

We continue our discussion of self-adjointness for unbounded operators.

Session 20
April 20, 2020

Today we discuss a few more properties and introduce some useful definitions. Those will lead us to our first set of criteria for self-adjointness.

First, recall the notion of a direct sum:

Definition 3.60: For two Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$, we define the direct sum

$\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ and introduce the scalar product $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2} = \langle \varphi_1, \psi_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} + \langle \varphi_2, \psi_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}$, where $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$. (i.e., $\langle (\varphi_1, \varphi_2), (\psi_1, \psi_2) \rangle = \langle \varphi_1, \psi_1 \rangle + \langle \varphi_2, \psi_2 \rangle$).

Note: $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ with this scalar product is of course a Hilbert space.

It turns out that the adjoint operator has, due to its definition via the scalar product, a nice property that gets us somewhat close to continuity (recall that unbounded operators are exactly the not continuous ones). Let us first define this property:

Definition 3.61:

a) The graph of a linear operator $(T, \mathcal{D}(T))$ is $\Gamma(T) = \{(\varphi, T\varphi) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} : \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T)\}$.

b) $(T, \mathcal{D}(T))$ is called closed if $\Gamma(T)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$.

often (will simply write T
instead of $(T, \mathcal{D}(T))$)

Put differently: T is closed if $\forall (\varphi_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{D}(T)$, $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ and $T\varphi_n \rightarrow \eta$ implies that $(\varphi, \eta) \in \Gamma(T)$, i.e., $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $T\varphi = \eta$.

(Note: This is not continuity! For unbounded operators there are surely sequences $(\varphi_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{D}(T)$ such that $(T\varphi_n)_n$ does not converge.)

c) $(T, \mathcal{D}(T))$ is called closeable if it has a closed extension. The smallest closed extension \overline{T} is called closure of T . (Smallest in the sense of subsets and the domain. Note that the closure is unique, since we just need to add the limit points $(\varphi_n, T\varphi_n)$ to the graph.)
 Note that $\Gamma(\overline{T}) = \overline{\Gamma(T)}$.

At this point, the property of being closed is a bit cumbersome to check. But a simple example would be:

↳ $(-\Delta, H^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is closed

↳ $(-\Delta, H^4(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is not closed, but it is closeable and $\overline{(-\Delta, H^4(\mathbb{R}^d))} = (-\Delta, H^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

The reason we introduced the notion of closedness is this:

Theorem 3.63: For densely defined $(T, \mathcal{D}(T))$, the adjoint T^* is closed.

Proof: We need to show that $\Gamma(T^*)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. The idea is to write it as an orthogonal complement, because those are always closed. Let's check:

$$(\psi, \eta) \in \Gamma(T^*) \stackrel{\text{(def. of adjoint)}}{\Leftrightarrow} \langle \psi, T\varphi \rangle = \langle \eta, \varphi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\langle \psi, T\varphi \rangle - \langle \eta, \varphi \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle (\psi, \eta), (-T\varphi, \varphi) \rangle_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}} = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle (\psi, \eta), \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}} = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in W(\Gamma(T)), \text{ where}$$

$$W: \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}: (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \mapsto (-\varphi_2, \varphi_1), \text{ which is clearly unitary}$$

Therefore, $\Gamma(T^*) = (W(\Gamma(T)))^\perp$, which is closed (since it is an orthogonal complement). \square

Next, what if T is additionally symmetric? Then we have the following:

Proposition 3.64: A densely defined operator T is symmetric if and only if its adjoint is an extension, i.e., $T \subset T^*$.

Proof: " \Rightarrow " T symmetric $\Rightarrow \langle \psi, T\varphi \rangle = \langle T\psi, \varphi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$

$\Rightarrow \langle \psi, T\varphi \rangle = \langle \eta, \varphi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ holds with $\eta = T\psi = T^*\psi$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(T^*)$

" \Leftarrow " for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(T^*) \Rightarrow \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ we have $\langle \psi, T\varphi \rangle = \langle T^*\psi, \varphi \rangle = \langle T\psi, \varphi \rangle$,

so T symmetric. \square

Note: • So together with Theorem 3.63, we find that symmetric operators are always closable.

• But for symmetric T , the closure \bar{T} is not necessarily equal to T^* ($\mathcal{D}(T^*)$ might be "too big"). In fact: T symmetric $\Rightarrow \bar{T}$ symmetric (see one of the next HW exercises), but T^* is usually not. (If T^* is also symmetric, then $T^* = \bar{T}$.)

• Try to connect these statements with the example of the translation operator on $[0, 1]$.

Let us note a few more properties:

Proposition 3.67: For densely defined T and $T \subset S$ we have $S^* \subset T^*$.

So extending a densely defined operator makes the adjoint "smaller".

Proof: With W as in the proof of Theorem 3.63, we have $\Gamma(S^*) = (W(\Gamma(S)))^\perp$.

But now $T \subset S$, i.e., $\Gamma(T) \subset \Gamma(S)$, so also $W(\Gamma(T)) \subset W(\Gamma(S))$, so

$$\Gamma(S^*) = (W(\Gamma(S)))^\perp \subset (W(\Gamma(T)))^\perp = \Gamma(T^*). \quad \square$$

We already noted that adjoints of densely defined operators are not necessarily densely defined themselves. But:

Proposition 3.68: If a densely defined (linear) operator T is closable, then its adjoint T^* is densely defined.

Proof: Using what we already established so far, let us show denseness of $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$ by showing that $(\mathcal{D}(T^*))^\perp = \{0\}$. So let us choose some $\eta \in (\mathcal{D}(T^*))^\perp$.

Then by definition $\langle \eta, \varphi \rangle = 0 \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$, so in particular $\langle \eta, 0 \rangle, \langle \eta, T^* \varphi \rangle = 0$
 $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$, so $(\eta, 0) \in (\Gamma(T^*))^\perp = (W(\Gamma(T)))^{\perp\perp} = \overline{W(\Gamma(T))}$.
 $= (W(\Gamma(T)))^\perp$ from proof of Thm. 3.63

But $W(\Gamma(T)) = \{(-T\varphi, \varphi) : \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(T)\}$, so there must be a sequence in $W(\Gamma(T))$ that converges to $(\eta, 0)$, i.e., $(\varphi_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{D}(T)$ with $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$ and $-T\varphi_n \rightarrow \eta$.

But now T is closable, so $\overline{T}0 = \eta$, which equals 0 since \overline{T} is linear. So $\eta = 0$. \square

A few more properties:

Proposition 3.69: If T is densely defined and closable, then

a) $T^{**} = \overline{T}$

b) $(\overline{T})^* = T^* = T^{***}$.

Let us skip the proof, it is similar to the previous ones.

Finally, what does this imply for symmetric operators?

Corollary 3.70: Let T be densely defined and symmetric. Then T^* is closable and densely defined, and

a) $T \subset \overline{T} = T^{**} \subset T^* = T^{***}$, in particular T^{**} is symmetric.

b) $(\overline{T})^* = T^*$

This follows immediately from the properties we have discussed so far.