Session 7 Feb.24,2023

let us relate the structures from the last session to TR":

We denote
$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{TR}^n$$
. Then:

(or dot product)

- The inner product (or scalar product) is $<\times_i y> \equiv \times_i y:=\sum_{i=1}^n \times_i y_i$. (Recall that $<\times_i y> = ||x|| \, ||y|| \, \cos(\triangleright x_i y)$.)
- It induces the norm $||x||_2 = (\langle x, x \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\frac{x}{2}, x^2)^{\frac{4}{2}}$.
- · Also $||x||_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ define norms $\forall 1 \leq p < \infty$ and the following Hölder inequality holds: $|(x_i|^p)| \leq ||x_i||^p$
- · Also ||x||₁₀₀ := max |xi| defines a norm. (In fact, lim ||x||_p = ||x||₁₀.)

 15154

 11-11_A and ||11|_B are any two norms here
- · In fact, all norms on TR" are equivalent, i.e., $\forall \times \exists C_1, C_2 > 0$ s.t. $C_1 || \times ||_{\mathcal{A}} \leq || \times ||_{\mathcal{A}}$

Finally, we briefly discuss the notion of compactness.

Recall that we call a subset of TR" open if it can be written as the union of open balls

unless specified otherwise, we always mean $\|X\|^2 := \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2$ for $X \in \mathbb{TR}^N$

A set ECTR" is called compact if every open cover of E has a finite subcover.

SA family of open sets (Vx) such that VVx>E.

A subfamily of the open cover with finitely many elements.

Important result: As in TR, the Heine-Borel theorem also holds in TR":

ECTR is compact <= > E closed and bounded.

it contains all ECBr(x) for some >>0, x \in TR"

its limit points

This implies, e.g., that continuous functions E-TR, TR">E compact, attain their maximum and minimum.

Ex: . TR" is not compact, since it is not bounded (TR" is closed (and open)).

- · Br(x) is not compact, since it is not closed (Br(x) is bounded).
- $\overline{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} := \left\{ y \in TR^n : ||x-y|| \le r \right\} \text{ is closed and bounded, and thus compact.}$

2. Derivatives

2.1 Total and Partial Derivatives

Some notation:

- · We write vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$.

Recall from linear Algebra:

- A map $L: TR^n \to TR^m$ is linear if $L(\lambda x + y) = \lambda L(x) + L(y) \forall x, y \in TR^n$, $\lambda \in TR$.

 For linear maps we usually write L(x) = Lx.
- · linear maps L:TR" TR" are in one-to-one correspondence to mxn matrices

$$A_{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} \\ \alpha_{21} & \cdots & \alpha_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{m_{1}} & \cdots & \alpha_{m_{n}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{by choosing a basis.} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Choosing a basis} & (e_{i}) \text{ of } \mathbb{R}^{n} \text{ and } (\hat{e}_{i}) \text{ of } \mathbb{R}^{m}, \\ \text{We have} & (L_{\times})_{i} = c\tilde{e}_{i_{1}}L(x_{2}) > c\tilde{e}_{i_{1}}L(x_{2}) > c\tilde{e}_{i_{1}}L(x_{2}) > c\tilde{e}_{i_{1}}L(x_{2}) > c\tilde{e}_{i_{1}}L(x_{2}) > c\tilde{e}_{i_{2}}L(x_{2}) > c\tilde{e}_{i_{1}}L(x_{2}) > c\tilde{e}_{i_{2}}L(x_{2}) > c\tilde{e}_{i_{1}}L(x_{2}) > c\tilde{e}_{i_{2}}L(x_{2}) > c\tilde{e}_{$$

Recall $(A \times)_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \times_j$, $(AB)_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} b_{jk}$ for $A \max_i and B \exp_i matrix$.

(then AB is an mxp matrix)

• For Ginear maps $TR^n \to TR^m$ we define the operator norm $||L|| := \sup_{u \in TR^n} ||Lu|| < \infty$ ||u|| = 1 since unit sphere is compact and L linear (thus continuous), the maximum is attained Since $||L||_{||u||} || \le ||L||_{||u||}$ us have $||Lu|| \le ||L||_{||u||}$. (for at least one $u \in TR^n$) Recall that for functions $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ we defined differentiability at \widetilde{x} as: $\exists m \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. for small enough $h: f(\widetilde{x}+h) = f(\widetilde{x}) + mh + r_{x}(h)$, with $\lim_{h\to 0} \left|\frac{r_{x}(h)}{h}\right| = 0$.

Clearly, $L_m: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $h \mapsto mh$ is a linear map.

The idea "derivatives are the best linear approximation" can be generalized:

<u>Definition</u>: Let $U \subset TR^n$ be open and $f: U \to TR^m$. Then f is called differentiable at $\hat{\chi} \in U$ if there is a linear map $A: TR^n \to TR^m$ s.t.

$$\xi(\tilde{x}+h) = \xi(\tilde{x}) + Ah + \gamma_{\tilde{x}}(h) \qquad \text{with} \quad \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{||\gamma_{\tilde{x}}(h)||}{||h||} = 0.$$

In other words: $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{|f(\hat{x}_{+}h) - f(\hat{x}_{1} - Ah)|}{|h_{1}|} = 0.$

We call $A = Df|_{\tilde{x}} = f'(\hat{x})$ the total derivative of f at \hat{x} . If f is differentiable for all $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{U}_1$ we say f is differentiable in \mathcal{U} .