

### 3.3 Self-adjoint Operators

We consider bounded operators first.

Recall the general definition of the adjoint (here for normed spaces):

Definition 3.38: Let  $V$  and  $W$  be normed spaces and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Then the adjoint operator  $A': W' \rightarrow V'$  (where  $V'$  and  $W'$  are the dual spaces of  $V$  and  $W$ ) is defined by

$$A'(w')(v) = w'(Av) \quad \forall v \in V \quad \forall w' \in W'$$

Note: • For any normed space  $V$ , the dual space  $V'$  is a Banach space (even if  $V$  is not). This is so because elements of  $V'$  are continuous, i.e., bounded operators  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$  is complete (cf. Proposition 3.17).

•  $A' \in \mathcal{L}(W', V')$  due to the definition

• With the Hahn-Banach theorem one can show that in fact  $\|A'\|_{\mathcal{L}(W', V')} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(V, W)}$ .

Hilbert spaces are particularly nice because  $\mathcal{H}'$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}$ . (We already noted that  $L^p \cong (L^q)'$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  in HW 4, so  $L^2 \cong (L^2)'$ .) So for  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , we would like to identify the operator  $A' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}')$  with an operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let us first establish this connection; then we can introduce the notion of self-adjointness.

The key theorem is:

### Theorem 3.39: The Riesz Representation Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $T \in \mathcal{H}'$ . Then there is a unique  $\psi_T \in \mathcal{H}$  s.t.

$$T(\varphi) = \langle \psi_T, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Proof:

First, if  $T(\varphi) = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $T = 0$  and  $\psi_T = 0$  is the unique vector in the theorem.

Otherwise, we want to show that  $T$  is the projection on the one-dimensional subspace spanned by some  $\psi_T$ .

So if we consider the kernel  $M = \ker(T) := \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{H} : T(\varphi) = 0 \}$ , a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  (since  $T$  is continuous), we need to show that  $M^\perp$  is one-dimensional. If  $M = \mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,  $\dim M^\perp = 0$ , then  $\psi_T = 0$ , so let us assume  $\dim M^\perp > 0$ .

But this follows directly from linearity: let  $\psi, \tilde{\psi} \in M^\perp \setminus \{0\}$ . Then for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$T(\psi - \alpha \tilde{\psi}) = T(\psi) - \alpha T(\tilde{\psi}), \text{ so for } \alpha = \frac{T(\psi)}{T(\tilde{\psi})}, \text{ we have } T(\psi - \alpha \tilde{\psi}) = 0, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\psi - \alpha \tilde{\psi} \in M, \text{ so } \psi - \alpha \tilde{\psi} \in M \cap M^\perp = \{0\} \text{ and } \psi = \alpha \tilde{\psi}.$$

$$\text{unique: } \frac{\langle \alpha \tilde{\psi}, \varphi \rangle}{\|\alpha \tilde{\psi}\|^2} \alpha \tilde{\psi} = \frac{\langle \tilde{\psi}, \varphi \rangle}{\|\tilde{\psi}\|^2} \tilde{\psi} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \neq 0.$$

Now we can uniquely decompose (with Theorem 3.15) any  $\varphi = \varphi_M + \varphi_{M^\perp} = \varphi_M + \frac{\langle \tilde{\psi}, \varphi \rangle}{\|\tilde{\psi}\|^2} \tilde{\psi}$  for any  $\tilde{\psi} \in M^\perp \setminus \{0\}$ , and thus

$$T(\varphi) = T\left(\varphi_M + \frac{\langle \tilde{\psi}, \varphi \rangle}{\|\tilde{\psi}\|^2} \tilde{\psi}\right) \stackrel{T(\varphi_M)=0}{=} \frac{\langle \tilde{\psi}, \varphi \rangle}{\|\tilde{\psi}\|^2} T(\tilde{\psi}) = \left\langle \frac{\overline{T(\tilde{\psi})}}{\|\tilde{\psi}\|^2} \tilde{\psi}, \varphi \right\rangle, \text{ i.e., } \psi_T = \frac{T(\tilde{\psi})}{\|\tilde{\psi}\|^2} \tilde{\psi}. \quad \square$$

Riesz tells us that elements of  $\mathcal{H}'$  can be canonically identified with elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ :

Corollary 3.40:

$J: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}', \psi \mapsto J\psi = \langle \psi, \cdot \rangle$  is a <sup>no arbitrary choices, e.g. of basis</sup> canonical antilinear <sup>by Riesz</sup> bijection and a <sup>continuity of scalar product</sup> continuous isometry.

$\|J\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C})} = \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}}$

*due to antilinearity of the scalar product in the first variable*

With that we can identify  $A'$  canonically with an operator  $A^*$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ :

Definition 3.41:

For  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , we define the **Hilbert space adjoint**  $A^*: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ,  $A^* = \overbrace{J^{-1} A' J}^{\mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \mid \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}' \mid \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'}$ .

Sometimes  $A^*$  is simply called "adjoint", or "Hermitian adjoint", and in the physics literature it is often denoted  $A^\dagger$  ("A dagger").

Let us collect a few properties of  $A^*$ . First, with Riesz, we directly get

Proposition 3.42:

For  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  we have  $\langle \psi, A\varphi \rangle = \langle A^*\psi, \varphi \rangle \forall \psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$  and this property uniquely determines  $A^*$ .

Proof: By the definitions we have

$$\langle \psi, A\varphi \rangle = (\mathcal{J}\psi)(A\varphi) = A'(\mathcal{J}\psi)(\varphi) = \mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^{-1}A'\mathcal{J}\psi(\varphi) = \mathcal{J}A^*\psi(\varphi) = \langle A^*\psi, \varphi \rangle.$$

Also,  $\varphi \mapsto \langle \psi, A\varphi \rangle$  is continuous and linear, so due to Riesz there is a unique  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$  s.t.

$$\langle \psi, A\varphi \rangle = \langle \eta, \varphi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ so } \eta = A^*\psi \text{ is unique.} \quad \square$$

Before we continue, a few more standard properties and an example

Theorem 3.43: For  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\text{a) } (A+B)^* = A^* + B^*, \quad (\lambda A)^* = \overline{\lambda} A^*$$

$$\text{b) } (AB)^* = B^*A^*$$

$$\text{c) } \|A^*\| = \|A\|$$

$$\text{d) } A^{**} = A$$

$$\text{e) } \|AA^*\| = \|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2$$

$$\text{f) } \ker A = (\text{im } A^*)^\perp \text{ and } \ker A^* = (\text{im } A)^\perp$$

Proof: HW (a), (b), (c) follow directly from definition, (d), (e), (f) are short computations)

As an example, consider the left and right shifts on  $\ell^2$ :

The right shift is  $T_r: \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2, (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . Then

$$\langle x, T_r y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i (T_r y)_i = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} x_i x_{i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i+1} y_i =: \langle T_r^* x, y \rangle, \text{ so } T_r^* = T_l, \text{ where}$$

$T_l$  is the left shift  $T_l: \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2, (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, \dots)$ .

Note that  $T_r$  is isometric ( $\|T_r x\| = \|x\|$ ), but not surjective, so it is not unitary.

We have  $T_r^* T_r = \text{id}$ , but  $T_r T_r^* \neq \text{id}$ , so  $T_r^*$  is not the inverse of  $T_r$  (which isn't even invertible).

Based on this example, let us make the following nice connection to unitary operators:

Proposition 3.45:  $U \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  is unitary if and only if  $U^* = U^{-1}$ .  
*subjective + isometric*

Proof: Next time.