Elements of Calculus Session 20 (Week 10B) Prof. Sören Petrat, Constructor University Lecture notes from Spring 2025 6. Multivariable Calculus 6.1 Total and Partial Derivatives Topic for Week 10 B: Chain Rule, Gradient, Higher-order Derivatives, Taylor Expansion A few more remarks about derivatives. Chain rule For the total derivative of E:TR" -> TR" a generalization of the chain rule holds: Theorem: If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is differentiable at  $\times_o \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is differentiable at f(x\_) ETR<sup>M</sup>. Then gof (def.as (gof)(x) = g(f(x))) is differentiable at xo with derivative Dgot 1/x = Dg1 f(xo) Df1/xo. jx n matrix jx m matrix mxn matrix Example:  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2, t \mapsto f(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t^2 \\ t^2 \end{pmatrix}$  $q: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, (x_n, x_2) \mapsto q(x_n, x_2) = x_n e^{-x_2}$  $= > \mathcal{D}^{d_0} t \Big|^{f} = \mathcal{D}^{d_1} \Big|^{f(f)} \mathcal{D}^{f} \Big|^{f} = \left(\frac{9 \times r}{9^{d_1}}, \frac{9 \times r}{9^{d_1}}\right) \Big|^{f(f)} \frac{9 f}{9 t} = \left(e_{-\chi^{f}} - \chi^{f} e_{-\chi^{f}}\right) \Big|^{f(f)} \begin{pmatrix} 3 f_{g} \\ 9 t \end{pmatrix}$  $= (e^{-t^{3}} - t^{2}e^{-t^{3}}) {\binom{2t}{3t^{2}}} = \lambda t e^{-t^{3}} - \lambda t^{4} e^{-t^{3}}.$ 

In this simple example we have 
$$g \circ f: TR \to TR$$
,  $t \mapsto g(f(t)) = t^2 \circ^{-t^3}$ , so we can verify with one-variable (alcolus:  
 $dg(f(t)) = d(1^2 - t^3) = 2t \circ^{-t^3} + t^2(-3t^2) \circ^{-t^3} = 2t \circ^{-t^3} + 2t^4 - t^3$ 

$$\frac{dq(t(t))}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{-t^2} \right) = 2t e^{-t^2} + t^2 \left( -3t^2 \right) e^{-t} = 2t e^{-t^2} - 3t^4 e^{-t^4} \sqrt{2t^2}$$
product whe

let us consider the special case of f: TR" -> TR (real-valued functions).

Here, we call the total derivative the gradient of f or "nabla f", and we write

$$\mathcal{D}f|_{x} = \nabla f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} \end{pmatrix}^{T}$$

$$(i.e., \nabla f \text{ is the vector of partial derivatives.}$$
We need to use the transpose since for  $f:\mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}$  the total derivative is a 1×4 matrix, i.e., a now vector.

Note: Often we write 
$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\partial \times 1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{2}{\partial \times n} \end{pmatrix}$$
, which is a linear differential operator.

Let us note two interesting properties:  
Recall that 
$$D_u f = Df u = \nabla f u = \langle \nabla f, u \rangle = ||\nabla f|| ||u|| \cos(\rho, u)$$
, with  $\rho$  the angle  
scalar lotot product = 1  
between  $\nabla f$  and  $u$ . Hence, if  $\nabla f \neq 0$ , then  $f$  has greatest directional derivative  
in direction  $\frac{\nabla f(x)}{||\nabla f(w)|}$ .  
In other words,  $\nabla f$  points in the direction where  $f$  changes the most.

· If DF=0, then f increases in at least one direction and decreases in the opposite direction.

Hence, if f has a local extremum at 
$$\times$$
, then  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ .

So similarly to one-variable Calculus, DF(x)=0 is a necessary condition for f to have a local maximum or minimum.

## Higher-order Derivatives

Consider the example  $f(x_{11}x_{2}) = x_{1}e^{-x_{2}}$ We find  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} = \partial x_{1}e^{-x_{2}}$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} = -x_{4}e^{-x_{2}}$ We can also take more derivatives:  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} (\partial x_{n}e^{-x_{n}}) = \partial e^{-x_{2}}$ wined partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} (-x_{1}e^{-x_{1}}) = -\partial x_{n}e^{-x_{2}}$   $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} (-x_{1}e^{-x_{n}}) = -\partial x_{n}e^{-x_{n}}$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} (-x_{1}e^{-x_{n}}) = -\partial x_{n}e^{-x_{n}}$ 

Here, we see that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$ . This is true in general if all partial derivatives are continuous:

Theorem (Clairart's thm., or Schwarz's thm.):  
(
$$f f: R^{n} \rightarrow TR^{m}$$
 has continuous and partial derivatives, then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial$ 

Note: We usually write 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$
  
• There are examples where  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$  is not continuous, and  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}$ ,  $(e, q, i)$   
 $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy(x^2 - y^2)}{x^2 + y^2} & \text{for } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{for } (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$  when we consider the mixed partial

Note: For 
$$f:\mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}$$
, the matrix  $H$  with  $(H_{f}(x))_{ij} := \frac{\partial^{2} f(x)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$  is called Hessian matrix of  $f$ .  
If Schwarz' theorem applies,  $H_{f}$  is symmetric (i.e.,  $(H_{f})_{ij} = (H_{f})_{ji}$ .  
E.g., in the example above we found  $H_{f}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 2e^{-\chi_{2}} & -2\chi_{4}e^{-\chi_{2}} \\ -2\chi_{4}e^{-\chi_{2}} & \chi_{4}e^{-\chi_{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Taylor Expansion  
Similar to functions in TR, we can do a Taylor expansion. Let us write it down here up  
to second order (and for 
$$f: TR^m \rightarrow TR$$
 only).

Theorem (Taylor, and order): let f:TR<sup>n</sup> >TR be twice continuously differentiable.  
let x eTR<sup>n</sup> and heTR<sup>n</sup> be such that X+theU Vte[0,1]. Then  

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + Df(x) + \frac{1}{4} - h(H_{f}(x)) + T_{x}(h)$$
, with  $\frac{||T_{x}(h)||}{||h||^{2}} \xrightarrow{h \to 0} 0$ .  
 $= h^{T} H_{f}(x)h$ 

<u>Proof</u>: Follows from applying 1-d Taylor to gelt):= f(x+th). We will apply this next time to find an analog of the second derivative test for finding maxima or minima.