

Last time: We introduced the unitary map

$$U_{N,\varrho}: L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\varrho}^{\leq N}, \quad \Psi_N \mapsto (\mathcal{X}_{\leq N}^{(k)})_{k=0,\dots,N} \equiv \mathcal{X}_{\leq N}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{\leq N}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \binom{N}{k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \varrho_{x_1} \dots \varrho_{x_k} \int dx_{k+1} \dots dx_N \overline{\varrho(x_{k+1})} \dots \overline{\varrho(x_N)} \Psi_N(x_1, \dots, x_N).$$

$$\text{Inverse: } \Psi_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{k=0}^N \left[\varrho(x_{k+1}) \dots \varrho(x_N) \mathcal{X}_{\leq N}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \right]_{\text{sym}} \quad \leftarrow \text{symmetrization as in Lemma 4.13.}$$

Next: We would like to approximate the fluctuation dynamics $U_{N,\varrho(t)} \Psi_N(t)$, where $\varrho(t)$ solves the Hartree eq., and $i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_N(t) = H_N \Psi_N(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We find: } i \frac{d}{dt} (U_{N,\varrho(t)} \Psi_N(t)) &= i \frac{d U_{N,\varrho(t)}}{dt} \Psi_N(t) + U_{N,\varrho(t)} i \frac{d \Psi_N(t)}{dt} \\ &= H_N \Psi_N(t) = H_N U_{N,\varrho(t)}^* U_{N,\varrho(t)} \Psi_N(t) \\ &= \underbrace{\left[i \frac{d U_{N,\varrho(t)}}{dt} U_{N,\varrho(t)}^* + U_{N,\varrho(t)} H_N U_{N,\varrho(t)}^* \right]}_{:= H_{\varrho(t)}^{\leq N}} U_{N,\varrho(t)} \Psi_N(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, setting $\mathcal{X}_{\leq N}(t) := U_{N,\varrho(t)} \Psi_N(t)$ it satisfies the excitation Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{X}_{\leq N}(t) = H_{\varrho(t)}^{\leq N} \mathcal{X}_{\leq N}(t).$$

Computing $H_{\varrho(t)}^{\leq N}$ is rather lengthy. It will contain terms that relate $i \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{X}_{\leq N}^{(k)}(t)$ to

$\mathcal{X}_{\leq N}^{(k\pm 1)}(t)$ or $\mathcal{X}_{\leq N}^{(k\pm 2)}(t)$ due to the pair interaction. So next, we should introduce

operators that describe such mappings.

Definition 4.17: On Fock space \mathcal{F} we define, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

• The creation operator $a(f): \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, def. by

$$(a(f)\mathcal{X})^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sqrt{k+1} \int dx \overline{f(x)} \mathcal{X}^{(k+1)}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

• The annihilation operator $a^\dagger(f): \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, def. by

$$(a^\dagger(f)\mathcal{X})^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \mathcal{X}^{(k-1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We find:

Lemma 4.18: (i) $a^\dagger(f) = a^*(f) \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

(ii) The canonical commutation relations (CCR) hold: $[a(f), a^\dagger(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle$,
 $[a(f), a(g)] = 0 = [a^\dagger(f), a^\dagger(g)] \quad \forall f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \langle a^\dagger(f)\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int dx_1 \dots dx_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{j=1}^k \overline{f(x_j)} \overline{\mathcal{X}^{(k-1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k)} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{j=1}^k \int dx_1 \dots dx_{j-1} dx_{j+1} \dots dx_k \overline{\mathcal{X}^{(k-1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\underbrace{\int dx_j \overline{f(x_j)} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)}$$

$$= \int dx \overline{f(x)} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{\substack{k-1 := m \\ \text{renaming} \\ \text{integration} \\ \text{variables}}}]{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \int dx_1 \dots dx_m \overline{\mathcal{X}^{(k-1)}(x_1, \dots, x_m)} \int dx \overline{f(x)} \mathcal{X}(x_1, \dots, x_m, x) \\ &\stackrel{\substack{= \sqrt{m+1} \\ \mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \text{ symmetric}}}{=} \langle \mathcal{X}, a(f)\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) (a(f) a^\dagger(g) \mathcal{X})^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

$$= \sqrt{k+1} \int dx_{k+1} \overline{f(x_{k+1})} (a^\dagger(g) \mathcal{X})^{(k+1)}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})$$

$$= \sqrt{k+1} \int dx_{k+1} \overline{f(x_{k+1})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} g(x_j) \mathcal{X}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{k+1})$$

$$(a^\dagger(g) a(f) \mathcal{X})^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{j=1}^k g(x_j) (a(f) \mathcal{X})^{(k-1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{j=1}^k g(x_j) \sqrt{k} \int dx_{k+1} \overline{f(x_{k+1})} \mathcal{X}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{k+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow (a(f) a^\dagger(g) \mathcal{X})^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) - (a^\dagger(g) a(f) \mathcal{X})^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

$$= \underbrace{\int dx \overline{f(x)} g(x)}_{= \langle f, g \rangle} \mathcal{X}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

Also: $[a(f), a(g)] = 0 = [a^\dagger(f), a^\dagger(g)]$ can be checked by direct computation. \square

Definition 4.19:

For an ONB $(e_n)_n$, we call $\mathcal{N} := \sum_n a^\dagger(e_n) a(e_n)$ the number operator.

Lemma 4.20: \mathcal{N} is independent of the ONB (from its definition), and

$(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{X})^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = k \mathcal{X}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$. Thus $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an unbounded symmetric operator with domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{N}) = \{ \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F} : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 \|\mathcal{X}^{(k)}\|^2 < \infty \}$.

Proof: $(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K})^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_n (a^\dagger(\varrho_n) a(\varrho_n) \mathcal{K})^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$

Def. of ONB:

$\psi = \sum_n \langle \varrho_n, \psi \rangle \varrho_n$

$\Rightarrow \psi(x) = \sum_n \int dx' \langle \varrho_n, \psi \rangle \varrho_n(x')$

$= \sum_n \sum_{j=1}^k \varrho_n(x_j) \int dx \overline{\varrho_n(x)} \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k, x)$

$= \sum_{j=1}^k \int dx \delta(x_j - x) \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k, x)$

$\mathcal{K}^{(k)}$ symmetric $\Rightarrow = k \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$.

□

Sketch for how to continue:

Since $(H_{\varrho(t)}^{\leq N} \mathcal{K})^{(k)}$ equals some expression involving $\mathcal{K}^{(k\pm 1)}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{(k\pm 2)}$, we can write it in terms of terms of the type:

• $a^\dagger a$ ← no change in excitation number

• $aa, a^\dagger a^\dagger$ ← pair excitations

• $a^\dagger a a, a^\dagger a^\dagger a$ ← comes with $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$

• $a^\dagger a^\dagger a a$ ← comes with $\frac{1}{N}$

• it turns out that all other combinations vanish

The approximation where we keep only $a^\dagger a$ and $aa, a^\dagger a^\dagger$ terms is called Bogoliubov approximation; let us denote it by $H_{\varrho(t)}^{\text{Bog}}$.

Then one can show that $\|(H_{\varrho(t)}^{\leq N} - H_{\varrho(t)}^{\text{Bog}}) \mathcal{K}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \text{const} \|(\mathcal{M}+1)^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{K}\|$.

This would allow us to prove that $\|\mathcal{K}_{\leq N}(t) - \mathcal{K}_{\text{Bog}}(t)\| \leq e^{\text{const} \cdot t} (\|\mathcal{K}_{\leq N}(0) - \mathcal{K}_{\text{Bog}}(0)\| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$

by a Gronwall argument, where $\mathcal{K}_{\text{Bog}}(t)$ solves the Bogoliubov equation

$i \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{K}_{\text{Bog}}(t) = H_{\varrho(t)}^{\text{Bog}} \mathcal{K}_{\text{Bog}}(t)$.