}\right]\right)^{\oplus 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as an $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{l}, \mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$-bimodule (the two summands are isomorphic), and the kernel of $g_{l, \boldsymbol{k}}$ is generated by

$$
f_{l, k}=f_{k^{\prime}}^{0} \otimes \mathbf{p}_{l}-\mathbf{p}_{k^{\prime \prime}} \otimes f_{l}^{0} \circ s,
$$

where $s$ is the simple transposition in $\mathfrak{S}_{l} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{l}$ exchanging respectively the last two tensorands in $\left(V^{*}\right)^{\otimes l}$.
3. For $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}, l ; m, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{l}+\left(1_{\alpha},-1_{\alpha-1} ;-1_{\beta-1}, 1_{\beta}\right)$, with $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq t$, there are two intermediate elements $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{l}+\left(1_{\alpha},-1_{\alpha-1} ; 0_{\bullet}\right), \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime \prime}=\boldsymbol{l}+\left(0_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}} ;-1_{\beta-1}, 1_{\beta}\right)$; the domain of $g_{l, k}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{k^{\prime}}, I_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right) \otimes_{\operatorname{End} I_{k^{\prime}}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{l}, I_{k^{\prime}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{k^{\prime \prime}}, I_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right) \otimes_{\operatorname{End} I_{k^{\prime \prime}}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{\boldsymbol{l}}, I_{k^{\prime \prime}}\right) \cong \mathbb{K}\left[\mathscr{S}_{l+\left(1_{\alpha} ; 1_{\beta}\right)}\right]^{\oplus 2}
$$

as an $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{l}, \mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$-bimodule (the two summands are isomorphic), and the kernel of $g_{l, k}$ is generated by

$$
f_{l, k}=f_{k^{\prime \prime}}^{\alpha} \otimes \bar{f}_{l}^{\beta}-\bar{f}_{k^{\prime}}^{\beta} \otimes f_{l}^{\alpha} .
$$

4. For $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}, l ; m, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{l}+\left(2_{\alpha},-2_{\alpha-1} ; 0\right.$ • $)$, with $0 \leq \alpha \leq t$, there is one intermediate element $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{l}+\left(1_{\alpha},-1_{\alpha-1} ; 0_{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}\right)$; the domain of $g_{\boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{k}}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{k^{\prime}}, I_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right) \otimes_{{\operatorname{End} I_{k^{\prime}}}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{l}, I_{k^{\prime}}\right) \cong \mathbb{K}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{l+\left(2_{\alpha} ; 0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\bullet}\right.}\right]
$$

as an $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{l}, \mathfrak{S}_{k}\right)$-bimodule, and the kernel of $g_{l, k}$ is generated by

$$
f_{l, k}=s^{\prime} \circ\left(f_{k^{\prime}}^{\alpha} \otimes f_{l}^{\alpha}\right)-\left(f_{k^{\prime}}^{\alpha} \otimes f_{l_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right) \circ s,
$$

where $s \in \mathfrak{S}_{l_{\alpha-1}} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{l}$ is the transposition of the last two tensorands in $\left(V_{\alpha-1}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{\alpha-1}}$ as a tensorand of $I_{l}$ and $s^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{S}_{l_{\alpha}+2} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ is the transposition of the last two tensorands of $\left(V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{\alpha}+2}$ as a tensorand of $I_{k}$.
5. For $\boldsymbol{l}=\left(l_{t}, \ldots, l_{0}, l ; m, m_{0}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{l}+\left(1_{\alpha},-1_{\alpha-1} ; 0_{\bullet}\right)+\left(1_{\beta},-1_{\beta-1} ; 0 \boldsymbol{\bullet}\right)$, with $0 \leq$ $\alpha<\beta \leq t$, there are two intermediate elements $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{l}+\left(1_{\alpha},-1_{\alpha-1} ; 0\right), \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime \prime}=\boldsymbol{l}+$ $\left(1_{\beta},-1_{\beta-1} ; 0_{\bullet}\right)$; the domain of $g_{l, k}$ is
$\operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{k^{\prime}}, I_{k}\right) \otimes_{\operatorname{End} I_{k^{\prime}}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{l}, I_{k^{\prime}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{k^{\prime \prime}}, I_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right) \otimes_{\operatorname{End} I_{k^{\prime \prime}}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(I_{l}, I_{k^{\prime \prime}}\right) \cong \mathbb{K}\left[\mathfrak{S}_{l+\left(1_{\beta}, 1_{\alpha} ; 0 \cdot\right)}\right]^{\oplus 2}$ as an $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{l}, \mathfrak{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$-bimodule (the two summands are isomorphic), and the kernel of $g_{l, k}$ is generated by an element $f_{l, k}$ determined depending on $\beta-\alpha$ as follows:
(a) if $\beta=\alpha+1$ then

$$
f_{l, k}=f_{k^{\prime \prime}}^{\alpha} \otimes f_{l}^{\alpha+1}-s \circ\left(f_{k^{\prime}}^{\alpha+1} \otimes f_{l}^{\alpha}\right),
$$

where $s \in \mathfrak{S}_{l_{\alpha}+1} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{l+\left(1_{\alpha+1}, 1_{\alpha} ; 0_{\bullet}\right)}$ is the transposition of the last two tensorands in $\left(V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{\alpha}}$ as a tensorand of $I_{l+\left(1_{\alpha+1}, 1_{\alpha} ; 0_{\bullet}\right)}$.
(b) if $\beta>\alpha+1$ then

$$
f_{l, k}=f_{k^{\prime \prime}}^{\alpha} \otimes f_{l}^{\beta}-f_{k^{\prime}}^{\beta} \otimes f_{l}^{\alpha}
$$

where $s \in \mathfrak{S}_{l_{\alpha}+1} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{l+\left(1_{\alpha+1}, 1_{\alpha} ; 0_{\bullet}\right)}$ is the transposition of the last two tensorands in $\left(V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)^{\otimes l_{\alpha}}$ as a tensorand of $\left.I_{l+\left(1_{\alpha+1}, 1_{\alpha} ; 0 \cdot\right.}\right)$.

Proof. The proof is a compilation of the proofs of [ChP2017, Lemma 5.16] and [ChP2021, Theorem 3.33].

Proof of Theorem 8.1. We follow the strategy of [ChP2021, Theorem 3.33], [ChP2017, Theorem 5.3]. The general properties of tensor categories imply that the relations given Proposition 8.4 are satisfied in $\mathcal{D}$ for the respective objects and morphisms derived from $X$ and $Y$ instead of $V^{*}$ and $\bar{V}$. Now Theorem 8.3, together with Proposition 8.4, implies that the assignment $\Phi\left(V_{\alpha}^{*}\right)=$ $X_{\alpha}, \Phi\left(\bar{V}_{\alpha}\right)=Y_{\alpha}$, for $\alpha=-1,0, \ldots, t, \Phi(\mathbf{p})=\mathbf{q}, \Phi\left(V^{*} / V_{\alpha}^{*} \rightarrow V^{*} / V_{\alpha+1}^{*}\right)=X / X_{\alpha} \rightarrow X / X_{\alpha+1}$, $\Phi\left(\bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \bar{V} / \bar{V}_{\alpha+1}\right)=Y / Y_{\alpha} \rightarrow Y / Y_{\alpha+1}$, for $\alpha=-1, \ldots, t-1$, provides a consistent definition of a functor $\Phi: \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. The uniqueness of this functor, up to tensor natural isomorphism, its left-exactness, and its extension to the Grothendieck category $\mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{D}$ is a Grothendieck category, follow from standard arguments as in [ChP2021, §8].
Corollary 8.5. Let $0 \leq s \leq t$ and let $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{s}^{*}, I^{s, s}, \bar{V}_{s}\right) \subset \mathbb{T}_{t}$ be the smallest full tensor Grothendieck subcategory of $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ containing $V_{s}^{*}, \bar{V}_{s}$ and the module $I^{s, s}$, which is also a commutative subalgebra of $I$, defined at the end of Section 3. Let $\mathbf{T}\left(V_{s}^{*}, I^{s, s}, \bar{V}_{s}\right)$ be the category, whose objects are $\mathfrak{g l}^{M}$-modules in $\mathbb{T}\left(V_{s}^{*}, I^{s, s}, \bar{V}_{s}\right)$ which are also free as $I^{s, s}$-modules, and whose morphisms are morphisms of $\mathfrak{g l}{ }^{M}$-modules as well as of $I^{s, s}$-modules. Then $\mathbf{T}\left(V_{s}^{*}, I^{s, s}, \bar{V}_{s}\right)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{T}_{s}$ constructed from an arbitrary diagonalizable pairing between two $\aleph_{s}$-dimensional vector spaces.
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