

Today: operators between normed spaces

(motivation should be clear, for us it is, e.g., Hamiltonians or propagators)

In ∞ -dimensions, there are not just bounded, but also unbounded operators.

Definition 3.16: Let X and Y be normed spaces. A linear operator $L: X \rightarrow Y$ is

bounded if $\exists C < \infty$ with $\underbrace{\|Lx\|_Y}_{\text{norm on } Y} \leq C \underbrace{\|x\|_X}_{\text{norm on } X} \quad \forall x \in X.$

Proposition 3.17: The space $\mathcal{L}(X, Y) = \{L: X \rightarrow Y, L \text{ linear and bounded}\}$ with

norm $\|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} := \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\|_X = 1}} \|Lx\|_Y$ is itself a normed space.

If Y is a Banach space, also $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is a Banach space.

not necessarily X

Proof: HW 5

Why are bounded operators so interesting? Because these are also the continuous ones!

(And since we deal with linear ones, it is enough to check continuity at 0.)

Lemma 3.18: Let $L: X \rightarrow Y$ be linear (X, Y normed spaces). Then the following statements

are equivalent: (i) L is continuous at 0.

(ii) L is continuous.

(iii) L is bounded.

Proof: (iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $\|x_n\|_X \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \|Lx_n\|_Y \leq \|L\|_S \|x_n\|_X \rightarrow 0$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $\|x_n - x\|_X \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \|Lx_n - Lx\|_Y \stackrel{\text{linearity}}{=} \|L(x_n - x)\|_Y \stackrel{\text{continuity at 0}}{\rightarrow} 0$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): suppose L not bounded, then \exists a sequence $(x_n)_n$ with $\|x_n\|_X = 1$ and $\|Lx_n\|_Y \geq n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Defining $z_n := \frac{x_n}{\|Lx_n\|_Y}$, then $\|z_n\|_Y = \frac{\|x_n\|_X}{\|Lx_n\|_Y} \leq \frac{1}{n}$, i.e., $z_n \rightarrow 0$. But $\|Lz_n\|_Y = \frac{\|Lx_n\|_Y}{\|Lx_n\|_Y} = 1$, which contradicts continuity (at 0). \square

What do unbounded operators look like? Much more later, here just two examples:

• Define $\ell_0 = \{(x_n)_n \in \ell^1 : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } x_n = 0 \forall n \geq N\}$ with the norm

$$\|(x_n)_n\|_{\ell^1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| \quad \text{actually just a finite sum. Define } T: \ell_0 \rightarrow \ell_0, x \mapsto Tx = (x_1, 2x_2, 3x_3, \dots).$$

But if $(e_k^{(n)})_k$ is the sequence with $e_k^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k=n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, in particular $\|e^{(n)}\| = 1$,

then $\|Te^{(n)}\| = n$, i.e., T is unbounded.

• Asymptotic momentum operator $-i \frac{d}{dx}$ on L^2 . Clearly for $\psi \in L^2$, $-i \frac{d}{dx} \psi$ need not be in L^2 .

(E.g., $L^2([0,1])$, then $f(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{4}} \in L^2([0,1])$ since $\int_0^1 |x^{-\frac{1}{4}}|^2 dx = \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx = 2x^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big|_0^1 = 2$.

But $-i \frac{d}{dx} f(x) = -i(-\frac{1}{4})x^{-\frac{5}{4}} \notin L^2([0,1])$ since $\int_0^1 |x^{-\frac{5}{4}}|^2 dx = \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{5}{2}} dx = -\frac{2}{3}x^{-\frac{3}{2}} \Big|_0^1$ does not exist.)

In the last chapter, we defined operators on S' by defining them on a dense subset and extending them by continuity. This can also be done here (fully rigorous):

Theorem 3.20: Let Z be a dense subspace of a normed space X , and let Y be a Banach space. Let $L: Z \rightarrow Y$ be a linear bounded operator. Then L has a unique linear bounded extension $\tilde{L}: X \rightarrow Y$ with $\tilde{L}|_Z = L$ and $\|\tilde{L}\|_{S(X,Y)} = \|L\|_{S(Z,Y)}$.

\tilde{L} and L coincide on Z of course

Proof: The idea should be clear: using continuity we "fill in the gaps."

Choose some $x \in X$, then \exists sequence $(z_n)_n$ in Z with $\|z_n - x\|_X \rightarrow 0$

(using just density of Z in X ; note: $x \in X$ is fixed, no completeness necessary).

$\Rightarrow (z_n)_n$ converges $\Rightarrow (z_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence.

$\Rightarrow \|Lz_n - Lz_m\|_Y \stackrel{\text{linearity}}{=} \|L(z_n - z_m)\|_Y \leq \|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z, Y)} \|z_n - z_m\|_Z$, i.e., also $(Lz_n)_n$ is a

Cauchy sequence in Y . Since Y is complete, $Lz_n \rightarrow y \in Y$.

But is this y independent of the choice of sequence?

Yes: if $\|z'_n - x\|_X \rightarrow 0$, also the sequence $(z_1, z'_1, z_2, z'_2, z_3, z'_3, \dots)$ converges to x and

as above $(Lz_1, Lz'_1, Lz_2, Lz'_2, \dots)$ converges to some $\tilde{y} \in Y$. But every subsequence of a convergent sequence converges to the same limit.

So we def. $\tilde{L}x := y$ with this construction.

\hookrightarrow linearity clear

$$\|\tilde{L}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq \|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z, Y)}$$

\Rightarrow (and $\|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z, Y)} \leq \|\tilde{L}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}$ clear by def.)

\hookrightarrow boundedness: $\|\tilde{L}x\|_Y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Lz_n\|_Y \leq \|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z, Y)} \|x\|_X \Rightarrow \tilde{L}$ continuous

and continuity on a dense subset implies that this is the unique extension. \square

Now, e.g., extension of the Fourier transform from S to L^2 follows as a simple corollary.

Let us first note:

Theorem 3.21: $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof: From HW 3, Problem 3(b), we know that C_0^∞ is dense in C_0 w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$.

(We used convolution there to "smoothen out" (or "mollify") $f \in L^p$.)

density is defined w.r.t. a norm, or generally a topology (a subset might be dense w.r.t. one norm, but not another)

It is also a standard result that C_0 is dense in L^p , which implies that C_0^∞ is dense in L^p . \square

Then we have

Theorem 3.22: The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: (S(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be uniquely extended to a bounded linear operator $L^2 \rightarrow L^2$.

Furthermore: $\cdot \|\mathcal{F}f\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2} \quad \forall f \in L^2$

$$\cdot \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F} = \text{id}_{L^2}$$

$$\cdot (\mathcal{F}f)(k) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{|x| < N} e^{-ikx} f(x) dx \quad \forall f \in L^2.$$

$\underbrace{\lim}_{L^2 \text{ limit, not pointwise}}$

Proof: $C_0^\infty \subset S \subset L^2$, so with Thm. 3.21 also S is dense in L^2 and we can apply Thm. 3.20.

Also: $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}|_S = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}|_S = \text{id}_{L^2}|_S$, but since $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{-1}, \text{id}$ continuous, equality holds on L^2 .

limit formula follows directly from $\|\mathcal{F}f\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$: let us denote

$$f_N(x) = f(x) \underbrace{1_{B_N(0)}(x)}_{= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| < N \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}}. \quad \text{Then } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}f - \mathcal{F}f_N\| = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_N\| = 0. \quad \square$$

Note: \cdot one can of course use any other suitable limit formula for explicit computations.

\cdot so even for functions $\notin L^1$, we have defined $\int f(x)e^{-ikx} dx$.