

Last time we introduced the reduced one-particle density matrix  $\gamma_{\Psi_N} : \mathcal{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2$  via its kernel

$$\gamma_{\Psi_N}(x, y) := \int dx_2 \dots dx_N \overline{\Psi_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)} \Psi_N(x, x_2, \dots, x_N).$$

We had also defined the expected number of particles not in the product state  $\Pi \varphi$  as

$$\alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{k}{N} \langle \Psi_N, P_{Nk}^\varphi \Psi_N \rangle = \langle \Psi_N, q_1^\varphi \Psi_N \rangle.$$

Their relation is:  $\alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi) \leq \|\gamma_{\Psi_N} - p^\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}} \leq 4\sqrt{\alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi)}$ .

Furthermore, as in the proof of Lemma 4.10, we have for any  $A \in \mathcal{S}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Psi_N, A_1 \Psi_N \rangle - \langle \varphi, A \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi_N, \underbrace{(p_1^\varphi + q_1^\varphi)}_{=1} A_1 (p_1^\varphi + q_1^\varphi) \Psi_N \rangle - \langle \varphi, A \varphi \rangle \\ &= \underbrace{\langle \Psi_N, p_1^\varphi A_1 p_1^\varphi \Psi_N \rangle - \langle \varphi, A \varphi \rangle}_{= |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| A |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| = \langle \varphi, A \varphi \rangle p_1^\varphi} + \underbrace{\langle \Psi_N, p_1^\varphi A_1 q_1^\varphi \Psi_N \rangle + \langle \Psi_N, q_1^\varphi A_1 p_1^\varphi \Psi_N \rangle + \langle \Psi_N, q_1^\varphi A_1 q_1^\varphi \Psi_N \rangle}_{\leq \|A\| \|p_1^\varphi \Psi_N\| \|q_1^\varphi \Psi_N\| \leftarrow \text{Cauchy-Schwarz} \leq \|A\| \alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi)} \\ &= \langle \varphi, A \varphi \rangle (\langle \Psi_N, p_1^\varphi \Psi_N \rangle - 1) \\ &= -\langle \varphi, A \varphi \rangle \alpha_N(\Psi_N, \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\langle \Psi_N, A_1 \Psi_N \rangle - \langle \varphi, A \varphi \rangle| \leq \|A\| 4\sqrt{\alpha(\Psi_N, \varphi)}$$

Conclusion: If we can show that  $\alpha(\Psi_N(t), \varphi(t)) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$ , then also  $\gamma_{\Psi_N} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} p^\varphi$  and  $\langle \Psi_N, A_1 \Psi_N \rangle \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi, A \varphi \rangle \forall A \in \mathcal{S}$ .

## Step 2: Controlling $\alpha(\psi_r(t), \varphi(t))$

A standard technique is based on (variations of) the following lemma:

### Lemma 4.11: Gronwall lemma

Let  $\eta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable and satisfy  $\frac{d}{dt} \eta(t) \leq C(\eta(t) + \varepsilon)$  for some  $C, \varepsilon \geq 0$ .

Then, for all  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\eta(t) \leq e^{ct} \eta(0) + (e^{ct} - 1) \varepsilon$$

Proof: First, consider differentiable  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\frac{d}{dt} f(t) \leq C f(t)$ .

Let  $g(t) := e^{ct}$  (i.e.,  $g(t) > 0$ ). Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \right) = \frac{\frac{df(t)}{dt} g(t) - f(t) \frac{dg(t)}{dt}}{g(t)^2} \leq \frac{C f(t) g(t) - f(t) C g(t)}{g(t)^2} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \leq \frac{f(0)}{g(0)} \Rightarrow f(t) \leq \underbrace{g(t)}_{=e^{ct}} f(0) \underbrace{\frac{1}{g(0)}}_{=1} = e^{ct} f(0). \quad (*)$$

Next, define  $h(t) := e^{ct} \eta(0) + (e^{ct} - 1) \varepsilon$ , s.t.  $\frac{dh(t)}{dt} = C e^{ct} \eta(0) + C e^{ct} \varepsilon = C(h(t) + \varepsilon)$

and  $h(0) = \eta(0)$ .

Then  $\frac{d}{dt} (\eta(t) - h(t)) \leq C(\eta(t) + \varepsilon) - C(h(t) + \varepsilon) = C(\eta(t) - h(t))$ , so  $(*)$  implies

$$\eta(t) - h(t) \leq e^{ct} (\eta(0) - h(0)) = 0 \quad \text{i.e., } \eta(t) \leq h(t). \quad \square$$

We hope to apply the Gronwall lemma to  $\alpha(\psi_r(t), \varphi(t))$ .

Note: Let us use  $H_N = \sum_{i=1}^N (-\Delta_j) + \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^N v(x_i - x_j)$ .

So let us compute:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \alpha(\psi_\nu(t), \varrho(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi_\nu(t), q_1^{\varrho(t)} \psi_\nu(t) \rangle$$

$$= \underbrace{\langle \frac{d}{dt} \psi_\nu(t), q_1^{\varrho(t)} \psi_\nu(t) \rangle}_{= -i H_\nu \psi_\nu(t) \text{ for } \psi_\nu(0) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} + \langle \psi_\nu(t), q_1^{\varrho(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \psi_\nu(t) \rangle + \langle \psi_\nu(t), \underbrace{\left( \frac{d}{dt} q_1^{\varrho(t)} \right) \psi_\nu(t)}_{= -\frac{d}{dt} p_1^{\varrho(t)} = -\frac{d}{dt} |\varrho(t)\rangle \langle \varrho(t)|} \rangle$$

If Heisenberg eq. holds in  $L^2$  sense

$$\begin{aligned} &= - \left( -i \hbar^{\varrho(t)} \langle \varrho(t) | \langle \varrho(t) | + |\varrho(t)\rangle \langle -i \hbar^{\varrho(t)} | \varrho(t) \rangle \right) \\ &= - \left( -i \hbar^{\varrho(t)} p^{\varrho(t)} + i p^{\varrho(t)} \hbar^{\varrho(t)} \right) \\ &= i [ \hbar^{\varrho(t)}, p^{\varrho(t)} ] \\ &= -i [ \hbar_1^{\varrho(t)}, q_1^{\varrho(t)} ] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \alpha(\psi_\nu(t), \varrho(t)) &= i \langle H_\nu \psi_\nu(t), q_1^{\varrho(t)} \psi_\nu(t) \rangle - i \langle \psi_\nu(t), q_1^{\varrho(t)} H_\nu \psi_\nu(t) \rangle - i \langle \psi_\nu(t), [ \hbar_1^{\varrho(t)}, q_1^{\varrho(t)} ] \psi_\nu(t) \rangle \\ &= i \langle \psi_\nu(t), [ H_\nu - \hbar_1^{\varrho(t)}, q_1^{\varrho(t)} ] \psi_\nu(t) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We continue this computation next time.