

Last time we proved:

Let  $Z$  be a dense subspace of a normed space  $X$ , and let  $Y$  be a Banach space.

Let  $L: Z \rightarrow Y$  be a linear bounded operator. Then  $L$  has a unique linear bounded extension

$$\tilde{L}: X \rightarrow Y \text{ with } \tilde{L}|_Z = L \text{ and } \|\tilde{L}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(Z,Y)}.$$

Now, e.g., extension of the Fourier transform from  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $L^2$  follows as a simple corollary.

Let us first note:

Theorem 3.21:  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

*Smooth functions with compact support*

Proof: From HW4, Problem 4(b), we know that  $C_c^\infty$  is dense in  $C_c$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ .

(We used convolution there to "smoothen out" (or "mollify")  $f \in L^p$ .) *density is defined w.r.t. a norm, or generally a topology (a subset might be dense w.r.t. one norm, but not another)*

It is also a standard result that  $C_c$  is dense in  $L^p$ , which implies that  $C_c^\infty$  is dense in  $L^p$  (by a triangle argument).  $\square$

Then we have

Theorem 3.22: The Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}: (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  can be uniquely extended to a bounded linear operator  $L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .

Furthermore:  $\bullet \|\mathcal{F}f\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2} \quad \forall f \in L^2$

$$\bullet \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F} = \text{id}_{L^2}$$

$$\bullet (\mathcal{F}f)(k) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{|x| \leq N} e^{-ikx} f(x) dx \quad \forall f \in L^2.$$

*$L^2$  limit, not pointwise*

Proof:  $C_c^\infty \subset S \subset L^2$ , so with Thm. 3.21 also  $S$  is dense in  $L^2$  and we can apply Thm. 3.20. (Note:  $\mathcal{F}: (S, \|\cdot\|_{L^2}) \rightarrow L^2$  is indeed bounded, since  $\|\mathcal{F}f\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$  (Plancherel).) Also:  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}|_S = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}|_S = \text{id}_{L^2}|_S$ , but since  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{-1}, \text{id}$  continuous, equality holds on  $L^2$ .

Limit formula follows directly from  $\|\mathcal{F}f\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$ : let us denote

$$f_N(x) = f(x) \underbrace{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}(x)}(x)}_{= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq N \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}}. \text{ Then } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}f - \mathcal{F}f_N\|_{L^2} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_N\|_{L^2} = 0. \quad \square$$

Note: • one can of course use any other suitable limit formula for explicit computations.  
• so even for functions  $\notin C^1$ , we have defined  $\int f(x)e^{-ikx} dx$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{F}: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  is a unitary operator:

Definition 3.23: Let  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  be Hilbert spaces. A linear bounded operator  $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$  is called **unitary** if it is surjective and isometric (isometric meaning  $\|U\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_1} \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H}_1$ ).

Note: • injective follows from  $\|U\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ , so unitary operators are bijective

• with the polarization identity isometry  $\Leftrightarrow$  preservation of inner product:

$$\langle U\psi, U\varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \langle \psi, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} \quad \forall \psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_1$$

Having  $\mathcal{F}: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ , we can now solve the free Schrödinger equation on  $L^2$ :

For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the free propagator on  $L^2$  is  $P_f(t): L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $P_f(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t} \mathcal{F}$ .

$\Rightarrow P_f(t)$  is clearly unitary ( $|e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t}| = 1$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  isometric) for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To talk about continuity and differentiability of  $P_f(t)$ , i.e., of  $P_f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2)$ , we need to distinguish different notions of convergence for bounded operators.

Definition 3.26: Let  $(A_n)_n$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

a)  $(A_n)_n$  converges in norm (or "uniformly") to  $A$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} = 0$ .

Notation:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A$ , or  $A_n \rightarrow A$ .

b)  $(A_n)_n$  converges strongly (or "pointwise") to  $A$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n \psi - A \psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Notation:  $s\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A$ , or  $A_n \xrightarrow{s} A$ .

c)  $(A_n)_n$  converges weakly to  $A$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \varphi, (A_n - A) \psi \rangle| = 0 \forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Notation:  $w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A$ , or  $A_n \xrightarrow{w} A$ .

Note:  $|\langle \varphi, (A_n - A) \psi \rangle| \leq \|\varphi\| \| (A_n - A) \psi \|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|\varphi\| \|\psi\| \|A_n - A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})}$ ,

so norm convergence  $\Rightarrow$  strong convergence  $\Rightarrow$  weak convergence.

But the other way around is not true; come up with counterexamples in HW 8.

Let us now check continuity and differentiability of  $P_f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^2)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \text{ Uniformly continuous? } \|P_f(t+h) - P_f(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^2)} &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2 \\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \|P_f(t+h)\varphi - P_f(t)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^2 \\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \|(e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}(t+h)} - e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t})\mathcal{F}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}^2 \\ \|\tilde{\varphi}\|=1}} \|(e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}(t+h)} - e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t})\tilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{L}^2} \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3 HW4:  $\Rightarrow \sup_{k \in \mathbb{R}^d} |e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}(t+h)} - e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t}| = |e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}h} - 1|$   
 $\|M_h\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = \|V\|_{\infty} = 2$  for all  $h \neq 0$ .

So  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{P}_f(t+h) - \mathcal{P}_f(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} = 2$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{P}_f(t)$  is not uniformly continuous.

• Strongly continuous?  $\|\mathcal{P}_f(t+h)\psi_0 - \mathcal{P}_f(t)\psi_0\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\psi(t+h) - \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2$   
 $= \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t} e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}h} - e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t}) \hat{\psi}_0\|_{L^2}^2$   
 $= \int \underbrace{|e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}h} - 1|^2}_{\xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0} |\hat{\psi}_0(k)|^2 dk \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0$ ,  
 by dominated convergence ( $\hat{\psi} \in L^2 \Leftrightarrow \psi \in L^2$ )

i.e.,  $\mathcal{P}_f(t)$  is strongly continuous on  $L^2 \Leftrightarrow \psi(t)$  is continuous  $\forall \psi_0 \in L^2$ .

• Strongly differentiable?  $\left(\frac{\|\mathcal{P}_f(t+h)\psi_0 - \mathcal{P}_f(t)\psi_0\|_{L^2}}{h}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\|\psi(t+h) - \psi(t)\|_{L^2}}{h}\right)^2$   
 $= \int \underbrace{\left|\frac{e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}h} - 1}{h}\right|^2}_{\xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{k^4}{4}} |\hat{\psi}_0(k)|^2 dk,$

but dominated convergence only applies if  $k^4 |\hat{\psi}_0(k)|^2$  is integrable, i.e.,  $k^2 \hat{\psi}_0(k) \in L^2$ .

$\Rightarrow \psi(t)$  is differentiable only for  $\psi_0 \in H^2 := \{\psi \in L^2 : k^2 \hat{\psi}(k) \in L^2\}$ .

And for  $\psi_0 \in H^2$  we have

$-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta \underbrace{\mathcal{F}^{-1} e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t} \mathcal{F} \psi_0}_{\hat{\psi}(t)} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \underbrace{\frac{k^2}{2} e^{-i\frac{k^2}{2}t} \hat{\psi}_0}_{\hat{\psi}(t)} = i \frac{d}{dt} \psi(t).$   
 $\Rightarrow$  The free SE holds as equality of  $L^2$  vectors.  
 distributional derivative

Conclusion: For  $\psi_0 \in H^2$ ,  $\psi(t)$  solves the free Schrödinger equation  $\forall t$  in the  $L^2$  sense.

If  $L^2 \ni \psi_0 \notin H^2$ , then  $\psi(t)$  solves the free Schrödinger equation in the sense of distributions only (as noted before).