

Last time we defined the orthogonal projectors $p^\varphi: \mathcal{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2$, $\chi \mapsto \langle \varphi, \chi \rangle \varphi$ and $q^\varphi = \mathbb{1} - p^\varphi$.

p_j^φ and q_j^φ denote the projections in the j -th coordinate only.

Note that in "bra-ket" notation, we write $p^\varphi |\chi\rangle = |\varphi\rangle \langle \varphi | \chi\rangle$, i.e., $p^\varphi = |\varphi\rangle \langle \varphi|$.

p^φ tells us "how much" of the wave function is in the state φ . E.g., if φ^\perp is orthogonal

to φ , i.e., $\langle \varphi^\perp, \varphi \rangle = 0$, and $\chi := \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} \varphi + \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} \varphi^\perp$ s.t. $\|\chi\|^2 = \frac{a^2+b^2}{a^2+b^2} = 1$, then

$$\|p^\varphi \chi\| = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}.$$

Next, we define a projection that measures "how much" of the N -body wave function is not in the state φ .

Definition 4.3: For $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2$, $\|\varphi\| = 1$, we def. for any $0 \leq k \leq N$ the bounded operator

$$P_{Nk}^\varphi: \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}), \quad P_{Nk}^\varphi := \sum_{\vec{a} \in A_k} \prod_{j=1}^N (p_j^\varphi)^{1-a_j} (q_j^\varphi)^{a_j}, \text{ where}$$

$$A_k := \left\{ \vec{a} \in \{0,1\}^N : \sum_{j=1}^N a_j = k \right\}.$$

Example: $\cdot P_{N,0}^\varphi = p_1^\varphi \dots p_N^\varphi$

$\cdot P_{N,1}^\varphi = q_1^\varphi p_2^\varphi \dots p_N^\varphi + p_1^\varphi q_2^\varphi p_3^\varphi \dots p_N^\varphi + \dots + p_1^\varphi \dots p_{N-1}^\varphi q_N^\varphi = \sum_{m=1}^N q_m^\varphi \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^N p_j^\varphi$

$\cdot P_{N,N}^\varphi = q_1^\varphi \dots q_N^\varphi$

Note: P_{Nk} contains in each summand k q 's and $(N-k)$ p 's

Lemma 4.4: We have (i) P_{Nk}^φ is an orthogonal projector for all $0 \leq k \leq N$,
(ii) $P_{Nk}^\varphi P_{Nj}^\varphi = 0$ for all $j \neq k$,
(iii) $\sum_{k=0}^N P_{Nk}^\varphi = \mathbb{1}$
(iv) $\sum_{k=0}^N \frac{k}{N} P_{Nk}^\varphi = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N q_j^\varphi$

Proof: HW 10.

We can now decompose the wave function as $\Psi_N = \sum_{k=0}^N P_{Nk}^\varphi \Psi_N$.

Then $\|P_{Nk}^\varphi \Psi_N\|^2$ is the probability for k particles not being in the state φ .

Thus, we define:

Definition 4.5: The expected relative number of particles not in the state φ

is given by $\alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi) := \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{k}{N} \|P_{Nk}^\varphi \Psi_N\|^2$.

Corollary 4.6: For all symmetric $\Psi_N \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ and for all $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{k}{N} \langle \Psi_N, P_{Nk}^\varphi \Psi_N \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \Psi_N, q_j^\varphi \Psi_N \rangle = \langle \Psi_N, q_1^\varphi \Psi_N \rangle = \|q_1^\varphi \Psi_N\|^2$$

Proof: First equality follows directly from Lemma 4.4 (i), second from (iv) and third from Ψ_N symmetric.

Note: • For $\Psi_N = \prod_{i=1}^N \varphi$, we have $\alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi) = \|q_1^\varphi \prod_{i=1}^N \varphi\|^2 = 0$.

• For φ^\perp with $\langle \varphi^\perp, \varphi \rangle = 0$, $\Psi_N = \prod_{i=1}^N \varphi^\perp$, we have $\alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi) = 1$.

• $0 \leq \alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi) \leq 1$ for $\|\Psi_N\| = 1 = \|\varphi\|$

• For $\Psi_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^N \prod_{i \neq j} \varphi(x_i) \varphi_\perp(x_j)$, we have $\alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi) = \frac{1}{N}$ (but $\|\Psi_N - \prod_{i=1}^N \varphi(x_i)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} = 2$).

Next, let us look at expressions of the type $\langle \Psi_N, A_1 \Psi_N \rangle$ more closely, where $A \in \mathcal{S}_0(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$, and A_1 denotes the action of A on variable x_1 only. We call A_1 a one-body operator. We want to ask the question: Can we approximate $\langle \Psi_N(t), A_1 \Psi_N(t) \rangle$ by its BEC mean value $\langle \varphi(t), A \varphi(t) \rangle$? E.g., for $A = \mathbb{1}_\Lambda$ ($\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$), $\langle \Psi_N(t), A_1 \Psi_N(t) \rangle$ is the probability for finding particle one (or any one of the particles by symmetry) in the region $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Definition 4.7.: For $\Psi_N \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$, we define the **reduced one-particle density matrix** $\gamma_{\Psi_N}(x, y) := \int dx_2 \dots dx_N \overline{\Psi_N(y, x_2, \dots, x_N)} \Psi_N(x, x_2, \dots, x_N)$.

E.g., $\gamma_{\prod_{i=1}^N \varphi}(x, y) = \int dx_2 \dots dx_N \overline{\varphi(y) \varphi(x_2) \dots \varphi(x_N)} \varphi(x) \varphi(x_2) \dots \varphi(x_N) = \overline{\varphi(y)} \varphi(x)$.

Definition 4.8.: For any $K \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^6)$, we define the integral operator

$A: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $f(x) \mapsto (Af)(x) := \int dy K(x, y) f(y)$. We call K the integral kernel of A .

E.g., the identity has integral kernel $K_{\text{id}}(x, y) = \delta(x - y)$ (since $\int dy \delta(x - y) f(y) = f(x)$).

Thus, we can define γ_{Ψ_N} as the operator with integral kernel $\gamma_{\Psi_N}(x, y)$.

Lemma 4.9.: γ_{Ψ_N} has the following properties:

(i) $\gamma_{\Psi_N} \in \mathcal{S}_0(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $\|\gamma_{\Psi_N}\|_{\mathcal{S}_0} \leq 1$, $\gamma_{\Psi_N}^* = \gamma_{\Psi_N}$

(ii) γ_{Ψ_N} is non-negative, i.e., $\langle \chi, \gamma_{\Psi_N} \chi \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \chi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

Proof of Lemma 4.9:

$$(i) \left| \int dy \, \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n}(x, y) \mathcal{K}(y) \right| = \left| \int dx_2 \dots dx_n \int dy \, \mathcal{K}(y) \overline{\Psi_n(y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)} \Psi_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \right|$$

$$\leq \int dx_2 \dots dx_n \int dy \, |\Psi_n(y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| |\mathcal{K}(y)| |\Psi_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|$$

Cauchy-Schwarz \rightarrow
$$\leq \left(\int dy \, dx_2 \dots dx_n |\Psi_n(y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int dy \, dx_2 \dots dx_n |\mathcal{K}(y)|^2 |\Psi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \|\Psi_n\| \|\mathcal{K}\| \underbrace{\left(\int dx_2 \dots dx_n |\Psi_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} \mathcal{K}\|_2 \leq \|\mathcal{K}\| \quad (\|\Psi_n\| = 1) \quad \text{so } \|\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1.$$

$\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n}^* = \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n}$ clear from def.

$$(ii) \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} \mathcal{K} \rangle = \int dy \, \overline{\Psi_n(y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)} \mathcal{K}(y) \int dx \, \mathcal{K}(x) \Psi_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \langle \Psi_n | p_1^{\mathcal{K}} | \Psi_n \rangle$$

$$= \|p_1^{\mathcal{K}} \Psi_n\|^2 \geq 0. \quad \square$$

Finally, we establish a relation between $\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} - \underbrace{\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{1}}^{\Psi_n}}_{= p^{\Psi_n}}$ and $\alpha(\Psi_n, \varrho)$:

Lemma 4.10: For any symmetric $\Psi_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$, $\varrho \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\|\Psi_n\| = 1 = \|\varrho\|$, we have

$$\alpha(\Psi_n, \varrho) \leq \|\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} - p^{\varrho}\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 4\sqrt{\alpha(\Psi_n, \varrho)}.$$

Proof: Note that $p^{\varrho} + q^{\varrho} = \mathbb{1}$ by def., so we can decompose

$$\mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} = (p^{\varrho} + q^{\varrho}) \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} (p^{\varrho} + q^{\varrho})$$

$$= \underbrace{p^{\varrho} \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} p^{\varrho}} + p^{\varrho} \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} q^{\varrho} + q^{\varrho} \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} p^{\varrho} + q^{\varrho} \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} q^{\varrho}$$

$$= p^{\varrho} \langle \varrho, \mathcal{J}_{\Psi_n} \varrho \rangle$$

$$= p^{\varrho} \langle \Psi_n | p_1^{\varrho} | \Psi_n \rangle = p^{\varrho} - p^{\varrho} \langle \Psi_n | q_1^{\varrho} | \Psi_n \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \| \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n} - p^\varphi \|_{\mathcal{L}} = \| -p^\varphi \langle \psi_n, q^\varphi \psi_n \rangle + p^\varphi \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n} q^\varphi + q^\varphi \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n} p^\varphi + q^\varphi \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n} q^\varphi \|_{\mathcal{L}}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\| p^\varphi \|_{\mathcal{L}}}_{\leq 1} \underbrace{\langle \psi_n, q^\varphi \psi_n \rangle}_{= \| q^\varphi \psi_n \|^2 = \alpha(\psi_n, \varphi)} + \underbrace{\| p^\varphi \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n} q^\varphi \|_{\mathcal{L}}}_{\leq \| p^\varphi \psi_n \| \| q^\varphi \psi_n \| \leq 1} + \underbrace{\| q^\varphi \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n} p^\varphi \|_{\mathcal{L}}}_{= \sqrt{\alpha(\psi_n, \varphi)}} + \underbrace{\| q^\varphi \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n} q^\varphi \|_{\mathcal{L}}}_{\text{as in proof of Lemma 4.9}}$$

$$\leq 2 \underbrace{\alpha(\psi_n, \varphi)}_{\leq \sqrt{\alpha(\psi_n, \varphi)} \text{ since } 0 \leq \alpha(\psi_n, \varphi) \leq 1} + 2 \sqrt{\alpha(\psi_n, \varphi)}$$

$$\leq 4 \sqrt{\alpha(\psi_n, \varphi)}$$

Also:

$$\alpha(\psi_n, \varphi) = \| q^\varphi \psi_n \|^2 = 1 - \| p^\varphi \psi_n \|^2 = 1 - \langle \varrho_1 \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n} \varphi \rangle = \langle \varrho_1 (p^\varphi - \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n}) \varphi \rangle \leq \| p^\varphi - \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n} \|_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

□

So in particular: $\alpha(\psi_n, \varphi) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \iff \| \mathcal{J}_{\psi_n} - p^\varphi \|_{\mathcal{L}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$

Next time we will prove that indeed $\alpha(\psi_n(t), \varphi(t)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$