(ast time we introduced normal matrices. There are the xxxx matrices
first satisfy
$$A^{+}A = AA^{+}$$
. Normal varices are so nice because these
are exactly the matrices that can be diagonalized with orthonormal eigenvectors.
Whether or ust a matrix is normal is very easy to find out: We simply have to check
if $A^{+}A = AA^{+}$ (ject two matrix multiplications).
Today, we will discuse a few more "subtypes" of normal matrices, and what we can say
about their eigenvalues and eigenvectors.
A) Hemittian or Self-adjoint matrices
Again, we allow for usen notrives A to have complex entries.
In many applications, matrices have some symmetry. For example we defined the Heerian
matrix with entries $\frac{2}{2\pi_{0}^{2}}$. This does not change it we interchange i and j. In the general
case, we define the following:
Definition: An nxm matrix A is called Hemitian or self-adjoint if $A^{+} = A$.
In components, this many $\overline{A_{12}} = A_{13} = A_{13}$

a Hemitian matrix are real.

And note: If
$$A^+=A$$
, then clearly $A^+A=AA=AA^+$, i.e., every Hermitian
Matrix is usual (but not usessavily the observed annul)
Leales every anti-theritian matrix is usual
Ever we already should that if a usual watrix A has signwake λ , then A^+ has
eigenvalue $\overline{\lambda}$ (the complex conjugate). If now $A=A^+$ it follows that $\lambda=\overline{\lambda}$, i.e., λ
was real! We found:
Theorem: All signvalues of a Hermitian matrix are real.
Note: \cdot Exactly this fact makes it possible to describe the statistics of experiments in
gradient methics with themitian matrix are real.
Note: \cdot Exactly this fact makes it possible to describe the statistics of experiments in
gradient methics with themitian matrix are real.
Note: \cdot Exactly this fact makes in particular normal, they can be diagonalized with
orthonormal signmethors. This important result is called gradient with
As simple example, consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = A^+$. We find
the exactly there is an eigenvectors:
 $\lambda_i = 0$ and $\lambda_i = 2$, both real. Eigenvectors:
 $\lambda_i = 0$ and $\lambda_i = 2$, both real. Eigenvectors:
 $\lambda_i = 0$ and $\lambda_i = 2$, both real. Eigenvectors:
 $\lambda_i = 0$ and $\lambda_i = 2$, both real. Eigenvectors has leaght 1. Eq., we call chose $y = \frac{1}{2}$.
When is $\begin{pmatrix} -iy \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ normalized? We now $1 = c\binom{-iy}{Y}, \binom{-iy}{Y} = \binom{-iy}{Y}$

$$\begin{split} & (\downarrow_{k})_{k} = 2: \begin{pmatrix} 1-1 & i \\ -1 & 1-2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \times \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & i \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \times \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & i \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \times \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & i \\$$

As in A), we see that for real symmetric matrices, all eigenvalues are real, and they can be diagonalized with orthonormal eigenvectors.

let is now combine our knowledge of eigenvalues and eigenvectors, in particular for symmetric matrices, with our knowledge of the Hessian of a function f:TR" -> TR.

In Chapter 2.3, we answered the question of whether a critical point of $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a local maximum or minimum or saddle point only for n = 2. But now we can answer this question for any n.

Recall the setting: For a function
$$f: \mathbb{R}^{h} \to \mathbb{R}$$
 a Taylor expansion gives

$$= c\overline{\nabla}f(\overline{a}), \overline{h} >$$

$$f(\overline{a} + \overline{h}) = f(\overline{a}) + (\overline{\nabla}f)[\overline{a}] \cdot \overline{h} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{h} \cdot (H_{\xi}(\overline{a})\overline{h}) + \text{Rest} \qquad \text{with } (H_{\xi}(\overline{a}))_{ij} = \frac{\partial^{2}f(\overline{a})}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$$

$$= \overline{O} \text{ at stationary} = c\overline{h}_{ij}(H_{\xi}(\overline{a}))\overline{h} > \text{ in the } Heccian \text{ of } \overline{f} \text{ at } \overline{a}$$

$$= c\overline{h}_{ij}(H_{\xi}(\overline{a}))\overline{h} > \text{ in the } Heccian \text{ of } \overline{f} \text{ at } \overline{a}$$

We consider stationary points \vec{a} , i.e., $(\vec{\nabla} f)(\vec{a}) = \vec{O}$.

We concluded that: if
$$(\vec{h}_1H_{t}(\vec{a})\vec{h}) > 0$$
 for all \vec{h}_1 , then \vec{a} is a local min.
if $(\vec{h}_1H_{t}(\vec{a})\vec{h}) < 0$ for all \vec{h}_1 , then \vec{a} is a local max.
if $(\vec{h}_1H_{t}(\vec{a})\vec{h}) > 0$ for some \vec{h}_1 and < 0 for others, then \vec{a}_1 is
a saddle point
if $(\vec{h}_1H_{t}(\vec{a})\vec{h}) = 0$ for some \vec{h}_1 , we need to look at higher order
derivatives

Since
$$H_{f}(\vec{a})$$
 is real and symmetric, we know it has N real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,...,\lambda_{N}}$
(in this notation some λ_{i} might be the same), and n orthonormal eigenvectors $\vec{v}_{1,...,\tilde{V}_{N}}$.
But then we could just unite \vec{h} in terms of the basis vectors $\vec{v}_{1,...,\tilde{V}_{N}}$:

$$h = \overline{\zeta}_{i=1} c_i \overline{v}_i$$
 for some coefficients c_i .

Then we find
$$c\dot{h}_{l}H_{t}(\vec{a})\vec{h} > = c\vec{h}_{l}H_{t}(\vec{a})\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\vec{v}_{i} > = c\vec{h}_{l}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\lambda_{i}\vec{v}_{i} > = c\vec{h}_{l}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\lambda_{i}\vec{v}_{i} > = c\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\vec{v}_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\lambda_{i}\vec{v}_{i} > = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{j}c_{i}\lambda_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\lambda_{i}\vec{v}_{i} > = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{j}c_{i}\lambda_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\lambda_{i}\vec{v}_{i} > = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\lambda_{i}\vec{v}_{i} > = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}\lambda_{i}\vec{v}_$$

But Icilis always > 0. So the eigenvalues tell us multiple the expression is always positive, always negative, sometimes positive sometimes negative, or sometimes zero!

We found:

Note: In general, matrices A such that
$$(\vec{x}, A\vec{x}) > 0 \quad \forall \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$$
 are called positive definite
(or negative definite if $(\vec{x}, A\vec{x}) < 0 \quad \forall \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$). As we saw above, for Hermitian or
symmetric matrices this is the same as all eigenvalues being positive.

We could now also recover our result for
$$n=2$$
. In this case, let us unite the Hessian as $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \beta \end{pmatrix}$, as we did in Chapter 2.3. In Homework 5, Problem 4, we computed that the two eigenvalues are $\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)^2 - \alpha_{\pm}^2 + \beta^2}$
 $\left(= \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}\right)^2 + \beta^2} \right).$

Thus: if
$$\beta^2 - \alpha \gamma < 0$$
 and $\alpha > 0$, then both $\lambda_+ > 0$ and $\lambda_- > 0_1 => (oce(min them also $\gamma > 0)$
if $\beta^2 - \alpha \gamma < 0$ and $\alpha < 0_1$ then both $\lambda_+ < 0$ and $\lambda_- < 0_1 => (oce(max. them also $\gamma < 0$
if $\beta^2 - \alpha \gamma > 0_1$ then $\lambda_+ > 0_1 \lambda_- < 0_1 => \text{ saddle point}$
if $\beta^2 = \alpha \gamma_1$ then $\lambda_+ \text{ or } \lambda_- \text{ are zero.} => \text{ inconclusive}$
This is exactly what we found in Chapter 2.3.$$

More examples in the homework exercises.