

• unitary spaces and decomplexification:

Session 22
Dec. 3, 2018

↳ norm: $\{e_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$ ONB of L , $\{e_j, ie_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$ ONB of

$L_{\mathbb{R}}$ (the decomplexification of L)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j \right\|}_{\text{norm on } L}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[(\operatorname{Re} x_j)^2 + (\operatorname{Im} x_j)^2 \right] \\ &= \underbrace{\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\operatorname{Re} x_j) e_j + \sum_{j=1}^n (\operatorname{Im} x_j) (ie_j) \right\|}_{\text{norm on } L_{\mathbb{R}}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

↳ scalar product: set $\langle \ell, m \rangle = \underbrace{\operatorname{Re} \langle \ell, m \rangle}_{a(\ell, m)} + i \underbrace{\operatorname{Im} \langle \ell, m \rangle}_{b(\ell, m)}$

from $\langle \ell, m \rangle = \overline{\langle m, \ell \rangle}$ we get • $a(\ell, m) = a(m, \ell)$ (symm.)
• $b(\ell, m) = -b(m, \ell)$ (antisymm.)

$\Rightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ pos. def. $\Leftrightarrow a(\cdot, \cdot)$ pos. def.

further: • $a(i\ell, im) = a(\ell, m)$

• $b(i\ell, im) = b(\ell, m)$

• $a(\ell, m) = b(i\ell, m)$

• $b(\ell, m) = -a(i\ell, m)$

results: • $\{e_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$ basis of L , then $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, ie_1, \dots, ie_n\}$ ONB for a , and symplectic basis for b

• other way around: complex structure for any $2n$ -dim. Euclidean space is $J(e_j) = e_{j+n}$, $J(e_{j+n}) = -e_j$, $j=1, \dots, n$

II.6 Orthogonal and Unitary Operators

L finite dim. Euclidean (unitary) space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

Recall: $f: L \rightarrow L$ isomorphism with $\langle f(l), f(m) \rangle = \langle l, m \rangle \iff f$ isometry

isometries on Euclidean (unitary) spaces are called **orthogonal (unitary) operators**

Lemma: f isometry if and only if

a) $\|f(l)\| = \|l\| \quad \forall l \in L$

b) $\{e_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$ basis of L , G Gram matrix of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, U matrix of f , then

$$U^T G U = G$$

c) f maps any ONB into another ONB

d) matrix U of f in any ONB satisfies $U^T U = E_n$, i.e., $U^{-1} = U^T$

Proof: a) clear from polarization (for \mathbb{R} $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in Hermitian case)

b) clear by def. of Gram matrix

c) clearly $\iff \langle f(l), f(m) \rangle = \langle l, m \rangle \quad \forall l, m$

d) clearly \iff c)

note: • group $O(n) = \{ \text{orth. } n \times n \text{ matrices} \}$

• group $U(n) = \{ \text{unitary } n \times n \text{ matrices} \}$

$$\bullet |\det U|^2 = \det U \cdot \overline{\det U} = \det U \cdot \det U^{\overline{(-)}} = \det U U^{\overline{(-)}} = \det E_n = 1$$

• $SO(n) = O(n) \cap \{\det = +1\}$

(low dimension:

• $n=1$: $U(1) = \{e^{ix} : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $O(1) = \{\pm 1\}$

• $n=2$: consider $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in O(2)$

$\hookrightarrow \det U = +1$: $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + b^2 & ac + bd \\ ac + bd & c^2 + d^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc = 1$

$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$

\Rightarrow rotation by angle φ

note: not diagonalizable unless $\varphi \in \{0, \pi\}$

$\hookrightarrow \det U = -1$: $U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ -\sin \varphi & -\cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$ diagonalizable with eigenvalues ± 1 and orthogonal eigenspaces

\Rightarrow reflection relative to some line

Thm.: a) f unitary $\Leftrightarrow f$ diagonalizable in some ONB with $|\lambda_j| = 1$
(λ_j eigenvalue, $j = 1, \dots, n$)

b) f orthogonal \Leftrightarrow in some ONB the matrix of f is

$U = \begin{pmatrix} U(e_1) & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & U(e_n) & \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $U(e) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$
 $\varphi \notin \{0, \pi\}$

note: eigenvectors to different eigenvalues are orthogonal: gen. argument:

$$f(l_i) = \lambda_i l_i \Rightarrow \langle l_i, l_j \rangle = \langle f(l_i), f(l_j) \rangle = \lambda_i \overline{\lambda_j} \langle l_i, l_j \rangle$$

$$\text{if } \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \text{ then } \lambda_i \overline{\lambda_j} \neq 1 \text{ (} |\lambda_i| = 1 \text{)} \text{ so } \langle l_i, l_j \rangle = 0$$

Corollary (Soccer thm.):

There are two points on the surface of a soccer ball, which at the beginning of the first and the second half are at the same point in space.

(Euler: $SO(3)$ = rotation around some axis)

Proof: rotation in 3-dim.: $\det U = +1$

\Rightarrow there is an eigenvalue $+1$

Proof of thm.:

a) " \Leftarrow " clear

" \Rightarrow " λ eigenvalue with characteristic 1-dim. subspace L_λ ($f(L_\lambda) \subset L_\lambda$); since f unitary $\lambda \in U(1)$ i.e., $|\lambda| = 1$

$$\text{from II.3: } L = L_\lambda \oplus L_\lambda^\perp \text{ and } \underbrace{\langle l_\lambda, f(l_\lambda^\perp) \rangle}_{\in L_\lambda} = \langle f(\lambda^{-1} l_\lambda), f(l_\lambda^\perp) \rangle = \lambda^{-1} \langle l_\lambda, l_\lambda^\perp \rangle = 0$$

so also L_λ^\perp f -invariant

\Rightarrow induction in $\dim L$ proves statement

b) " \Leftarrow " clear

" \Rightarrow " if f has real eigenvalue, proceed as before

otherwise: use thm. from I.10 that real vector space has 1 or 2 dim.

invariant subspace

□