

2.3 The Simplex Method

Conclusion from previous chapter:

- For a standard form LP problem we need to check only basic feasible solutions. all components ≥ 0
- We can find basic solutions with Gaussian elimination.

So in order to find optimal solutions, we could simply go through all possible basic feasible solution.

BUT: For large problems, this is way too computationally expensive!

The following method is faster:

Simplex algorithm:

- (i) Start with any basic feasible solution.
- (ii) Swap one basic variable ("leaving variable") for another variable ("entering variable") s.t. objective function improves the most.
- (iii) Repeat this until no improvement of objective fct. is possible.

Let us work out the details using the example from Session 3:

$$\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ u \\ v \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} \downarrow x_1 & \downarrow x_2 & \downarrow u & \downarrow v & \downarrow s_1 & \downarrow s_2 & \downarrow s_3 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We write it as a "simplex tableau":

	↓ x_1	x_2	u	v	↓ s_1	↓ s_2	↓ s_3	
A	1	1	-1	1	0	0	0	1
	2	-1	-2	2	1	0	0	5
	1	-1	0	0	0	1	0	4
	0	1	1	-1	0	0	1	5
c^T	-1	-2	-3	3	0	0	0	z

← add $c^T x = z$ as last row

(i) We need to find a basic solution. (Columns s_1, s_2, s_3 are already in the right form; we need to choose one more.)

Let us choose x_1, s_1, s_2, s_3 columns as our pivot columns.

s_1, s_2, s_3 are already pivot columns.
We could have just as well chosen x_2, u, v .

⇒ We need to eliminate 0 entries.

now these four columns are the pivot columns

	↓ x_1	x_2	u	v	↓ s_1	↓ s_2	↓ s_3	
⇒	1	1	-1	1	0	0	0	1
	0	-3	0	0	1	0	0	3
	0	-2	1	-1	0	1	0	3
	0	1	1	-1	0	0	1	5
	0	-1	-4	4	0	0	0	$z+1$

Note: By eliminating the "pivot column entry" here, we can read off z on the right ($z=-1$ here).

meaning all components ≥ 0

A basic feasible solution is: $x_1=1, x_2=0, u=0, v=0, s_1=3, s_2=3, s_3=5$.

There, $c^T x = 0 = z+1$ i.e., $z=-1$.

c^T is the last row (left-hand side)

$$\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

recall how we got basic solution (sessions 4 and 5)

Here, we are "lucky": Our basic solution is also feasible.

(If this were not the case, we have to try different pivot columns.)

Note: We make one more simplification in the notation:

x_1	x_2	u	v	s_1	s_2	s_3		
1	1	-1	1	0	0	0	1	
0	-3	0	0	1	0	0	3	
0	-2	1	-1	0	1	0	3	
0	1	1	-1	0	0	1	5	
0	-1	-4	4	0	0	0	1	

We delete the z here; then this number is equal to $-z$ at the basic solution.

↑ this tracks $-z$, i.e., this shall be maximized

(ii) Entry variable: Go along direction that improves objective function the most.

x_1	x_2	u	v	s_1	s_2	s_3		
1	1	-1	1	0	0	0	1	
0	-3	0	0	1	0	0	3	
0	-2	1	-1	0	1	0	3	
0	1	1	-1	0	0	1	5	
0	-1	-4	4	0	0	0	1	

geometrically: Go along direction where the slope is most negative.

Choose the column variable where the entry in the last row is the most negative. (If all entries are positive, we are done, because z cannot be decreased further.)

Question: What is the leaving variable, i.e., in which row (in column u) should we put the new pivot?

At the basic solution, with including $u \geq 0$ (as the entering variable), we have:

needs to be \geq to be feasible

$$x_1 = 1 - (-1)u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{no bound on } u \quad (\text{any pos. } u \text{ would work})$$

$$s_1 = 3 - 0 \cdot u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{no bound on } u \quad (\text{any pos. } u \text{ would work})$$

$$s_2 = 3 - 1 \cdot u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{need } u \leq \frac{3}{1} \quad \leftarrow \text{this is the strongest constraint}$$

$$s_3 = 5 - 1 \cdot u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{need } u \leq \frac{5}{1} \quad (\text{e.g., if we would increase } u \text{ up to } 5, \text{ we would end up with an infeasible solution})$$

How do x_1, s_1, s_2, s_3 change if we increase u until the respective variable (x_1, s_1, s_2 or s_3) is 0?

\Rightarrow choose s_2

General rule: take the row with the least positive ratio of coefficient from right-most column to coefficient in new entry variable column.

\Rightarrow Here, we need to take pivot in R_3 , i.e., s_2 as leaving variable

We compute:

	x_1	x_2	u	v	s_1	s_2	s_3	
$R_3 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1$:	1	-1	0	0	0	1	0	4
	0	-3	0	0	1	0	0	3
	0	-2	1	-1	0	1	0	3
$R_4 - R_3 \rightarrow R_4$:	0	3	0	0	0	-1	1	2
$4R_3 + R_5 \rightarrow R_5$:	0	-9	0	0	0	4	0	13

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = 4, u = 3, s_1 = 3, s_3 = 2,$$

$$x_2 = 0, v = 0, s_2 = 0$$

$$\text{and } z = -13$$

next entry variable: x_2

new pivot (only positive entry in this column) \Rightarrow new leaving variable: s_2

\Rightarrow We need to do another step.

(We are finished when all entries in the last row are non-negative!)