

Subspaces:

motivated by lines, planes (through the origin) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and results from Analysis (e.g., sums of cont. fct.s are cont.)

Def.: A subset  $W \subset V$  is called a subspace if  $W$  is a vector space w.r.t. the induced operations (i.e., the same addition and scalar mult. as in  $V$ ).

non-empty subset  $W \subset V$  is a subspace

$\Leftrightarrow W$  is closed w.r.t. the induced operations

Proof: " $\Rightarrow$ " clear

$$\text{"}\Leftarrow\text{"} \cdot w_1 \in W \Rightarrow \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{scalar}}}{0} \cdot w_1 = 0 \in W$$

$$\cdot w_1 \in W \Rightarrow (-1)w_1 = -w_1 \in W$$

$\cdot$  rest follows bc. it holds on  $V$

note:  $\cdot$  neutral element  $0_V$  of  $V$  equals neutral element  $0_W$  of  $W$

Proof:  $w \in W \Rightarrow w + 0_W = w = w + 0_V$

if  $-w_1$  the inverse of  $w_1$  in  $V$ , then we get  $w + 0_W - w_1 = w + 0_V - w_1$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0_W + 0_W = 0_V + 0_V$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0_W = 0_V$$

• Let  $w \in W$ , then inv.  $-w_w$  in  $W$  equals inv.  $-w_v$  in  $V$

Proof:  $w - w_w = 0 = w - w_v$

$$\Leftrightarrow w - w_w - w_v = w - w_v - w_v$$

$$-w_w = -w_v$$

Ex.: •  $\{(0, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$  is a subspace

•  $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_2 = x_1 + 3x_3 - 5\}$  is not a subspace

• space of cont. fct.s is a subspace of space of all fct.s

### I.3 Span, Basis, Dimension

motivation:

• two vectors  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $v_1 \neq c \cdot v_2$  for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  span a (unique) plane through 0

↳ then all vectors in plane can be written as  $w = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2$   
( $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ )

• all planes through 0 can be written as  $c_1 x + c_2 y + c_3 z = 0$   
for non-zero  $c_1, c_2, c_3$

Def.: Let  $V$  be a vectorspace over some field  $F$ .

Then  $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_k v_k = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i$  for  $c_i \in F, v_i \in V, i=1, \dots, k$   
is called a linear combination.

Def.: For any subset  $S \subset V$ , we def.

$$\text{span}(S) = \left\{ \text{all linear combinations } \sum_{i=1}^k c_i s_i \text{ with } c_i \in \mathbb{F}, s_i \in S, \right. \\ \left. i=1, \dots, k, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

note: • for any subset  $S \subset V$ ,  $\text{span}(S)$  is a subspace (HW)

- $\text{span}(S)$  is the smallest subspace, i.e.,  $\text{span}(S) = \bigcap_{\substack{W \subset V \text{ subspace} \\ \text{and } S \subset W}} W$  (HW)
- if  $W \subset V$  is a subspace, then  $\text{span}(W) = W$ .

Def.: A subset  $S \subset V$ , s.t.  $\text{span}(S) = V$  is called a **generating set** (or, a spanning set).

Def.: A minimal generating set is called a **basis** of  $V$  (minimal means no element can be removed).

Def.: A subset  $S \subset V$  is called **linearly independent** if  $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i s_i = 0$  for  $c_i \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $s_i \in S$  always implies  $c_i = 0 \forall i=1, \dots, k$

Otherwise it's called **linearly dependent**.

Theorem: Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$ , and  $E \subset V$  a subset. Then the following are equivalent:

1)  $E$  is a basis of  $V$

2)  $E$  is a maximal linearly independent set

3) every  $v \in V$  can uniquely be written as  $v = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i e_i$ , for  $c_i \in F, e_i \in E, i=1, \dots, k, k \in \mathbb{N}$

Proof:

"1)  $\Rightarrow$  2)": We suppose  $E$  is a basis of  $V$ .

Assume  $E$  is linearly dependent. Then  $\exists c_1, \dots, c_k \in F, e_1, \dots, e_k \in E$ ,

s.t.  $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i e_i = 0$  and  $c_1 \neq 0$ .

$\Rightarrow e_1 = -\sum_{i=2}^k \frac{c_i}{c_1} e_i \Rightarrow E \setminus \{e_1\}$  is a generating set

$\Rightarrow$  contradiction to  $E$  is a basis (a minimal generating set)

$\Rightarrow E$  is linearly independent

Maximal? Choose  $v \notin E$ , can  $E \cup \{v\}$  be linearly indep.?

Since  $E$  is generating,  $v = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i e_i$  for some  $c_i \in F, e_i \in E, i=1, \dots, k, k \in \mathbb{N}$

$\Rightarrow 1 \cdot v + \sum_{i=1}^k (-c_i) e_i = 0 \Rightarrow E \cup \{v\}$  is lin. dep.

$\Rightarrow E$  is maximal lin. indep. set

"2)  $\Rightarrow$  3)": We suppose  $E$  is max. lin. indep. set

choose  $v \in V$ , if  $v \in E$  we are done, so suppose  $v \notin E$ .

Then  $E \cup \{v\}$  is lin. dep., i.e.,  $\exists c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in F$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in E$ ,  
s.t.  $c \cdot v + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i e_i = 0$  and not all  $c_i = 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow v = -\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{c_i}{c} e_i \quad (c \neq 0, \text{ otherwise } E \text{ wouldn't be lin. indep.})$$

Uniqueness?

suppose  $\exists e_1, \dots, e_k \in E$ ,  $c_1, \dots, c_k \in F$ ,  $d_1, \dots, d_k \in F$ , such that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i e_i = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i e_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k (c_i - d_i) e_i = 0$$

$\Rightarrow c_i = d_i \quad \forall i=1, \dots, k$ , since  $E$  is linearly indep.


"3)  $\Rightarrow$  1)": We suppose any  $v \in V$  can be uniquely written as  $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i e_i$ .

Then clearly  $E$  is a generating subset of  $V$ . Is it also minimal?

Assume  $E$  not minimal.

Then  $\exists e \in E$  s.t.  $E \setminus \{e\}$  is a generating subset.

$$\Rightarrow \exists e_1, \dots, e_k \in E, c_1, \dots, c_k \in F, \text{ s.t. } e = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i e_i.$$

Thus,  $e$  can be written in two ways 

$\Rightarrow$  contradiction to unique representation  $\Rightarrow E$  minimal □